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Summary Points:

- Despite the UK government’s attempts of “widening participation” to higher education, there are groups who still face underrepresentation, which suggests that expansion of higher education did not necessarily imply equitability. People with disabilities, people whose parents attained relatively low levels of formal education, people of working class background, as well as ethnic Roma, are still underrepresented in higher education.

- Among all disadvantaged groups, **Roma minorities** are **by far the least represented in the UK higher education system**. Existing estimates suggest that only 3-4% of Roma population attained higher education, which is significantly less compared to the ratio of mainstream population who attained higher education (41% in the age group 25-64). The low ratios of secondary education completion, the cumulated disadvantages faced by Roma minorities (including poverty), as well as the financial costs associated with higher education are among the main barriers preventing more Roma to study at university.

- The UK system offers an alternative entry route to higher education to the traditional route, individuals not in possession of a secondary school diploma having the possibility to apply for preparatory courses and then being eligible to apply for higher education upon their successful completion. The loans available for preparatory courses attendees and the possibility to have them written off upon eventual enrolment to higher education constitute a potentially viable alternative route, which may be particularly useful for socio-economically disadvantaged people.

- The financial costs for higher education expected to be covered by students and their families are significant, since tuition fees and living costs can constitute together between 16% and 48% of the yearly net income of a family with both parents earning average wages. For socio-economically disadvantaged students there are possibilities of receiving financial aid in form of state or university-based grants, but usually the amounts are too small in comparison with the total costs.

- At the same time, the UK higher education system has a relatively long experience with study loans. At the moment, study loans seem to be rather accessible and repayment conditions reasonable, although it remains to be seen in the years to come if conditions for accessing loans will become stricter and repayment conditions harder. It also remains to be seen how big of a financial burden will repaying these loans become for current students when they enter the job market. The prospects of having to pay study loans in the same period of life when young professionals normally need financial resources for building their own families and households might prove to be burdensome.

- The case of the UK higher education system illustrates that even when access for disadvantaged and marginalized minorities is opening, equity is not necessarily guaranteed: inequalities can continue manifesting in other forms inside higher education. Once university studies become more accessible, the importance of what kind of degree one gets and from which university one gets the respective degree increases as well, particularly at the stage of employment upon graduation. These new inequalities raise new barriers for social mobility beyond the mere access to higher education.
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Introduction

Compared to other developed countries, the UK has one of the highest population ratios with higher education attainment. Eurostat data for 2014 indicate that within the UK population aged between 30 and 34, 48% successfully completed tertiary studies (e.g. university, higher technical institution, etc.). This ratio is higher than the respective ratio in the Euro area (36.5%) and also than in the entire EU area (38%) for the same age group. Only in a few EU countries the population ratio who attained higher education is higher than in the UK, i.e. in Switzerland, Sweden, Norway, Ireland, Cyprus, Luxembourg, and Lithuania, where it ranges between 49% and 53% respectively (Eurostat, 1992-2014).

The access to tertiary education in the UK has also been in constant increase. If in 1992 the ratio of the UK population aged between 30 and 34 who successfully completed tertiary studies was only 21%, in 1998 it attained 28%, in 2005 - 35%, while in 2010 - 43% (Eurostat, 1992-2014). The absolute number of students also increased considerably throughout the decades. If in the 1970s there were about 600,000 university students in the UK, nowadays there are over 2.5 million (Milburn A. 2012, p.19). The increase is also visible when comparing inter-generational educational attainment, with 48% of population aged between 30 and 34 having attained higher education, compared to only 37% in the 45-54 age group and 33% in the 55-64 age group (OECD 2014).

This is in line with a global trend of increase in ratios of population who attained higher education that started in the middle of the XXth century, resulted from a combination of factors including changes in the structure of labor market, shift from manufacturing to service based economy implying demand for new skills, and more recently, the emergence of knowledge-based economies requiring more highly-skilled labor (Altbach et. al. 2009). Similarly, the British economy becomes more and more service-based and its labor market more professionalized, while availability of jobs requiring highly qualified professionals is in increase (Milburn A. 2012).

The figures above reveal that during the last decades, tertiary education in the UK expanded considerably. But who has benefited of this expansion and to what extent did it imply an opening access to marginalized minorities and other groups facing socio-economic disadvantages? Has this growth been accompanied by a more equitable access to tertiary education? What are the exact policies and practices in the UK tertiary education system aimed at facilitating the access to higher education for the marginalized and socio-economically disadvantaged groups and how effective have they been in bringing more equity in accessing tertiary education? Based on the available statistical information, existing reports and academic research, this briefing paper seeks to find answers to these questions.

Unlike in some of the countries in Eastern and South-Eastern Europe, there are no affirmative-action based programs in the UK targeting explicitly Roma population (the term “Roma” in this paper refers not only to the Roma migrants from Central and Eastern Europe, but also to the ethnicity category defined in the UK census and national policies as “Gypsies and Travelers”). This is why this briefing paper focuses on analyzing the higher education environment in general, in order to identify the alternative means to affirmative action through which the UK tertiary education system might facilitate the access for Roma. Theoretically, an education system that does not implement affirmative action tools, but that implements other policy measures aimed at widening access for socio-economically disadvantaged students and/or for marginalized minorities, may implicitly facilitate the access to tertiary education for Roma as well. Therefore, analyzing the general tertiary education environment and its social dimension is highly relevant in the context of studying Roma’s access to tertiary education.
This briefing paper contains three main sections. The first aims at identifying the underrepresented groups in tertiary education in the UK, taking as basis the groups that have been historically underrepresented in the European higher education systems. The second section analyses the access to higher education in the UK from the perspective of the allowed entry routes and the flexibility of studies. The third section focuses on financial costs of higher education in the UK that are supposed to be covered by students and their families, as well as identifies the availability of financial support schemes. The concluding section summarizes the findings.

There are relevant differences among the four countries of the UK – England, Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland – with regards to policies aimed at facilitating the access to higher education for socio-economically disadvantaged groups, but also with regards to the financial costs for attending higher education. Therefore, throughout this briefing paper all such relevant differences are mentioned and data are respectively presented at country level.

1. Disadvantaged groups and their representation in the UK higher education

In order to improve the access to higher education for the marginalized and socio-economically disadvantaged groups, countries should first put in place an efficient mechanism of systematic data collection on the social composition of student body. In the UK the Higher Education Statistics Agency (hereinafter: HESA) is the institution responsible for collection data on widening participation in higher education. The Government has not established target numbers/ratios for enrolment in higher education of specific categories of population; some individual universities have such targets established via their Access Agreements, through which individual universities establish the measures through which they are widening participation.

According to the European Higher Education Area (EHEA) report for the UK, the following indicators on student body composition are collected at entry in higher education, during the higher education studies, and at graduation: disability status, age, prior qualifications, socio-economic background, gender, as well as ethnic/cultural/linguistic minority background. No data is being collected on migrant status or religion (EHEA 2015). Based on the available data, the subsections below summarize the representation of some of the potentially disadvantaged groups in the UK higher education system.

1.1. Ethnic minorities (other than Roma) and students with migrant background

In case of the UK, it is difficult to separate the category of ethnic minorities from the category of population with migrant background. This is because today’s ethnic minority population of the UK largely results from the waves of relatively recent immigration, from 1950s and 1960s, from the “New Commonwealth” Indian subcontinent, South-East Asia, Caribbean, and Africa (Connor H. et. al. 2004, p. 8). This implies that many of today’s ethnic minority students are of migrant background too, since the group is largely composed of second or third generation of immigrant population. Other ethnic groups in the UK immigrated even more recently. This is why in this subsection students belonging to ethnic minority groups and students with migrant background are presented together. According to the 2011 population census, the total UK-domiciled ethnic minority population was 8,171,819, or 13% of the total UK population (UK Population Census 2011).
In the UK students are asked at enrollment in universities and colleges to categorize themselves to an ethnic origin group, using the ethnicity classification based on the population census that include the visible minorities of the UK for the HESA student records. HESA data contain the following ethnic groups: White, Black or Black British - Caribbean, Black or Black British – African, Other Black background, Asian or Asian British – Indian, Asian or Asian British – Pakistani, Asian or Asian British – Bangladeshi, Chinese, Other Asian Background, Other (including mixed). Hence, there is no separate category for “Gypsies, Roma, and Traveler”. Figure 1 compares the ratio of each of the large ethnic groups in the higher education pool of the UK domiciled students on the one hand, and within the UK population on the other hand. The data suggest that the major ethnic minority groups are generally well represented in the UK tertiary education.

Although these figures reveal a generally equitable representation of ethnic minorities in the UK higher education system, inequalities still exist. The existing research suggests that ethnic minority groups in the UK tend to concentrate in lower status institutions and implicitly are underrepresented in elite and highly ranked institutions. Minorities are more likely to study in post-92 institutions than in pre-92 institutions\(^1\) (Noden et. al. 2014, Connor H. et. al. 2004). The available data also suggests that this is not so much related to the ethnicity by itself, as to other confounding characteristics of ethnic minority students such as their socio-economic status, type of secondary school attended, and school grades (Noden et. al. 2014). The latter are confirmed by some previous research arguing that ethnic minorities (except for Indians) were less likely to hold A/AS levels\(^2\) of qualifications at enrollment, which was particularly the case for Black and Black/African students; they also came more frequently from secondary education institutions.

---

\(^1\) In the UK higher education system, post-92 universities refer to the so-called “new universities” created or renamed as such in 1992, when John Mayor’s government gave university status to a range of former polytechnics, colleges of higher education, or central institutions, through the Further and Higher Education Act adopted in 1992. The pre-92 universities are the universities that acquired their status before the 1992 wave of new universities creation. The so called “Russell-group” of 20 UK universities that are perceived as the most prestigious universities, are all pre-92 universities (Purcell et. al. 2009).

\(^2\) In the UK education system, A/AS (Advanced or Advanced Subsidiary levels are two year academic programs taught in colleges or schools, after the successful graduation of the compulsory secondary education. Enrolment in the A/AS level programs generally require top grades in the General Certificate of Secondary Education. A/AS level qualifications are considered to be the gateway to most universities and colleges in the UK (http://www.bbc.co.uk/schools/parents/alevels/, https://www.nidirect.gov.uk/articles/and-levels).
having relatively lower results on students’ learning outcome than the White university students (Connor H. et. al. 2004).

Existing research also suggests that academic attainment in the UK higher education system is lower for ethnic minority graduates than for White graduates, and that this difference is only partly explained by variations in students’ qualifications on entry into higher education (Richardson J., 2008). Minority students are less likely to receive a higher class degree, while Black and Black African minorities in particular receive the lowest degree outcomes (Connor H. et. al. 2004). HESA data for 2013-14 reveal that there is no significant difference in studied subjects between ethnic minorities and white students, or across the different ethnic groups considered for the statistical data (HESA 2014). However, research based on data from the beginning of 2000s point to such differences, with twice as high minority representation in technical and vocational subjects (Connor H. et. al. 2004).

Ethnic minorities also seem to be less successful than white students in their transition from studies to employment and this kind of disadvantage seems to persist over the last decade. A study published in 2004 found that minority graduates had higher initial unemployment rates than White graduates (Connor H. et. al. 2004). Another study, published in 2012, concluded that ethnic minority graduates in the UK were less likely to find employment than white British people, and that they were more likely to be in jobs for which they were overqualified (Rafferty A. 2012). This was also the conclusion of a recent study conducted by researchers from the University of Essex and published at the beginning of 2016 (Zwysen W. and Longhi S, 2016) that revealed that ethnic minority university graduates in the UK are between 4% and 15% less likely to be employed six months after graduation (depending on the specific minority group) in comparison to their white British peers.

The 2016 study attributes this difference to several factors: first, to the fact that ethnic minorities tend to graduate less prestigious universities with less competitiveness for the job market, second, to the fact that minorities have on average lower grades in higher education, third, to the fact that they are less likely to come from high social class background which may imply lower access to the financial and social resources contributing to successful employability, fourth, to the fact that ethnic minorities tend to come from more deprived areas, which implies reduced access to the type of local community network that could provide the social contacts helping employability. In addition to differences in employment rates six months after graduation, researchers also found differences in earnings three and a half years after graduation, ethnic minority graduates (especially women) earning less on average than their white British counterparts. Similar with the case of access to higher education, ethnic minority groups are not all alike in their experience with employment outcomes, with the Pakistani and Bangladeshi graduates facing specifically the largest gaps in employment outcomes compared to the British white graduates (Zwysen W. and Longhi S, 2016).

1.2. Roma

There are various estimates regarding the present Roma population size in the UK. World Bank estimated that in 2010 there were 62,218 Roma in the UK (Council of Europe, 2012). In the 2011 UK population census 58,000 people in England and Wales identified themselves as “Gypsy” or “Irish Travelers” (Office for National Statistics, 2014). Other estimates vary between 150,000 and 300,000 people, while Council of Europe operates with the average estimate of 225,000 Gypsies, Roma, and Traveler people in the UK (including Roma migrants), i.e. 0.36% of the UK total population (Council of Europe, 2012). The 2011 population census data reveal that the proportion
of population with no academic or professional qualification was the largest among Gypsy or Irish Travelers (60%), i.e. almost three times higher than for England and Wales population as a whole (23%) (Office for National Statistics, 2014).

The 2014 HESA data indicate that only 3 to 4% of Roma in the UK aged 18 and above attend university level education (calculation based on HESA data, in Danvers E. 2015), which is a very low ratio compared to the 41% of the total UK population aged 25-64 who attained tertiary education (OECD 2014). One of the reasons for particularly low tertiary education attainment among Roma is the small ratio of secondary education completion. The available data suggest that only an estimated 80% of Roma in the UK transfer between primary and secondary school, and just over half still attend school until Year 11 that is usually the final year of secondary school. Absenteeism and change of schools have also been reported as being highest among Roma pupils in secondary school (Wilkin A. et. al. 2010). Existing reports also show that Roma pupils have significantly lower school achievements than non-Roma pupils and also than the Free School Meal eligible pupils (Department for Education and Skills, 2006, Foster B. and Norton P. 2012, p. 91). Roma pupils are also categorized in the group of pupils with special education needs at disproportionately higher rates than non-Roma pupils and they are also excluded from schools more often (Foster B. and Norton P. 2012, pp. 93, 100).

Research based on empirical evidence about the UK Roma students’ experience with higher education is still very scarce. Existing accounts are only based on anecdotal evidence and a few personal testimonies. One of the few existing articles on the topic suggests that there are more Roma female than male university students in the UK, perhaps because the male young Roma are more concerned to carry on the traditional family business (Clark C. 2004). The reason for which researching ethnic Roma in higher education in the UK is hard might be related to the Roma students’ “invisibility”, since students prefer not to share their ethnic identity for fear of being stigmatized (Clark C. 2004).

1.3. Students with low parental level of education and/or working class background

The population with relatively low socio-economic status and working class background has also been historically the least represented group in higher education. As the data presented below reveal, despite the widening access to higher education in the UK during the last decades, the socio-economic status still remains a strong predictor for higher education attainment, in terms of general enrolment and successful graduation, but also in terms of enrolment in the most prestigious universities.

One of the proxy indicators often used in the literature for measuring students’ socio-economic background is parental level of education. Besides being used as a way of measuring socio-economic background, students’ parental level of education may also reveal the extent to which higher education is accessible by first generation students. OECD collects regularly data on

3 Free School Meals designate a statutory benefit available to school age children from families who receive other qualifying benefits, such as income support or job seekers allowance, aimed at supporting families facing poverty. The Free School Meals is often used in studies and reports as a proxy indicator for measuring the ratio of socio-economically disadvantaged school children.

4 In the UK school system, headmasters can exclude a child from school if they misbehave in or outside the school. The exclusion can be of a fixed term (for up to 45 school days per academic year), or permanently (case in which the child is expelled). When the child is expelled temporarily but for more than 5 school days, the school must arrange suitable full-time education from the sixth school day, e.g. at a pupil referral unit. In case of permanent exclusion, the school or local council must inform the parents about alternative education that can be arranged. More information can be accessed at https://www.gov.uk/school-discipline-exclusions/exclusions
parental level of education for OECD countries, including for the UK. Figures 2, 3, and 4 present such data for a range of European countries, which gives the possibility to also see the situation in the UK in comparative perspective.

**Figure 2. Participation in tertiary education of 20-34 year-old students whose parents have below upper secondary education (2012)**


**Figure 3. Percentage of 25-64 year-old non-students whose educational attainment is higher than (upward mobility), lower than (downward mobility) or the same as (status quo) that of their parents**

Considering that in the previous generations the ratios of higher education attainment was considerably smaller than in the last decade, it is natural to observe a significant proportion of university students in each country whose parents have not attained higher education. However, 92% of students from OECD area have complete upper secondary education or higher (see Figure 2 “Average”). Therefore, at specific country level the proportion of students whose parents have below upper secondary education as highest education level attained indicates the openness of the higher education system towards students with lower class backgrounds.

Data in Figure 2 reveal that the UK is one of the countries with the smallest ratios of students in higher education whose parents have below upper secondary education, at a value of only 4%. This ratio is also 3.5 times lower than the ratio of parents with below upper secondary education. This suggests that in the UK the young population with low parental level of education is considerably underrepresented in higher education.

Figure 3 further illustrates the intergenerational mobility in terms of education attainment. It shows that in the UK the ratio of population who experienced upward educational mobility is smaller than the average for the OECD countries: only 38% of the UK population aged 25-64 attained higher levels of education compared to their parents, while 47% obtained similar education attainment with the one of their parents. To complete these data, Figure 4 gives details on the latter category, i.e. those who preserved the intergenerational status quo in terms of educational attainment. In case of the UK, the data reveal that only 34% of those who maintained the status quo attained higher education (similar to their parents); more than half of those who maintained the status quo attained education levels below tertiary education. All these data suggest that
despite the widening participation in the UK higher education in the last decades people with low parental levels of education, who are also the most likely to be in the category of those with working class background, still have limited access.

The relatively low attendance of higher education by students with working class background could be linked to the fact that they are less likely to attend selective secondary schools than students of middle or upper classes. The existing research on English education system reveals that there is a substantive difference in enrollments in higher education between students who attended selective secondary schools and those who attended non-selective secondary schools. In England selective secondary schools are the ones where admission is based on exams, tests and/or interviews. Pupils who attended selective state schools were found to be 40% more likely to enroll in universities and 30% more likely to enroll in high status universities than pupils who attended non-selective schools. The authors of the research identified that two of the factors determining this difference were school performance and proportion of eligible pupils for free school meals (Crawford C. 2014). Free school meal pupils and average state school pupils have in general lower academic results than independent school pupils (see Figure 5), and as a result their chances to enroll in higher education are lower as well.

Figure 5. Educational attainment by background

![Educational attainment by background](image)

Source: Jerrim J. and Vignoles A, quoted in Milburn A. 2012, p. 28.

Even among those who manage to enroll in higher education inequalities persist. Socio-economically disadvantaged students are clearly underrepresented in the most selective universities. Data show that the most advantaged 20% students are seven times more likely to study in the most selective universities than the 40% most disadvantaged students. The likelihood of getting into Oxford or Cambridge Universities, the first two highest ranked universities in the UK according to the University League Table, is 2000 to 1 for a secondary school pupil eligible for a Free School Meal (indicator for low social class background), compared to 20 to 1 for a pupil of private secondary school (indicator for middle to high social class background) (Milburn A. 2012, p. 21). Students of relatively low social-economic status who make it to the university are also more likely to drop out before graduation, compared to students from more affluent

---

5 See: [http://www.thecompleteuniversityguide.co.uk/league-tables/rankings](http://www.thecompleteuniversityguide.co.uk/league-tables/rankings)
backgrounds (Milburn A. 2012, p. 60). The employment prospects for students with lower socio-economic status also seem to be smaller. The data in Figure 6 suggest one of the possible causes for that: students with lower socio-economic status seem to be concentrated less in those universities that are most frequently visited by the top employers.

Figure 6. Socio-economic background of universities targeted by The Times Top 100 Graduate Employers

Hence, despite the widening participation in the UK higher education observed in the last decades, the working class background and parental level of education still remain important predictors for students’ university attainment. Socio-economic status remains a relevant factor even for those who manage to enroll in universities, with students from lower classes being less likely to enroll in the most prestigious universities, more likely to drop out before graduation, and less successful on the job market upon graduation.

1.4. Women

As it is also the case with many developed countries, in the UK women are generally well represented in higher education, since among all enrolled students over half are female (Universities UK and HESA 2014). At the same time, in the UK as in other European countries women are underrepresented in STEM and overrepresented in humanities, social sciences, and medicine (Universities UK and HESA 2014), although there is no evidence that this specific choice of specialization would lead to significantly different employment outcomes in case of the UK. At the same time, the ratio of women is higher in the pool of students studying in part time mode (60%) than in the pool of full-time students (55%) (HESA 2012-13). The proportion of women also decreases with the degree level: the data for 2012-2013 academic year reveal that if at undergraduate level 55% of students were female, at postgraduate research level it was 47% (Universities UK and HESA 2014). The available data on graduates’ employability suggest that women graduates get employed somewhat faster than men, although these differences do not persist over time.
1.5. Students with disabilities

The latest available (2011) Eurostat figures reveal that in the UK the ratio of tertiary education attainment within the population with disabilities varies between 25% and 47%, depending on the type of disability, the lowest attainment rates being among those suffering of a disability leading to limitation in work caused by a health condition or difficulty in a basic activity (25% and 30% respectively) (Eurostat, 2011). Considering that for the general population this ratio is 47% (see Introduction of this paper), it seems to be the case that the tertiary education attainment rates among disabled are generally lower than the respective rates among the non-disabled.

In the 2012-13 academic year 10% of higher education students in the UK were known to have a disability. However, their representation diminishes at the level of post-graduate studies, with 7% of students known to have a disability in post-graduate part time studies and 6% - in post-graduate full time studies (HESA 2012-13). According to available statistics for the 2014-15 academic year, 7% of full-time first degree students were receiving Disabled Students’ Allowance, which the Higher Education Statistics Agency states as proxy indicator for the total number of disabled students enrolled in the UK tertiary institutions (HESA 2014-15). Considering that about 19% of total population of the UK is registered as suffering a disability (Papworth Trust 2014), this category of population appears to be still underrepresented in the UK higher education system.

1.6. Students from rural areas

The UK is a highly urbanized country, with only 18% of rural population in 2014 (World Bank data 1981-2015). Available data suggest that in UK poverty is concentrated in urban regions, and not in the rural ones as it is generally the case in Eastern Europe. Statistical indicators for 2012-13 from the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs of the UK Government reveal that the ratio of population with relatively low income or with absolute low income is higher in urban areas than in rural areas (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs 2015(a)). An earlier study of the Office for National Statistics (2011) also revealed that employment rates were higher and unemployment rates were lower in rural areas as compare to the urban ones; levels of reported crimes are also lower in the rural areas (Pateman, 2011).

Similarly, the population of rural areas appears to have higher education attainments than the population of urban areas. Data from the 2013-14 academic year reveal that pupils in rural areas generally live secondary school with higher grades than pupils in urban areas. The rates of full time entries to higher education institutions in 2013-14 were also higher in the predominantly rural areas, as compared to predominantly urban areas (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs 2015(b)). Data from the UK Office for National Statistics indicate that in 2011, 29.4% of the population of England and Wales attained Bachelor degrees and higher, compared to only 26.7% in the urban areas. The ratio of population with no qualifications at all is also higher in urban areas (23.0%) compared to rural areas (21.4%) (Office for National Statistics, 2013). Hence, in the UK the rural population does not constitute an underrepresented group in higher education.

Section Summary

The data presented in this section suggest that despite the increased participation in the UK higher education, inequalities still persist. Among the groups of population historically considered as disadvantaged and with relatively limited access, only in the case of population from rural area the access seems to be fully equitable, due to the fact that unlike in Eastern Europe, in the UK poverty is not concentrated in rural areas. Women also seem to be fairly represented, although their
representation diminishes at the level of post-graduate degrees; in addition, as it is the case in other countries, in the UK women tend to concentrate in different fields of studies than men: they are generally underrepresented in STEM specializations and overrepresented in humanities, social sciences and medicine; however, there is no evidence that in the UK context this different choice of specializations affect employability.

In case of other groups, one can observe either underrepresentation in the general participation to higher education, or inequalities that manifest themselves inside higher education, for example through the streaming of disadvantaged students in less prestigious universities, through higher dropout rates and lower learning outcomes, or through more difficult transition from studies to employment, or a combination of the two above. Underrepresentation in the general participation can be observed in the case Roma and in the case of population with disabilities. Inequalities faced inside higher education can be observed in the case of students with ethnic minority and migrant background (other than Roma). A combination of the two can be observed in the case of students with low parental level of education and/or working class background.

Among all the potentially disadvantaged groups Roma are by far the most underrepresented in the UK higher education system. There are only 3-4% of Roma who attend higher education, which is significantly smaller compared to the tertiary education attainment among the mainstream population (41% in the 25-64 age group). Similarly with the countries in Eastern Europe, the relatively low completion rates of secondary education among Roma, as well as the relatively low learning outcomes among Roma who attain secondary education, seem to be among the main reasons for low attainment of tertiary education. Hence, all measures implemented by the UK universities aimed at widening participation seem to have an insignificant effect on Roma’s access to higher education.

2. Entry routes to higher education, flexibility of studies, and social dimension

Beyond the financial costs for higher education that are discussed in section 3, the openness of higher education system for socio-economically disadvantaged students is to a large extent determined by the existence of alternative entry routes to higher education, as well as by the flexibility of studies allowed during higher education. There are education systems in which only one entry route is available, the one requiring the possession of an upper secondary school diploma. Most countries of Eastern and South-Eastern Europe allow only this entry route (European Commission/EACEA/Eurydice, 2015), which limits the possibilities to study in higher education for those who did not complete secondary school, but nevertheless could study in higher education due to skills acquired via informal learning or work experience. Similarly, flexibility of studies that usually refer to part-time studies allow combining education with work and/or family obligations, which can be a critical factor in choosing to attend higher education particularly for socio-economically disadvantaged people. The aim of this section is to analyze the extent to which flexibility of studies and existence of alternative entry routes to higher education creates additional opportunities for accessing higher education for disadvantaged communities in the UK.

2.1. The traditional entry route

Schooling in the UK is compulsory between age 5 and 16. After the completion of compulsory schooling students sit an external examination for General Certificate of Secondary Education (called “Standard Grade” in Scotland). Subsequently, students may choose to continue their
education with general/academic programs, pre-vocational, or vocational courses. The general/academic programs consist of additional 2 years of studying for General Certificate for Education Advanced Level, generically called “A-level” (called “Scottish Certification of Higher Grade in Scotland), which is the education level required for the traditional entry route to higher education. This is the main route for enrolling in higher education (British Government 2012).

Another “regular” route to higher education is via vocational studies. After obtaining the General Certificate of Secondary Education students may continue their studies in vocational education that is provided by further education schools or colleges. During vocational education students may select different programs providing academic or practical skills or a combination of the two (for details on specific programs, see Cuddy N. and Leney T. 2005). Graduation from some of these programs makes students eligible to apply to higher education institutions. According to the data collected by the Universities and Colleges Admissions Services, in 2004 further education institutions supplied 42% of students of higher education (quoted in Cuddy N. and Leney T. 2005, p. 26), which suggests that the vocational path towards higher education is not exceptional. Opening up higher education for vocational education graduates was also one of the goals of the widening participation agenda (OFFA/HEFCE 2014(b)). Widening the access to higher education for students with vocational background is particularly relevant since students studying in vocational programs tend to come from more disadvantaged backgrounds (Payne 2003).

However, a study conducted in 2008 found that although vocational studies may lead to higher education, holders of vocational degrees have different enrollment characteristics to higher education than holders of A-level degrees. Vocational education graduates tend to enroll considerably more often in post-92 institutions and in institutions with relatively low results on quality assessment than holders of A-level degrees who are overrepresented in institutions with highest reputation (Hoelscher M. et. al., 2008). Therefore, although vocational education may lead to enrolment in higher education, the traditional A-level path still opens access to the best opportunities to enroll in higher education.

Annex 1 presents the structure of the education system in the UK.

2.2. Non-traditional entry routes

In England and Wales individuals who did not complete secondary education (often adults) and who are at least 19 years old have the possibility to benefit from alternative pathways towards higher education. For them, special courses are organized by colleges, available in a range of different subjects. The courses last for one academic year or up to three years, depending on the subject and on the intensity of studies. Course organization is flexible, since studies can be full time or part time and through evening courses, while distance learning and online learning are also available in case of a small number of courses. Upon successful graduation of these courses students acquire an Access to Higher Education Diploma with which students can apply to enroll in higher education institutions6. The program is managed by the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education to guarantee the standard of the Diploma and secure public funding (McGrath, C. et. al. 2014).

To enroll in these courses, students need to pay a tuition fee that is established by the College and can be over 3,000 GBP for the course7, but students may apply for a loan. In England the

---

6 Information taken from the Access to Higher Education website, available at: https://www.accessheo.ac.uk/Pages/Default.aspx
7 Source: author’s desktop online research.
Government’s Advanced Lerner Loans scheme will offer loans to all students enrolled in Access to Higher Education courses expected to pay full fees, starting with August 2016. The loan holders who will successfully graduate the courses and continue their education at tertiary level will have their loans for Access to Higher Education courses written off\(^8\).

Access courses are available in many locations all over England and Wales. In Northern Ireland there is one such course offered, although individual universities there have their own arrangements for access courses.\(^9\) In Scotland the alternative route to higher education is provided through the Scottish Wider Access Programs (SWAP) that are run in colleges all over Scotland and that are organized for adults with few or no formal qualifications to study at the university (UCAS 2014). Courses last for one-year full time, although individual colleges may offer flexible mode of studies in form of part time or distant learning. According to the information posted on SWAP’s website, the vast majority of SWAP students receive some financial support\(^10\).

### 2.3. Part time studies

The UK universities are not officially required to provide part time studies; they have the autonomy to decide upon the study mode they allow. Nevertheless, despite the lack of a formal obligation most institutions do provide this mode of studies (European Commission/EACEA/Eurydice 2015, p. 156). Part time studies take longer to complete, the exact duration depending on the specific course\(^11\). Part time studies are mainly the mode of studies preferred by mature undergraduate students.

Available data reveal that in 2012-13, 65% of mature undergraduate studies entrants were enrolled in part time mode of studies, compared to 5% among their counterparts. In the group of undergraduate entrants aged 25 or above, this ratio was 75% (Universities UK 2014(b), p. 17). The ratio of part time studies enrollments decreased significantly since 2003, but particularly in the recent years, both in the undergraduate and in the postgraduate programs. As Figure 7 illustrates, between 2003 and 2013 the ratio of part time students decreased with 28% in undergraduate education and with 7% in postgraduate studies (Universities UK 2014(a)).

The main factors for this decrease are believed to be the economic downturn and funding reforms in England. Economic downturn led to decrease of part time studies because of the increased unemployment and reduced employment funding. Existing surveys show that this led to reduction in employers’ funding of employee’s tuition fees for part time university studies, with one in four employers cutting down such support and one in six restricting the amount of paid time off for study purposes (Universities UK 2014(b), p. 25). The impact of funding reforms included increased tuition fees and restrictive eligibility rules for access to tuition fee loans for part time undergraduate students (Universities UK 2014(b)). Starting with 2012-13, part time students became ineligible for maintenance loans or grants. As for tuition fee loans, only part time students studying at an intensity greater than 25% of a full time qualification and for a specific qualification aim, can access this type of loans (Universities UK 2014(b), p. 22).

---

\(^8\) Information taken from the Access to Higher Education website, available at: [https://www.accesstohe.ac.uk/Pages/Default.aspx](https://www.accesstohe.ac.uk/Pages/Default.aspx)

\(^9\) Information taken from the Access to Higher Education website, available at: [https://www.accesstohe.ac.uk/Pages/Default.aspx](https://www.accesstohe.ac.uk/Pages/Default.aspx)


2.4. Student employment

University students in the UK who are UK or EU citizens have no restrictions to work, even if they are enrolled in full time studies. There is no restriction for this category of students in the number of hours they may work per week either; however, many universities strongly encourage students not to work more that 15-16 hours per week since this may affect their studies (see, for instance, website of King’s College London\(^\text{12}\), or website of London School of Economics\(^\text{13}\)). International students who need a visa to study in the UK may work only up to 20 hours per week during the term time, or full time during the academic holidays. Working students pay taxes if they earn above an annual salary threshold and are entitled to have a national insurance.\(^\text{14}\)

2.5. Widening participation policies

Although there is a national strategy for widening participation in the UK higher education (OFFA/HEFCE 2014(b)), which suggests that making access to higher education more equitable is a state priority, there is no system implemented at country-level for prioritizing the access to a specific disadvantaged group. The Office for Fair Access in England requires from universities that charge tuition fees above a certain limit to decide upon their own strategies for widening participation, hence some universities may choose to implement affirmative measures for increasing the enrolment of specific disadvantaged groups through targeted outreach, financial support and academic support during studies, as part of their own strategies for widening participation policies.

---

\(^\text{12}\) Source: Employment and Tax; Employment Rights. King’s College London. available at: [http://www.kcl.ac.uk/campuslife/services/student-advice-support/how/employment/index.aspx](http://www.kcl.ac.uk/campuslife/services/student-advice-support/how/employment/index.aspx)

\(^\text{13}\) Source: Part time work. London School of Economics. available at: [http://www.lse.ac.uk/intranet/CareersAndVacancies/careersService/Internships/Part-timeWork.aspx](http://www.lse.ac.uk/intranet/CareersAndVacancies/careersService/Internships/Part-timeWork.aspx)

\(^\text{14}\) Source: UCL. International Student Support, working during studies, available at: [https://www.ucl.ac.uk/iss/immigration-visa/working-in-the-UK/work-during-studies](https://www.ucl.ac.uk/iss/immigration-visa/working-in-the-UK/work-during-studies)
participation. However, even if many universities have their own programs for widening participation, a 2014 Eurydice report argues that there has been very little or no change in diversity of students in the UK between the 2002-03 and the 2012-13 academic years (Eurydice 2014, p. 3).

Scotland is an exception in the UK, both in terms of policies and in terms of changes in student diversity. Scottish government fixed a target for increasing participation in higher education for specific underrepresented groups: it prioritizes the increase in participation of students from publicly-funded schools where generally socio-economic disadvantaged students are concentrated, for students from further education entering higher education, as well as mature students from deprived backgrounds (Eurydice 2014). Eurydice data suggest that this led to an increasing diversity among students, with the proportion of students from deprived backgrounds having increased from 14% in 2003 to 15% in 2013, and with the proportion of students with minority ethnic background having increased from 6% in 2002 to 11% in 2012 (Eurydice 2014, p. 3, p. 6).

Section Summary

The UK higher education system does provide an alternative route of access in addition to the traditional route, through the system of preparatory courses. Even if preparatory courses are payable, there are possibilities to get study loans that would be written off for those who later continue with higher education. Despite the opportunities for studying to higher education that this system presents, studies suggest that students entering through the traditional route still have more chances to enroll in prestigious universities compared to students entering through alternative routes, while this difference may have a significant impact for employability upon graduation.

As for the flexibility of studies, both part time mode of studies and employment during education are allowed. However, the data from the last years reveal that the fact less and less students enroll in the part time mode of studies, mainly for financial reasons: one the one hand, employers cut support for paid leave for their employees’ part time studies, on the other hand, the rules for accessing tuition fee loans became more restrictive and part-time students became non-eligible for this maintenance loans. Therefore, even if the higher education system does provide opportunities for flexible mode of studies, the financial costs associated with this type of studies de facto hinder the actual opportunities to make use of this flexibility.

3. Studying in Higher Education in the UK: Financial Implications and Existing Support Schemes

Studying in higher education implies costs for living and costs for the education process. In most countries these costs are covered both by students (or their families) and by the government. However, countries differ in the extent to which the costs are covered by one side or the other. The share of costs may also differ over time within the same country. Governments used to cover a significant part of costs related to higher education studies, but with the process of higher education “massification” and increased number of enrolled students the costs started to shift to students and their families. This has significant consequences on the social dimension of higher education: the higher the costs to be covered by students and their families, the lower the opportunities to study in higher education for socio-economically disadvantaged people.

The aim of this section is to analyze the social dimension of the UK higher education in light of its financial costs and financial aid opportunities, in order to determine the extent to which financial costs may constitute an obstacle for attending higher education.
3.1. Tuition fees

Between 1962 and 1990 higher education was free of charge for all full time undergraduate students residing in the UK and they were also receiving non-repayable grants for covering living costs, the amount of which depended on the household income. In 1991 student loans have been introduced (see below more details on the UK student loan system) and gradually their role increased in providing financial resources for covering living costs. In 1998 university students started to charge tuition fees and at the same time the grant system has been abolished. This change has been justified by the increasing number of students and the implicit increase in costs for maintaining the system of higher education (Macpherson S. and Liddell G., 2013). Tuition fee amounts in the UK differ from country to country\(^\text{15}\); they also vary by field of studies, university, and level of education.

In **England** and **Wales** tuition fees for university studies are the highest. They have been introduced in 1998 and at the beginning only 35% of undergraduates were charged full amounts of tuitions, while 20% were charged partial amounts. Since 1998 the ratio of students paying no tuition fees or only partial tuition fees decreased, while the ratio of students paying tuition fees in full increased considerably, from 35% in 1998 to 77% in 2009 (See Figure 8 below).

**Figure 8. Ratios of students paying tuition fees. England and Wales (England only for 2005-2010)**

![Graph showing ratios of students paying tuition fees](image)

The amount of tuition fees paid by students in England and Wales increased over time as well. If in 1998 the average student contribution to these fees was 410 GBP per year, in 2005 it increased to 615 GBP, in 2007 - to 725 GBP, in 2009 – to 1,025 GBP. In 2012 tuition fees increased even

\(^{15}\) In this report, “country” refers to the main territories of the UK: Scotland, Northern Ireland, England, and Wales.
further for the new cohorts of students, reaching 7,500 GBP average fees and the maximum that universities could charge was established at 9,000 GBP per academic year (Bolton P. 2015).

In **Northern Ireland** tuition fees have been also introduced in 1998, but they remained at lower rates compared to England and Wales. For the 2015-16 academic year student fees in Northern Ireland can be up to 3,575 GBP per year for students from Northern Ireland and non-UK EU/EEA countries, while for students coming from Wales, England, and Scotland, tuition fees in universities of Northern Ireland can be as much as 9,000 GBP per year.

In **Scotland** university studies have been free of charge until the beginning of 2000s. In 2001 a system of graduate endowment was introduced, according to which instead of paying tuition fees students were supposed to pay a one-off charge one year after graduation, payment that was intended to reflect the financial gains coming with a university degree. Initially the graduate endowment was set at 2,000 GBP per student, although some categories of students were exempted from paying it. The system of endowment was abolished in 2008 by the new Scottish government who considered that the endowment system acted as a disincentive among under-represented groups to consider entering higher education. Since the abolition of the endowment system students domiciled in Scotland, as well as EU/EEA students, have not been charged tuition fees. Only students coming to study in Scotland from other countries of the UK have been charged tuition fees (Macpherson S. and Liddell G., 2013).

### 3.2. Living costs

Since the system of grants for students to cover their living costs have been abolished in 1998, students and their families had to secure money for covering this type of expense as well during higher education. Student living costs in the UK differ from country to country and some cities are more expensive than others; they also depend on whether students need to or do not need to live outside their family home.

Some general estimates indicate that weekly living costs for students vary between 167 GBP and 287 GBP per week, i.e. approximately between 6,680 GBP and 11,480 GBP for a 40-week academic year, depending on the university and its location. Living costs for students studying in universities in London, Oxford University, and University of Sussex in Brighton are among the highest, while living costs for students studying in University of Leicester, University of Bristol, and University of Nottingham are the cheapest. These costs include food, activities and study materials, rent in halls, and weekly travel passes (Palmer K. 2014).

Other estimates suggest that on average the annual living costs for students are around 16,500 GBP in London and 15,500 GBP outside London (Milburn A. 2012, p. 63).

### 3.3. Costs of higher education in perspective

Adding up the tuition fee amounts with the average costs for living, students in the UK need a budget between about 6,680 GBP and 20,500 GBP per year, depending on where they study and on whether they pay tuition fees or not. Considering that only in Scotland students are exempted from tuition fee payment, only for them the costs would be close to the smallest part of this range.

---


In Northern Ireland an average student would need a budget of about 10,000 GBP per year to cover tuition and living costs, while in England and Wales s/he would need between 14,000 GBP and 20,500 GBP per year because tuition fees are the highest.

In order to have a better understanding how much these costs affect the financial means of students’ families in the UK, one can compare them with the average wages. According to data from the UK Office of National Statistics, in 2013 the median gross annual earnings were about 27,000 GBP (Office for National Statistics, 2013). At this level of annual gross income, the annual net income would be about 21,445 GBP\(^\text{18}\), which is the median net amount earned by a full time employed individual in the UK. A family with two full time earners would hence earn a net annual income of about 43,000 GBP. Out of this income, a Scottish family of a student would have to spend about 16\% for supporting his/her studies, a Northern Irish family – about 23\%, while a family in England or Wales – between 33\% and 48\%.

Hence, studying in higher education in the UK can be a significant financial burden for students and their families, especially for those coming from lower class background working in professions where earnings are below the median wages or in mono-parental families. The below sections analyze what tools the UK governments and universities implement in order to counter-balance the high costs for higher education for students coming from socio-economically disadvantaged families.

### 3.4. Student financial aid

In England universities charging tuition fees higher than the established basic fee cap of 6,000 GBP per year, must submit special working plans, called “Access Agreements”, to the Office for Fair Access (OFFA). The access agreements establish how universities will ensure access to higher education for under-represented groups through outreach work, financial aid for students from low income families or under-represented groups, as well as through activities aimed at supporting disadvantaged students during their studies and in the progress from education to employment. All higher education institutions charging fees higher than the established basic cap of 6,000 GBP and the maximum allowed amount of 9,000 GBP need to elaborate and submit such agreements, specifying how the income received from charging tuition fees higher than the basic cap is going to be used for facilitating the access to higher education for disadvantaged students (OFFA/HEFCE 2014(a)). In the 2012-13 academic year OFFA set guidelines for the ratios from surplus tuition fees that universities should spend on widening participation for disadvantaged students, ratios presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proportion of students from under-represented groups</th>
<th>Guideline for spend on access measures, as a percentage of higher fee income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OFFA/HEFCE 2014(a), p. 11

---

In the 2012-13 academic year 39.5% of fee-regulated students in England received a financial award, based on their lower income or under-represented group status. Majority of these students (31%) received full state support, while the rest received partial state support. Those with full state support received on average financial support of 1,268 GBP, while those with partial – 731 GBP (OFFA/HEFCE 2014(a), p. 14). Relative to the total study and living costs calculated in the subsections above, this financial aid covers only a minimal part and therefore cannot constitute a critical factor for deciding to study in higher education for a socio-economically disadvantaged student.

According to the system implemented since 2012, students coming from families earning annual incomes of 25,000 GBP or below are entitled to receive a grant covering living costs in the amount of 3,250 GBP per year, as well as maintenance loans of 2,750 GBP, 3,850 GBP, or 6,050 GBP, depending on their living arrangements and where they study. Students from households earning above 25,000 GBP per year can access higher amounts of loans, and lower amounts of grants (Milburn A. 2012, p. 77). In addition, individual universities also offer financial support to their students with disadvantaged backgrounds, in the minimum value established at 347 GBP per year.

Relative to the total study and living costs calculated in the subsections above, this financial aid covers only a minimal part and therefore cannot constitute a critical factor for deciding to study in higher education for a socio-economically disadvantaged student.

In summer 2015 the Government announced that starting with the 2016-17 academic year, the maintenance grants will be replaced with maintenance loans, which implies that students with disadvantaged backgrounds will not be able to access grants for covering living costs anymore, but they will have to request loans instead (Bolton P. 2015, p. 8).

### 3.5. Student Loan System

UK has a relatively long tradition of student loans, which started in 1990-91 academic year, by that time meant to cover undergraduate students’ living costs (Macpherson S. and Liddell G., 2013) and could be up to 420 GBP per year (Bolton P. 2015). Since then, the system was modified several times. In 2012 when new tuition fee rates have been introduced, the loan system was adjusted as well. Students who enrolled in undergraduate studies starting with 2012-13 and paying the increased amount of tuition fees (with the fee cap established at 9,000 GBP) could access tuition fee loans for covering their tuition fees, as well as maintenance loans for covering living costs during the studies. The amounts for the maintenance loans were determined by students’ living or not living at home, as well as by their family income, with those coming from low-income families and living away from home having access to higher amounts. In the 2014-2015 academic year the maintenance loans could reach 7,750 GBP (Bolton P. 2015). The part-time students can also access loans, although under more restrictive rules. As for the Master-level students, the current system does not allow them access to student loans; however, it is planned to open access for Master students aged under 30 to a yearly student loan of up to 10,000 GBP per year and an interest rate of 3%, starting with the 2016-17 academic year (Coughlan S., 2014).

According to OECD Education at a Glance (2015), 92% of Bachelor degree UK-domiciled full time students benefit from public loans or grants (OECD 2015). Data from the UK Department of Education indicate that in the 2011-12 academic year 88% of UK students took a maintenance loan and 85% took a tuition fee loan. The data also reveal that the ratio of students taking loans for financing their tertiary education increased considerably since the loan system has been introduced: comparatively, in 1990-91 only 28% of students took loans, while in 2000-01 78% of students did so (Bolton P. 2015, p. 16).
Students who benefited of the loan system are expected to pay their loans back after graduation, once they are earning over 21,000 GBP per year (before the increase in tuition fees, the requirement to pay back the loan started at 15,000 GBP yearly earning). In addition, any debts remaining 30 years after graduation are written off (Milburn A. 2012, p. 77). The interest rates on student loans are based on inflation rate + 3% until graduation, after which the interests are calculated progressively, based on students’ income (Bolton P. 2015).

Section Summary

Financial costs associated with studying in higher education in the UK that need to be covered by students and their families are quite significant and hence can constitute an important barrier for accessing higher education for students coming from socio-economically disadvantaged environment. Tuition fees together with the living costs can constitute from 16% to 48% of the net annual income of a family where both parents receive average wages, with the smallest costs in Scotland (due to current lack of tuition fees) and the highest in England and Wales (due to the highest tuition fee rates). Even if financial support schemes exist both at the level of university and at the level of government for socio-economically disadvantaged students, their amounts are usually not high enough to cover at least half of the costs associated to studying in higher education.

At the same time, the UK’s loan system seems to constitute a viable alternative to the grant- based financial aid. Most of full time students have access to both tuition fee loans and maintenance loans at relatively low interest rates and with the obligation to return the loan only upon earning an annual netto salary equivalent to the general average netto salary in the country. Although the financial burden for studying in higher education still remains placed on student’s side, the current loan system in the UK still offers a possibility to access higher education including for potentially disadvantaged students. It remains to be seen in the years to come how big of a financial burden repaying these loans will be for the young graduate professionals entering the job market and having to repay the loans.

Conclusions

The aim of this briefing paper was to analyze the higher education system in the UK in light of its social dimension, i.e. determining the enablers and barriers in accessing higher education for socio-economically disadvantaged groups, including marginalized minorities. The access to higher education in the UK expanded considerably in the last decades, as can be observed by the constantly increasing ratios of population who attained higher education. This briefing paper attempted to determine whether in light of this expansion the chances for accessing higher education in the UK are similar for all categories of population regardless of their socio-economic background. In the UK there are no state-level affirmative action programs for any specific category of population potentially facing disadvantages; therefore, the paper focused on other tools, alternative to affirmative action programs, that could be implemented in the UK for making the access equitable.

The desktop review conducted for this briefing paper revealed that despite the UK government’s attempts of “widening participation” to higher education and despite the increased access, there are disadvantaged groups who still face underrepresentation, which suggests that expansion of higher education did not necessarily imply equitability. People with disabilities, people whose parents
attained relatively low levels of formal education, people of working class background, as well as ethnic Roma, are still underrepresented in higher education. In case of people with low parental level of education and/or working class background, disadvantages can be observed even in case of those who enroll in universities, since they tend to enroll in less prestigious universities and face more difficulties in finding employment upon graduation. Ethnic minorities and students with migrant background (other than Roma) seem to be proportionally represented in higher education; however, they also tend to study in the less prestigious universities and face more difficulties in finding jobs upon graduation, compared to their counterparts.

Among all disadvantaged groups, Roma minorities are by far the least represented in the UK higher education system. Existing estimates suggest that only 3-4% of Roma population have higher education, which is significantly less compared to the ratio of mainstream population who attained higher education in the UK (41% in the age group 25-64). The low ratios of secondary education completion, the cumulated disadvantages faced by Roma minorities (including poverty), as well as the financial costs associated with higher education are among the barriers preventing more Roma to study at university.

From the point of view of available entry routes, the UK system offers an alternative to the traditional route, individuals not in possession of a secondary school diploma having the possibility to apply for preparatory courses and then being eligible to apply for higher education upon successful graduation of these preparatory courses. The loans available for preparatory courses attendees and the possibility to have them written off upon eventual enrolment to higher education constitute a potentially viable alternative route, which may be particularly useful for socio-economically disadvantaged people. There are also possibilities to study part time and to work during studies, although this flexibility may come with significant financial implications.

The financial costs for higher education in the UK expected to be covered by students and their families are significant, since tuition fees are relatively high and together with the living costs, can constitute between 16% and 48% of the yearly net income of a family earning average wages. For socio-economically disadvantaged students there are possibilities of receiving financial aid in form of state or university-based grants, but usually the amounts are too small in comparison with the total costs.

At the same time, the UK higher education system has a relatively long experience with study loans that dates back TO early 1990s, that gradually replaced the system of financial aid in form of grants, and that currently constitute the main source of funding for students’ higher education. At the moment, study loans seem to be rather accessible and repayment conditions reasonable, although it remains to be seen in the years to come if conditions for accessing loans will become stricter and repayment conditions harder. It also remains to be seen how big of a financial burden will repaying these loans become for current students when they enter the job market. The prospects of having to pay study loans in the same period of life when young professionals normally need financial resources for building their own families and households might prove to be burdensome.

Finally, the case of the UK higher education system illustrates that even when access for disadvantaged and marginalized minorities is opening, equity is not guaranteed: inequalities can continue to manifest in other forms inside higher education. Once university studies become more accessible, the importance of what kind of degree one gets and from which university one gets the respective degree increases as well, particularly for the employment upon graduation. These new inequalities raise new barriers for social mobility beyond the mere access to higher education.
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1. Gypsy, Traveler and Roma Population in the UK: General Situation, Main Education Indicators and Comparative Perspectives

1.1. Conceptual clarification

From the beginning of the analysis of population targeted by this study it is important to recognize a problem of identification related to what we indicate as “Gypsy, Traveller and Roma” Population in UK. The appropriate position is described, as mentioned by Danvers E. (2015:5), into the Report:

“The category of Gypsies, Travelers and Roma in the UK is subject to debate over its broad application to a number of diverse communities and it is often confused, usage in policy contexts. The term ‘Roma’ is used as an umbrella term in European Union (EU) policy frameworks but UK Gypsies tend to be referred to as Gypsies or Romany Gypsies, whereas Irish Travelers refers to a separate ethnic group”.

Related to history of Roma in the UK, the literature shows that “They all have the same ancestral roots in India and their languages all have the same Sanskrit base.”(Fremlova and Ureche, 2009c). According to some scholars “Roma people in UK are defined to be Romani people migrating predominantly since the mid-1990s, either those seeking asylum from persecution in mainland European countries.” Therefore in the UK, the term Roma refers to the population coming from Eastern Europe, while Gypsy and Travellers are the “local” Roma, and have different identity and require more detailed explanation about their life style. Concerning self-identification, Gypsy and Travelers do not identify themselves as Roma. In the meantime in the report FRANET National Focal Point Social Thematic Study „The situation of Roma 2012” prepared by Human Rights Law Centre University of Nottingham, Lucie Fremlova states that „They have arrived in the UK over the past two decades from Central and Eastern Europe, Southern Europe and the Balkans”.


“The traditional view is that Romanies left India around a thousand years ago in family groups travelling by three main routes, northwards towards Scandinavia, west through central Europe and south by way of Egypt and North Africa, hence the name Gypsies, and then north through Spain. “Gypsies” is a derivative of “Little Egyptians”. However the first issue of the Gypsy Lore Society in July 1888 acknowledged several possibilities - that Romanies may have been part of European society for 2000 years or that the first Romanies were a group of several thousand musicians presented by the King of Sindh to the Shah of Persia in the fifth century. Moreover, in UK, they are divided in several forms according to the territorial organization of the country and legal status. Gypsies, Roma and Travelers in UK comprise six groups: Romani Gypsies, Welsh Gypsies, Scottish Gypsies, Irish Travelers, Roma, and New Age Travelers, each with different histories, cultural traditions and languages. Of the six, four are of Romani origin; though
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Irish Travelers may also be Romanies, their origins are more obscure. Roma, Gypsies, and Travelers', assuming an inherent link between these groups. Associating Romani minorities with travelling groups as its roots partly in the traditional romantic image of the 'travelling Gypsy', which is a western European cultural legacy.

In terms of geographical distribution, there are established compact communities alongside UK, in North of England cities such as Manchester, Sheffield, Bolton, Rotterdam, and Liverpool; big communities can be also found in Scotland (Glasgow), Wales (Cardiff), as well as in Northern Ireland (Belfast). As is stated in the A2 and A8 Roma survey, the biggest population of Roma are based in cities across Northern England. The major concentrations of A2 and A8 Roma populations are now found in the North of England, the East Midlands, Kent, and in North and East London.

1.2. Statistics on Gypsy, Traveler and Roma Population in the UK

Similar with other European countries, there is a big confusion about the real number of Roma that live in the U.K. Different actors operate with different numbers, which can lead to unlike measures and action plans supposed to support social inclusion. In 2012, European Commission operated with figures such as the ones in „Factsheet United Kingdom Key Information Roma population“ that estimated for National Roma Integration Strategy a number of 80,000-300,000 Roma in the UK, approximately 225,000 and approximately 0.36% of the population according to Council of Europe. One of the reasons for this confusion can be because of the lack of data about Roma migration from other EU countries. “Roma communities are multifaceted and live in many EU Member States. They are diverse, not only in terms of lifestyle and culture, but also in the extent to which they are integrated in mainstream national societies. Not all Roma are necessarily poor and at the same time not all poor Europeans are Roma.”

According to the census realized by Office for National Statistics in UK in 2011, 58,000 people identified themselves as Gypsy or Irish Traveller (which is 0.1% of the general resident population of England and Wales). In 2011 it was the first time when within the census process the authorities decided to introduce self-identification for Roma. The result of the census showed several issues and some important key points that underline the low socio-economic status of the group, and also that even if there are some measures that are implemented in order to rise the status of Roma population in the UK, problems persist and Roma face serious issues regarding their unemployment, education, health and housing.

As a synthesis of the available statistical data and estimation it is worth to underline Danvers E’s analysis (2015:6) who, based on different sources, indicated a more accurate picture of Gypsy, Traveler and Roma population in the UK.

“\( \text{In the England and Wales census in 2011 Gypsy and Traveler and Irish Traveler accounted for 58,000 people or 0.1\% of the population, the smallest recorded ethnic} \)\n
\[\text{\textquote{\hspace{1cm}}}\]


26. The Census include just Gypsy and Travellers and not also Roma which migrate from Central Europe in UK.
1.3. General socio-economic situation of Gypsy, Traveler and Roma population in the UK

Regarding the level of education, „in the UK, one of the richest countries of the world, it is estimated that between 70% and 90% of Gypsies and Travelers over the age of fifteen are not literate. Some cannot read at all, others have very poor reading skills and very limited writing skills. Few attend tertiary education”. With all of this on „Overview of Europe 2020 targets” the UK did not set out clear indicators, as it is stated in the documents that „countries that have expressed their national target in relation to an indicator different than the EU headline target indicator”. In this case, UK set out indicators only related to poverty with the mentions that the indicators numerical targets are set in 2010 „Child Poverty Act”27 and „Child Poverty Strategy 2011-2014”.

The situation of the Roma community in the UK in recent years has concerned a large number of diverse institutional stakeholders, public and private, being established structures of Roma representation at national and international level. However, with all of this, the general reports about Roma show that the current situation does not provide a ground for next generation of Roma community to develop themselves. This is mainly because the circle of poverty affects the main important rights of Roma. Although there are some legislative measures that should support the process of social inclusion, it is still hard to measures the progress of the minorities’ well-being in the field. “Extensive discrimination faced by Gypsies, Traveller’s and Roma has been formally recognized by Member States of the Council of Europe since 1969”28 also “Gypsy or Irish Travellers are recognized under the Equality Act 2010”29 and are widely considered by government and charities to be a vulnerable marginalized group who suffer from poor outcomes”.

According to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights of 1948, "everyone is entitled to all rights and freedoms, (...) without any discrimination such as race, color, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social, property, birth or other status.” Although this was the original meaning of the main humanitarian values, the understanding of certain rights has changed, and the essence was lost when it was applied nationally and especially locally. In the case of Roma minority, the argument is often based on the difficulty of understanding its actions and placing the problem-solving approaches in the exclusive responsibility of the minority. Superficial approach can be easily noticed when analyzing macro-economic indicators in which national governments minimize the aspects that concern the enforcement of rights in the case of this minority. From this point there is only one step to the discrimination and exclusion of the Roma population. Some

28 The UK Government’s response to the EU framework on national Roma integration strategies Marc Willers and Owen Greenhall.
concerns come also from the voices of the community that push them to organize themselves in different forms of organizations and acting in different ways in order to come up with solutions. This was not enough to increase the number of pupils’ attendance at school or the number of Roma, Gypsy and Travellers young in higher education.

As Danvers E. (2015:6-7) indicates, the health, employment and social housing status of Gypsy, Traveller and Roma Population from UK is far to be close to that of the whole population:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis of 2011 census data for England and Wales (Office for National Statistics, 2014) showed:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ 70% of Gypsies and Travelers rated their general health as ‘very good’ or ‘good’ compared to 81% of the overall population;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ 20% of Gypsies and Travelers were unemployed, compared to 7% of the overall population;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ 41% of Gypsies and Travelers live in social housing compared to 16% of the overall population.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the study of “Expert By Experience” report, Roma Gypsy and Travellers face several issues regarding the housing conditions, starting with eviction from unauthorized places, to leaving in separate areas and experiencing “poor housing conditions and the highest levels of discrimination in access to housing, education, employment and healthcare; and that as a consequence their chances in the labor market are diminished.”

Issues of accommodation and the negative impact produced by the lack of adequate housing for Roma, Gypsy and Traveller is recognized also by the European Commission in the Report on discrimination of Roma children in education: “Many Traveller children still face disruption to their education, often caused by the absence of adequate housing facilities and the risk of eviction. Therefore, the lack of temporary accommodation for Traveler families can have a very negative impact on the education of their children.”

1.4. Education indicators attainment, including higher education of Gypsy, Traveler and Roma Population

There are some actions in the UK that aim at increasing the number of students and focus in education progress, but low achievements in formal education among Roma is still persisting. In comparison with other countries, for example Romania the government shaped several years ago affirmative actions in education for Roma, allocating special places in secondary schools and universities for Roma students. For primary education there are other measures explained below. In the UK there are voices that claim education as the only solution for Roma inclusion, but as in most the Central and East European countries, the UK government did not manage to make education and schools accessible place for Roma pupils, even if “in school people are able to acquire the tools which are necessary in order to achieve a certain degree of autonomy and to access the different areas of the information society. At the same time it is a place where people from different cultures can meet each other promoting a mutual knowledge, favoring coexistence among everyone.”

A very clear picture is provided by Danvers E. (2015: 3) who mentioned that “Gypsy, Roma and Traveler young people are far less likely to go to university than the population as a whole.
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Between 3 and 4% of the Gypsy, Roma and Traveler in the UK population over 18 is accessing higher education” (comparing to 43% of those aged 18-30 for the population as a whole).

According to the research “Come closer” realized in 2008, in schools non participation of young people in the age group 14-17 years is 11% for the Roma compared to 3.2% for young people of other ethnicities. In the primary school “the proportion of Roma children enrolled in primary education is 64%, compared to 98.9% - national average”. Significant differences between the education of Roma and the Roma population are recorded at secondary and university levels of education. Thus, in the age group 18-30 years old, 9% of Roma are high school graduates and university graduates 2% versus 41% and 27% for non-Roma population..

According to the research findings of the “2009 Mapping Survey of A2 and A8 Roma in England”, education is a key point of every child’s entry into society. Education plays a crucial role in the social inclusion of the child, whether this relates to school provision in the country of origin or in the target country, which is in this case England.

Regarding economic situation 60 percent of Roma, Gypsy, and Travelers had no qualifications almost three times higher in comparison with 23% non-Roma population. In the same line, 47 % of Roma respondents of the census in 2011 where economically active, in comparison with 63 % non Roma respondents.

The situation of students on higher education in the UK, is described by Danvers E. (2015: 10): “A proportional estimate of numbers of Gypsies and Travelers in higher education can be gathered if we take the 2011 census data of usual residents in aged 18 and above of Gypsy/Travelers or Irish Travelers at 37,037 (England and Wales), 3,095 (Scotland) and 758 (Northern Ireland) - a total of 40,890. If this is compared with the numbers of UK domiciled Gypsy/Traveler and Irish Traveler students accessing higher education at 125 in 2012/13 and 155 in 2013/14”.

1.5. Comparison with other countries – European perspectives of Roma social inclusion

The general picture of the Roma, Gypsy and Travelers in Europe, can be understood from the study conducted in November 2015, which suggests that Roma are the most negatively perceived minorities and it is well know that discrimination affects all the areas. Europe’s Roma are often associated, through public and media discourse, with negative stereotypes which draw upon
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notions of (for example): an alien and nomadic culture; criminality; anti-social behavior; benefit dependency; a lack of work ethic; and promiscuity\textsuperscript{37}

**Figure 2. European least tolerant minorities**

![Figure 2. European least tolerant minorities](source)


It is important to note that less than 1\% of Roma in Europe continue studies at higher education level. While accurate data is not available for cross-European comparison, existing surveys do indicate that numbers vary significantly between countries. For example 20\% of Roma in the Czech Republic complete secondary or higher education compared to 8\% in Romania (UNDP et al., 2011).

In order to reduce the existing gaps between Roma and mainstream society in terms of access to education, Romanian government adopted a series of measures that are reflected in the goal “Ensuring equal opportunities and increasing participation in education” in the post-accession strategy of the Ministry of Education, Research, Youth and Sport (MECTS) 2007-2013.

Such measures developed trainings, during 2003-2009, for 660 school mediators. These mediators’ role was to facilitate participation of all children from Roma community in general compulsory education and to encourage parental involvement in children’s educational and school life; and more importantly, to facilitate collaboration between family, community and school. Also, 3,000 special places are annually allocated to young Roma students at upper secondary level and about 500 special places in university for higher education study.\textsuperscript{38}


School mediators’ duties and responsibilities are found in OMECT no. 1539 from 19.07.2007 that specifies clearly that “the position of school mediators recommended hiring a person who knows the language and culture of the local community that require services of mediation”.  

In the last 20 years in Romania, young Roma students have benefited from special places for Roma in high schools 2.9% and 1.3% at the university.  

The new strategy to improve the situation of the Roma in Romania, adopted in 2014, stipulates the obligation of hiring school mediators in all schools that have minimum 15% Roma students. Therefore, the Roma Strategy requires in 2016 to engage a minimum of 600 school mediators. By 2020, this function should exist in all 1,680 schools across Romania where the number of Roma children and students is at least 15%.

2. Government Initiatives for facilitating the access to education for Roma in the UK

2.1. General strategies and action plans of UK government for Roma inclusion

There are no particular strategies and action plans for Roma inclusion in the UK, as can be find in Central and Eastern Europe. The only strategy is National Roma Integration Strategies which is relatively newly developed.

In 2011 the European Commission introduced an EU Framework for National Roma Integration Strategies focussing on four key areas: education, employment, healthcare and housing. It also invited all Member States to present the European Commission with their strategy for Roma inclusion or for specific policy measures for the Roma within their wider social inclusion policies. The main responsibility was to improve the situation of all marginalised people, including the Roma across the Member States. In relation to education issues as is stated in the communiqué, “An EU Framework for National Roma Integration Strategies Up To 2020” by implementing NRSI should ensure “access to quality education, including early childhood education and care, as well as primary, secondary and higher education, with particular reference to the elimination of possible segregation at school, the prevention of early school leaving and ensuring successful transitions from school to employment”.

One of the main objectives of the framework is “Access to education” stressing that it should be ensured “that all Roma children complete at least primary school”. Member States should ensure that all Roma children have access to quality education and are not subject to discrimination or segregation, regardless of whether they are sedentary or not. Member States should, as a minimum, ensure primary school completion. They should also widen access to quality early childhood education and care and reduce the number of early school leavers from secondary education pursuant to the Europe 2020 strategy. Also, Roma youth should be strongly encouraged to participate in secondary and tertiary education.

According to national legislation children in the UK have 3 basic rights related to education:
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• Free and compulsory primary education;
• Equal access for minorities to education;
• Equal opportunities within education systems.

Every child starts the education process at 3 years old and they should attend up to 15 hours of free education per week. School attendance is compulsory for any child in England and Wales from the age of 4 to the age of 18). “Although there are no national data available on school attendance disaggregated by ethnicity,” in order to better answer to need of Roma Gypsy and Travelers and to help them to reach their full potential some authority’s use what is call “Pupil Level Annual School” implemented since 2003, which counts the number of their Roma students, based on which services have been formatted to better reflect the needs of the Roma communities. These initiatives are aimed at providing support to the whole family. In addition the authorities employed Roma as teaching assistants and outreach staff to support the families in the engagement in their children’s education service provision.

Even if European Commission required to member states to provide Roma strategy UK in order to improve the socio-economic situation of Roma in Europe, the government did not established a National Roma Strategy in order to support the Roma inclusion based on “Vademecum. The 10 Common Basic Principles on Roma Inclusion”, for Roma Gypsy and Travelers preferred to use some mainstream policy as framework to deliver social inclusion including education area.

The 10 “Common Basic Principles of Roma Inclusion” include:

Constructive, pragmatic and non-discriminatory policies: this means more concrete policies should be built with respect to the situation on the ground. The design, implementation and evaluation of policies and projects should not be based on preconceptions. In order to meet this requirement, attention should be paid to studies and other sources of factual information, Roma people should be involved in the design, implementation or evaluation of policies and projects.

Explicit but not exclusive targeting: this principle rises from the ongoing debate on how to best address the needs of ethnic minorities with regard to the two contrasting perspectives: a specific approach -targeted at a specific minority or a general approach. “Explicit but not exclusive approach” - more concrete focus on Roma people as a target group without excluding other vulnerable groups that living on the same socio-economic conditions.

Inter-cultural approach - having the role which solves the dilemma of implementing: measures to promote the inclusion of an ethnic minority often integration will lead to cultural assimilation. This us come to clarify that “instead of referring to cultural identities”, policies and projects should focus on the promotion of inter-cultural learning and skills. And this can be approached by providing to the majority population tools in order to understand the Roma
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culture, the process should be also vice versa in this way will help the both sides to tackle prejudice implementing this principle should be made based on fundamental human rights.

Aiming for the mainstream refers to long-term impact of policies and projects as sometimes, despite aiming to support Roma inclusion, they can result in strengthening segregation that promoting the inclusion of the Roma in mainstream society should be the ultimate aim of all policies, all actions should be assessed to see if they risk causing segregation and adapted if necessary for example creating separate and artificial labor markets or indirect discrimination.

Awareness of the gender dimension refers to the role of gender in promoting inclusion also the principals express the importance of involving in the education of Roma kids for example school mediators.

Transfer of evidence-based policies underline: the need of learning from own experiences and exchange experiences with other stakeholders with the aim of highlighting lessons from their work. In order to benefit from experience, good practices should be highlighted and disseminated to others. Dialogue should take place among Member States and among Roma stakeholders, and also with other sectors (for example, concerning work with other vulnerable groups or developments taking place outside of the EU).

Use of European Union instruments: the seventh Principle draws attention to the EU’s legal, financial and coordination instruments which can be promoted to Member States as tools for supporting Roma inclusion. It is connected to the sixth Principle as it refers to coordination and cooperation among stakeholders at European level.

Involvement of regional and local authorities: the eighth Principle focuses on regional and local governance as actors at local level are essential for Roma inclusion.

Involvement of civil society and Active participation of the Roma: the last two Principles concern cooperation with non-governmental organizations (NGOs), social partners, academics/researchers and Roma communities. These actors should actively participate in the design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of policies and projects. Not only do Roma organizations and networks have valuable expertise to offer, but they can also be important relays for disseminating information to others.

It could allegedly be understood that if a member state does not use these principals, it would not be due to the focus in changing the situation of such groups but a matter of political will. It can also be understood that it is not due to the importance of paying particular attention to such groups even though there is a special request from the European Union in this respect. There are dilemmas of obtaining an appropriate balance of focus and resources between provision specifically targeted towards GRT communities, and mainstream service provision.

Likewise, Vivian Reding presents the situation of the Roma as follows:

“Although the situation differs between EU countries, studies show that on average only around 40% of Roma children complete primary school - compared to an average of 97% for the general population across the EU. That is why the Commission's Framework called on all Member States to make sure all Roma children complete at least primary school. To break the vicious circle of Roma who have no education and who later cannot
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find a job and therefore end up living in sheer poverty; to ensure Roma children get
education today, to find work tomorrow.”

2.2. Access to education
The literature review conducted for this research has shown that the attendance of Gypsy, Roma and Traveler pupils continues to be identified as a significant problem, particularly in the primary and secondary phase Roma and Traveler pupils have the lowest achieving results or coming age requirements of the national curriculum groups within schools in the UK. At the same time, “nearly 9 out of every 10 children and young people from a Gypsy, Roma or Travelers background have suffered racial abuse”, which is in contradiction with the mission of the government to ensure equality in society, as is stated in the progress report as a core values “Equality is about creating a fairer society, where diversity is valued and respected, where people do not face discrimination and prejudice and a society where everyone can participate, flourish and have the opportunity to fulfill their potential.”

Traveler Education Support Service and Ethnic Minority Achievement Service are in charge with all groups of Roma that are alongside UK. In order to increase the attainment of Roma children there are some outstanding initiatives projects.

**England:** That there are not national initiatives in England to promote inclusive education targeting specifically Roma, Gypsy and Travelers and several barriers make social inclusion more difficult generating lack of understanding of culture.

**Traveler Education Support Services:** represent a central point of contact access to education, watching over their wellbeing and attainment in schools in England and often facilitating their access to other services.

**Scotland:** In 2014, the Scottish Government was working on an action plan related to their proposed commitment to reduce discrimination towards Gypsy and Travelers by 2017.

STEP is a national Knowledge, Exchange and Information Centre, funded by Scottish Government’s Learning Directorate, Support for Learning Division. It is located in the Institute for Education, Teaching and Leadership at The Moray House School of Education, The University of Edinburgh.

**National aims of STEP:**

- **Promoting** developments of innovative, diverse and flexible access to education for Travelers, particularly for those and others with interrupted learning;

- **Listening to and enabling** Traveler families express their views about education and their children’s educational needs;
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Enabling an informed respect for travelling communities in Scotland through a greater awareness of their histories, cultures, traditions and contemporary circumstances;

Encouraging positive approaches to diversity in education through supporting practitioners and families in challenging racism, harassment and bullying.

2.3. Policies, analyses implementation and results

As stated in the report of Roma Families and Friend’s lack of aspiration is one of the barriers that does not allow the young to develop themselves, many young people that works Friends, Families & Travelers NGO with have exceptionally low aspirations, and often find it difficult to make decisions, choose what they want to do, what they like, or have any plans for the future. This is due to a combination of expected gender roles, lack of opportunities to try and discover new things, or talents, to meet new people outside of close family units, poverty, caring roles, fear of rejection when accessing services, negative experiences from non-Traveler peers and the inability to plan or think ahead for the many families who are constantly being evicted.

One reason that shapes the underrepresentation of Roma in education is the racial abuse and discrimination that Roma Gypsy and Travelers experienced for a long period of time. Years of experiencing direct and indirect racial abuse and discrimination, has long term detrimental effects on life chances, including the fear and lack of confidence to try new things and to be accepted outside of the Traveler community. The community members face bad experiences like of harassment, hate, damage to property including racially abusive language being written on belongings, to repellent approaches including the throwing of rubbish outside of their front door or dog excrement being placed through letter boxes.

Another reason that supports the under-representation of Roma, Gypsy and Travelers in education the house evictions that provoke high levels of stress. The eviction process is usually extremely stressful with families being repeatedly criminalized and forced off land with nowhere to go by the police, needing to pack up very quickly and move at very late or early unsociable hours. Evictions are particularly stressful for families in the winter months and with health issues, elderly people, those who may be overcoming bereavement issues, waiting for or overcoming urgent hospital treatment, pregnant women, new born babies and families with young children attending local schools. The eviction process can be even more draconian if a family has their vehicle or caravan impounded by the police as this is their home containing all personal belongings.

According to the Educational Equality for Gypsy, Roma and Traveler Children and Young People in the UK, there are 5 factors identified by teachers and staff that keep the Roma, Gypsy and Travel’s pupils away from school:

- early exposure to racism and bullying
- social and cultural isolation
- conflict with teachers or peers
- a perceived lack of support in access- in the curriculum
- low expectation of teachers regarding attendance and achievements
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Developing long term and strategic approaches. Key factors which inhibit longer term service development include: short term crisis management; issues around insecurity of accommodation; inter-organizational differences around data-sharing; lack of central involvement of Gypsy, Roma and Traveler communities in policy development; the need for a capacity building approach.

3. Non-Governmental Initiatives for facilitating the access to higher education for Roma in the UK

3.1. The role of NGO-led initiatives for facilitating the access to Education – UK perspectives

There are only few non-governmental initiatives aimed at facilitating the access to education for Roma, Gypsy and Travelers in the UK. There are some groups of Roma organization that are conducting spread activities across the UK regarding the education, but without having a specific focus on higher education. UK was not part of the Decade for Roma Inclusion. At the European level through National Roma Integration Strategy it is supposed that the inclusion of Roma, Gypsy and Travelers should be realized with the EU FUNDS. According to the 2007 -2013 financial programming from European Union to UK, €14.4 billion were supposed to be spent under the programs European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and European Social Fund and additional €6.4 billion under the Rural Development Fund. Second financial programming 2014-2020 allocated €11.6 billion from the European Social Fund and European Regional Development Fund that support to be spend in order to increase the status of disadvantaged groups including Roma but according to European Commission monitoring report on the UK regarding Roma’ Integration Strategy realized in 2014, there is no progress and no consultation regarding development of strategy. The only hopes in producing changes in the educational outcomes for Roma pupils remain at the disposal of the local councils of the UK.

The indicators also show that there is not capacity on Roma, Gypsy and Traveler organizations in order to access Structural Funds and to meet the bureaucracy of such program required at the European level. Therefore even if it is a big amount of money that can produce changes in the life of the group and ensures equal access to education, there are no special projects that can allow to civil society to develop capacity building and take action supposed to increase the number of Roma children in education and in higher education.

Article 12 from Scotland is an organization that addressed the unethical reporting exhibited by many publications concerning Gypsy/Travelers in the UK. To identify misleading and unbalanced articles are a key driving force in the discrimination experienced by Gypsy/Travelers in UK as a whole. The activity of the organization is performed by young people as an express of their concerns regarding Roma in media coverage.

Friends, Families & Travelers is a national voluntary organization providing information, advice, support, an advocacy role, national casework, planning and policy work and campaigning for the rights of all Travelers regardless of their ethnic group or lifestyle. This includes campaigning for the right to live a nomadic lifestyle.
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Since February 2008, they have been working with young people across Sussex on a project funded by BBC Children In Need. FFT works to support young Gypsies and Travellers to build their confidence and help them access into the normal activities enjoyed by young people in the community as well as into work and further education. FFT provides individual support and mentoring and organizes programmes of group activities during school holiday times as a means of retaining their interest and enthusiasm. In June 2012 “Friends Families and Travellers” produced its first professional theatre production called “Crystal’s Vardo” a play for children and young people written by Suzanna King. The play was premiered at “The Pavilion Theatre” in Brighton as part of Gypsy Roma Traveller History month and was declared as a great success. In addition the play was performed in several Brighton schools where it was also well received. The main role of the play is Crystal a young Gypsy girl who has been bullied at school which become desperate to get away she runs away from home with her Grandfather’s vardo and pony only to find herself lost and miles from anywhere familiar, she has no option but to put her trust in the audience to help her find her way back. As Crystal begins to tell the story of her ancestors, something extraordinary happens and Crystal and her companions find themselves far back in time, when the first Gypsies migrated from Northern India. By piecing together the shards of her ancestry, she regains a new confidence in her own identity, whilst raising the awareness of her new friends. “Crystal’s Vardo” was implemented in response to the continued problems of discrimination and bullying faced by young Roma Travellers. This can be considered as a great resource for schools to help tackle these issues and to teach the settled community about the history and culture of Gypsies and Travellers.56

The Advisory Council for the Education of Romany and other Travellers (ACERT)57 the organization was founded in 1973 by Lady Plowden, Tom Lee, the General Secretary of the Romany Guild and others from Gypsy and other communities. Lady Plowden had chaired the major Government study “Children and their Primary Schools” in 1967, which found that Gypsy children had the worst access to Education of any group. ACERT is a key partner in running very successful event “Education on the Hoof” at Appleby Horse Fair each June in Cumbria. It maintains close links and many common aims with the National Association of Teachers of Travellers and Other Professionals.

Traveller Education Support Service for Northern Ireland (TESS)58

The Traveller Education Support Service provides advice, guidance and focused support to schools and to parents and pupils from the Traveller community as part of the Children & Young People’s Services main aim: “to help improve pupil outcomes and ultimately their life chances”.

In order to accomplish his aim TESS has the following objectives:

- To improve the quality of educational support provided to the Traveller community;
- To provide a consistent service to schools and families in need of support across Northern Ireland;
- To facilitate equality of access from pre-school to post-primary education;
- To build the capacity of schools to meet the educational needs of Traveller children and young people;
- To promote whole school approaches to service development;
- To promote full inclusion and integration across all areas of youth education;
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• To support and encourage family learning, out of school hours learning and life-long learning.

**National Association of Teachers of Travellers (NATT)**

The organization is committed to the education of Gypsy, Roma and Travellers of Irish Heritage, Fairground, Circus, New and Bargee children and young people. Throughout the year, it provides resources for teachers of Travellers and other professionals.

**Scottish Education Traveller Programme (STEP)** according to their website their mission is to give “voice to everyone involved in travelling culture and education”. STEP is in the unique position of being able to straddle research, policy and practice. We are based within the University of Edinburgh, Institute of Education Teaching and Leadership, they receive support from Scottish Government’s Learning and Equalities Directorates and are in charge also with the Traveller Education Network (TENET), which consist of membership from the majority of Scotland’s local authorities.

The target groups are: families who experience interruptions to education due to travelling or cultural reasons. Teachers and all who are involved in education with people from travelling cultures and it provide information, resources and ideas.

**4. Access to Higher Education for Roma in UK from Roma Students’ Perspectives: Enablers and Barriers**

In the analysis on Access to Higher Education in the UK I have conducted a survey based on semi-structured questionnaire, addressed and transmitted through social networks and contacts with student belonging to the target group known to learn in this country. Knowing that the number of students belonging to the target group is relatively limited (150 in the academic year 2013/2014) it is obvious that accessibility to respondents that I could have is limited as well.

In this respect, I applied a questionnaire containing the following topics:

• Roma, Gypsies and Travelers in higher education
• Prejudice and stereotypes
• Secondary education and transition to university
• Access to higher education and related costs
• State or university support for students in need
• Support of Non-Governmental Initiatives for facilitating the access to higher education for Roma in the UK
• Enablers and barriers for Roma, Gypsies and Travelers in higher education

I managed to interview three Roma students from three different universities in the UK: a student from Romania, a student from Macedonia, and a student in Bulgaria, as is presented below.

| Name: L. I. D. |
| Age: 39 |

---
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4.1. Roma, Gypsies and Travelers in higher education

On this subject it is interesting to note the heterogeneity of the origins for the category in which the subjects have been self-identified: LID belongs to the group of Ursari Roma (those who used to make the bears dance), while TF and VP did not specify a particular Roma sub-group. At the community level home T. F. comes from a segregated community, while LID and V.P. are from integrated communities.

Regarding the attitude towards formal education for Roma, Gypsies and Travelers community, LID mentions that:

“I was born and raised in urban areas in a building with the majority population. In my family the education has a great value, no different than other members of society”

TF indicates:

“It depends what we mean by ‘attitude’. If we define it as a desire or willingness to gain or pursue formal education – in my community, undoubtedly everyone wants that; they are very keen to gain formal education (including higher education/university studies as well.”

VP emphasizes that:

“In my local community (Montana, North- Western Bulgaria) more than half of the Roma population have at least secondary level of education, which speaks by itself for the attitude to formal education. My city is one of the good examples of places of Roma communities with significant number of Roma with higher level of education”.
The aspect of traditional position of the woman in Roma, Gypsies and Traveler community is revealed by subjects as is mentioned below:

**LID:** “I believe that ‘traditional’ model implied by your question cannot be defined as a universal or collective concept, but rather should be regarded as a dynamic process of socially organizing and regulating the cultural experiences, whilst the membership norms are always negotiated and reshaped. The public discourse in European society today inclines to claim that GRT should be perceived as an ethnic group that represents an unyielding, and indissoluble entity and their ethnic identity as a natural product of an unchangeable traditional culture. Nevertheless, this model was criticized for being advanced on highly subjective and biased presumptions, failing to take into account the institutional barriers that could have a major impact on the educational achievement of children. It can be settled that the concept of GRT "traditional culture" cannot be a realistic model since the concept of ‘culture’ is a constant subject of change and redesign rather than unalterable by its nature”.

**TF:** “Anyway, there is no difference between women and men in my community; especially not when it comes to the issue concerning education; generally speaking, the parents are encouraging their kids (regardless of their gender) to pursue their education”.

**VP:** “Traditions in my local community are not different from those in the other parts of the world: from the woman is expected to take care for children, to be good housewife and to support her husband development. Those women who study, or who try to build a career receive attitudes starting from complete admiration (more coming by other youngsters), to the opposite: full isolation from the community and acceptance as ‘gadzi’.”

Different opinions are collected on the subject on whether “marriage and/or childbearing of Roma, Gypsies and Travelers women can be combined with university studies”, “the possibilities for Roma, Gypsies and Travelers wives and/or mothers to pursue university studies” and “attitude of the Roma, Gypsies and Travelers family or community towards Roma women (single, wives, mothers) who want to pursue higher education”:

**LID:** “Some of the arguments of your perspective when you talk about ‘extent marriage and/or childbearing of Roma, Gypsies and Travelers women’ brought in academic debate are based on the ‘traditional’ division of gender roles of children in GRT communities which is significantly different between boys and girls in relation to community traditional customs and expectations. Over the years, numerous researches reach the conclusion that most of the GRT girls living in close traditional communities have internalized their roles within the family transmitted by their parents. In this sense it was mentioned that: learning housework; keeping virginity until marriage; taking care of siblings and becoming “a good wife and a good mother” are more important than any educational aspirations. First aspect is related with the awareness that early marriages do not signify a necessity within different circumstances. This is one of the most convincing arguments that preferences are not stable but rather determined by goals which are changing over time and circumstances”.

**TF:** NR
VP: “It depends on the people who are getting in a relationship/marriage, but in general, even the educated Roma cannot accept as equal to them the educated Roma girl/woman/wife. I dare to say that even being educated, being wife of educated Roma man, you should prove yourself twice more: one time as professional and second time- as a woman, who is able to handle out each of the duties, which the traditional Roma woman has to do: cooking, cleaning the house, washing, providing care to everyone...And if you lose one of these battles, this would reflect on your life, and on the life of your child/children”.

The sense of belonging to the community, to be part of Roma community seems to be very diluted or quite very confusing (Q: “Does community support you in your education process (secondary school and higher education)? If yes, how exactly (morally, financially, the whole community, or specific members of it, etc.)? Why?”).

LID: “What do you mean by community? I did not live in a Roma community in the sense you implied, therefore I cannot answer”.

TF: “Yes, definitely! Helping is part of our ‘traditional values’ or rather (moral) obligations among Roma – I’m an orphan, due to my specific situation, I was supported morally, financially and otherwise by my the entire community”.

VP: “Yes”.

4.2. Prejudice and stereotypes

LID and VP declare that they didn’t experienced any instances of Roma, Gypsies and Travelers related prejudice and stereotypes during your school education (primary, secondary school), but TF indicates briefly “Yes, kind of”. TF remains constantly in his opinion and argue regarding higher education that

“There is a higher level of political correctness at the university than the one at high school for instance; however the situation remains the same, especially when it comes to prejudice and stereotypes towards Roma”, but is important to note that “Instead of demotivating me, that motivated me even more – the desire and willingness to prove them wrong has been always a driving force throughout my studies”.

LID and VP consider that they did not suffer prejudice and stereotypes during higher education studies. Regarding the involvement of university for students to be successful we notice that LID and TF indicate positive opinions, but VP don’t offer a position on this subject.

4.3. Secondary education and transition to university

On this topic, the subjects express only little information which could help to identify the state of education route and the main problems of transition to University. TF has a strict position to indicate “It was ‘integrated’ school. I was the only Roma in the entire school”, but he entered to higher education immediately after secondary school and he has finished my university studies on time (“3 years BA and 2 years MA – now I’m doing another MA”). LID was to a school with two Roma pupils and he has a extended experience on Higher Education (First university - started at 18, finished at 21, Second university - started at 34, finished at 37, Master – started at 38,
finished at 39, PhD started at 39, planned to finish at 42). Unfortunately, no more answers were received on behalf of VP.

4.4. Access to higher education and related costs
LID and TF are the first generation in their nuclear and/or extended families to study at university (or to have higher education/university degree); VP declares that he is not the first generation to attend university courses.

The reasons to continue studies at higher education level are diverse: LID mentions “Ambition”, while VP indicates her father’s encouragement.

Regarding the fee of tuition, LID did not want to mention the source of financing, TF indicates the family and university and VP did offer an answer to this question (indicated the sum 3900 Pounds, but not the source). LID and VP have been working during their studies, while VP declared that she took a loan to cover her financial needs during the university studies.

Lack of information has been mentioned by all students regarding how they cope with the financial needs during their university studies and if they received support from their families (parents, spouse, children, etc.).

4.5. State or university support for students in need
UK education system supports and helps different students in attainment their goals to pursue higher education: only LID is beneficiary of “Pathway to Excellence Award”, TF and VP don’t mention a any specific support.
LID and VP don’t know of any state-supported financial or non-financial assistance schemes for minority groups in the UK (grants, stipends, etc.), TF avoided to offer a response, but LID and TF indicated that there are non-financial support centers or schemes in their university where students can ask for help (mentorship schemes, peer counseling, cultural communities, etc.)

4.6. Support of non-governmental initiatives for facilitating the access to higher education for Roma in the UK
LID was the beneficiary of Roma Graduation Preparation Program in Budapest before coming to the UK, while VP got a full scholarship by the University of Oxford.

4.7. Enablers and barriers for Roma, Gypsies and Travelers in higher education
The main problems and barriers for Roma to attend university education:

LID:
- Structural and institutional discrimination;
- The hidden interests of Roma community representatives and political parties;
- Lack of inclusive educational environment.
TF:
- Their financial situation
- Presumably socialization can be taken as main obstructions.
VP – non –response
4.8. The impact of higher education on Roma, Gypsies and Travelers ethnic identity

| LID: | “The young generation of GRT has a different perception of their ethnic identity, which is closer to the mainstream society norms and values, without a clear distinction between them and other members of society. GRT ‘identity’ cannot be defined as a universal or collective concept, but rather should be regarded as a dynamic process of socially organizing and regulating the cultural experiences, whilst the membership norms are always negotiated and reshaped. In this view, the need for a ‘ethnic identity’ is contested as long as the boundaries of ethnic concept are fluid and exposed to the influence of the larger social structures and social spectacle. Therefore, to answer your question, there is no quantifiable impact on GRT identity because, the ‘ethnic identity’ itself is a social construct which in my opinion represent a tool for maintaining a deficit paradigm instead of approaching the socio-economic issues that GRT are confronted from a citizenship and human rights perspective”.

4.9. Measures students consider as suitable to facilitate the access for Roma to higher education in the UK

| LID: | Affirmative measures (special place at the UK Universities)
Preparation Programs for University Alumni in order to step up towards a Master degree.

Conclusions

It is important to recognize a problem of identification related to what we indicate as Gypsy, Traveler and Roma Population in UK. The UK Government combined census data with direct counts of caravans, school records and other recording and have estimated the numbers at 300,000 (Friends, Families and Travelers, 2015).

The situation of the Roma community in the UK in recent years concerned large number of diverse institutional stakeholders, public and private, being established structures of Roma representation at national and international level but with all of this the available data on Roma reveal that the situation does not give to the next generation of Roma community the ground to develop their self. Several reasons including the circle of poverty affect the main important rights of Roma and even if there are some legislative measures that should support the process of social inclusion it is still hard to see the progress of well-being for minorities.

There are no strategies and action plans special for Roma inclusion in the UK government inclusion and mainly focusing in education as can be find it in Central and Eastern Europe.

The attendance of Gypsy, Roma and Travelers pupils continues to be identified as a significant problem, particularly in the primary and secondary phases of schooling. Roma and Traveler pupils have the lowest achieving results or coming age requirements of the national curriculum groups within schools in the UK.

Between 3 and 4% of the Gypsy, Roma and Travelers in the UK population over 18 is accessing higher education, but it is important to note that less than 1% of Roma in Europe continue to higher education.
No coherent policy framework on Roma Gypsy and Travelers exist at national level. The data identified as part of this report confirm that a complex range of factors contribute to the underachievement of these groups.

There are no affirmative measures in order to support the transition of Roma, Gypsy and Travels in higher education. The government should make sure to set out its priorities for sustainable growth of the number of Roma in higher education.

There are some spread actions along the UK that aim at increasing the number of students and focus in education progress but still the low achievement is persisting in this area.

It is important to investigate the extent to which outcomes at the individual level of education students reflect the perceptions and fulfill their expectations.

There are only few non-governmental initiatives to facilitate the access of Roma, Gypsy and Travelers in UK higher education.

There is only little information available which could help to identify the state of education route and the main problems of transition to University for Roma, Gypsy and Travelers in the UK in higher education. Majority of Roma, Gypsy and Travelers in the UK in higher education are at the first generation who access university courses (Danvers 2015).

The main problems and barriers for Roma to attend university education in the UK, opinions expressed by interviewed students:

- Structural and institutional discrimination;
- Lack of inclusive educational environment;
- Their financial situation;
- Presumably socialization can be taken as main obstructions.

**Measures considered suitable to facilitate the access of Roma to higher education in UK:**

- Affirmative measures (special place at the UK Universities).
- Preparation Programs for University Alumni in order to step up towards a Master degree.
- Schools must have clear policies on prevention of bullying as much to possible to use this polices in order to avoid the cases of bulling.
- To increase the number of Roma cultural and history activities in school as a measures support.
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Annex 1

Regarding National funding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Article 12 in Scotland</td>
<td>Young Gypsy/Travellers’ Lives Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duncholgan Playgroup</td>
<td>Duncholgan Family Centre</td>
<td>£15,000</td>
<td>£4,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsy/Traveller Education and Information Project</td>
<td>Peer Advice Project</td>
<td>£39,000</td>
<td>£40,000</td>
<td>£25,000</td>
<td>£40,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilton Associates</td>
<td>Community development project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£30,000 in 2007-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Ethnic Carers of People Project</td>
<td>Gypsy/Traveller community development project</td>
<td>£25,000</td>
<td>£75,000</td>
<td>£55,000</td>
<td>£70,000</td>
<td>£58,000</td>
<td>£90,000</td>
<td>£90,000</td>
<td>£90,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Ethnic Carers of People Project</td>
<td>Gypsy/Traveller’s Careers Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£15,000</td>
<td>£15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Ethnic Carers of People Project</td>
<td>Self directed support project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Aid for Scotland</td>
<td>Reach Out project</td>
<td>£32,000</td>
<td>£61,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Aid for Scotland</td>
<td>Young Pacemakers project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Education**62 **Area funding**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Save the Children</td>
<td>Gypsy/Traveller awareness raising events and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£9,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

62 Scottish Government funding to organizations working with Gypsy/Travelers. [http://www.gov.scot/Topics/People/Equality/gypsiestravellers/strategy/funding](http://www.gov.scot/Topics/People/Equality/gypsiestravellers/strategy/funding)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Save the Children</td>
<td>Exhibition at the National Museum of Scotland Young Gypsy/Traveller project</td>
<td>£40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish Traveller Education Project</td>
<td>E-learning and Gypsy/Traveller education in Scotland</td>
<td>£117,000 £85,000 £110,000 £115,000 £115,000 £104,000 £114,000 £114,000 £114,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish Traveller Education Project</td>
<td>Family Literacy Project</td>
<td>£62,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>