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A B S T R A C T

Background: Major depressive disorder (MDD) is common and is associated with an increased risk of psychopathology in offspring. However, depression shows considerable heterogeneity in its course over time. The aim of this study is to examine the relationship between parent depression symptom trajectories and (i) quality of life and social impairment and (ii) psychiatric disorder and depression symptoms in their offspring.

Method: Participants were from a longitudinal study of 337 parents with recurrent MDD and their adolescent offspring. Families were assessed on three occasions over four years. Parent depressive symptoms and current MDD diagnosis were assessed using the Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry. Adult quality of life and social impairment were derived from the EuroQol and current employment status. Psychiatric outcomes in offspring were assessed using the Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Assessment.

Results: Using latent class growth analysis, three distinct classes of parental depression symptoms were identified (asymptomatic, mild, and chronic high). Parent depression classes were associated with their own quality of life and social impairment, and with psychiatric disorder and depression symptoms in their offspring.

Limitations: (i) We were unable to test associations with specific offspring disorders, (ii) we did not address the direction of effects underlying associations, and (iii) the sample consisted primarily of mothers and findings may not generalise to depressed fathers.

Conclusion: Longitudinal assessments of depressive symptoms in parents could help to identify families who are most in need of early intervention.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a serious and increasing global health issue, resulting in substantial costs to individuals, families and society (Murray and Lopez, 1997; Thapar et al., 2012). Parental depression is common and is a key risk factor for youth psychiatric disorder, with offspring of depressed parents showing an increased risk of depression, anxiety and disruptive behaviour disorders when compared with controls (Beardselee et al., 1998; Mars et al., 2012; Weissman et al., 2006). Depression when its onset is in adolescence is especially problematic given high rates of recurrence into adulthood, challenges with treatment and associated impairments including an increased risk of suicide (Thapar et al., 2012). Prevention of depression and other psychiatric disorders in adolescence is therefore a priority, especially in high-risk populations (National Research Council and Institute of Medicine, 2009).

The offspring of parents with depression are a potentially important target group for early intervention and prevention, however, not all young people with a depressed parent go on to...
develop such problems. Depression is very heterogeneous (Hammen and Brennan, 2003; Mars et al., 2012) and this may partly explain differences in child outcomes. For example, parental clinical illness features such as early age of onset, chronicity, severity and specific depression symptoms have all been found to be associated with child outcomes (Foster et al., 2008; Hammen and Brennan, 2003; Klein et al., 2005; Mars et al., 2012, 2013; Weissman et al., 1984).

Longitudinal studies highlight natural course of depression, like many common health problems is highly variable over time (Judd and Akiskal, 2000; Judd et al., 1998; Nandi et al., 2009). Indeed it is well established that some individuals with depression experience residual depression symptoms and associated impairment in-between episodes, whilst others show complete remission for substantial periods (Judd and Akiskal, 2000; Judd et al., 1998; Nierenberg et al., 2010). The importance of depression heterogeneity, as defined by course variability, in child-rearing adults with a history of recurrent depressive disorder is not known; either in relation to the impact on parent quality of life and impairment or in terms of offspring risk of psychopathology.

The present investigation utilises data from a longitudinal three-wave study of families where one parent had at least two prior episodes of DSM-IV MDD during their lifetime, confirmed at an interview. The first aim was to identify distinct classes of parent depression, based on their depression symptom levels at each of the three assessment time points, and validate these classes by showing associations with clinical features that index depression severity. The second aim was to examine the impact of parent depression symptom classes on adult quality of life and social impairment. The third aim was to examine the relationship between parent depression symptom classes and risk for psychiatric disorder and depression symptoms in adolescent offspring.

2. Methods

2.1. Sample

Data were drawn from the Early Prediction of Adolescent Depression (EPAD) study: a prospective longitudinal study of the high-risk offspring of recurrently depressed parents (Mars et al., 2012). Families were recruited predominantly from primary care practices across south Wales, UK (78%). Remaining families were recruited from a sample with previously identified unipolar depression (19%) and community volunteers (3%). Parents with a history of psychotic disorder or bipolar disorder or those who met DSM-IV criteria for mania/hypomania were excluded. There were no diagnostic exclusion criteria for the children in the study. Parental history of psychotic disorder or bipolar disorder or those who met DSM-IV criteria for mania/hypomania were excluded. There were no diagnostic exclusion criteria for the children in the study, although the participating child was required to have an IQ ≥ 50.

The eligible baseline sample included 337 parents with recurrent unipolar depression (315 mothers and 22 fathers; age 26–55 years; mean 41.7 years) and their adolescent offspring (197 females and 140 males; age 9–17 years, mean 12.4 years). Parental history of recurrent unipolar depression was confirmed at interview (two or more lifetime MDD episodes); however, parents need not have been depressed at the time of recruitment.

Families were assessed at three time points between April 2007 and 2011. The average time between the baseline assessment and first follow-up was 16.2 months (SD 2.69) and between the first and second follow-ups was 12.5 months (SD 1.56). Two families were excluded at follow-up as the affected parent received a clinician diagnosis of bipolar affective disorder. The present investigation focuses on the 233 families (70% of baseline eligible sample) with complete interview data at each of the three time points. Families with missing data had higher baseline parent depression scores ($t(314) = -2.96, p = 0.003$), but there were no differences in terms of baseline child depression symptoms ($t(331) = -1.45, p = 0.155$).

The Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee for Wales reviewed and approved the study protocol. Written informed consent/assent was obtained from each participant at each of the three assessments. More detailed information about study recruitment, sample characteristics and assessment procedures has been reported previously (Mars et al., 2012).

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Child DSM-IV psychiatric disorder

The Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Assessment (CAPA), parent and child versions (Angold and Costello, 2000) is a semi-structured diagnostic interview which was used at each assessment to assess DSM-IV psychiatric disorder, based on symptoms and impairment during the preceding 3 months. Parent and child reported diagnoses were combined (using an either/or approach) at each time point to generate an overall DSM-IV diagnosis occurring over the study. The DSM-IV disorders assessed included mood disorders, anxiety disorders, disruptive behaviour disorders, eating disorders and ADHD. All cases meeting criteria for diagnosis, together with all sub-threshold cases, were reviewed by two child and adolescent psychiatrists (AT and RP).

The CAPA was also used to generate a parent/child-combined symptom count of DSM-IV depression symptoms (maximum 9) at the final follow-up. A symptom was considered present if reported by either the parent or the child at interview. Mean imputation was used where there was one missing symptom.

2.2.2. Parent depression symptoms

The Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry (SCAN) (Wing et al., 1990) diagnostic interview was used at each assessment to assess the number of DSM-IV depression symptoms occurring over the previous month (maximum 9 symptoms). The total number of past month depression symptoms at each time point were collapsed into five groups (to help estimation due to small cell counts) and used to generate the parent depression symptom trajectories (see below). Assessments and diagnoses were reviewed by an experienced adult psychiatrist (DS).

2.2.3. Parent depression – clinical features

Age of depression onset and presence of a severe past depressive episode (defined as Global Assessment of Functioning score (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) less than 30 or hospitalisation for depression (Mars et al., 2012)) were ascertained at baseline from a timeline of the parent’s previous depressive episodes. The timeline was generated using a life history calendar approach (Bell, 1998; Caspi et al., 1996). Information about current depression treatment (medication and psychotherapy) was obtained at each time-point.

2.2.4. Parent health and social impairment

A measure of quality of life/current health impairment was derived from the EuroQol 5D 3L questionnaire (The EuroQol Group, 1990) at each assessment. This asks about level of problems related to mobility, self care, usual activities, pain/discomfort and level of anxiety/depression. Response categories are “no difficulty”, “some difficulty”, and “a lot of difficulty” (scored as 0, 1 and 2 respectively). The “quality of life/health impairment” score was derived from the totals with the anxiety/depression score omitted from the total score. The parents’ current employment status was ascertained by questionnaire report on each occasion and used as a measure of social impairment.
2.3. Analysis

Latent class growth analysis (LCGA) (Nagin, 2005) was used to identify distinct patterns of depression symptoms in parents over time using depression scores derived from the SCAN at each of the three time points of the study. In LCGA, homogenous classes are identified based on specific growth parameters describing each parent’s initial level and rate of change in depression symptoms. Each parent is then given a probability of belonging to each class. In contrast to growth mixture modelling (GMM), LCGA assumes no within class variance on the growth factors (the intercept and slope) hence it is a popular choice for the analysis of binary or ordinal data, when within-class growth-factor distributions would be unlikely to be normally distributed.

In the current analyses, parameter constraints were employed in order to create a group which were asymptomatic throughout the time period of study. This class would serve as the comparison group for the analyses that followed. Due to the probabilistic nature of the mixture model, this class does not simply contain all individuals who reported zero symptoms at each time point; however, individuals with this symptom profile would have a very high probability of being assigned to this class.

A series of models were fitted and theoretical and statistical steps were taken to decide which model provided the best fit to the data. These included a number of fit statistics (including the Bayesian information criterion (BIC), Bootstrap Likelihood Ratio Test (BLRT) and entropy values). From previous literature (Barker, 2013; Campbell et al., 2007, 2009; Skipstein et al., 2010) we expected to find at least three classes of parental depression symptoms, therefore models containing up to five classes were estimated (Supplementary Table 1). Due to estimation problems with the five-class model statistics for this model are not shown.

Following the identification of a mixture model which adequately described the longitudinal pattern of symptoms, a number of distal outcome models were estimated. These examined the relationship between parent depression symptom classes and (i) parent clinical features (used to validate the classes; age of onset, history of severe depression and depression treatment), (ii) parent health and social impairment (health related quality of life and unemployment), and (iii) offspring psychiatric disorder and depression symptoms.

Depending on the goal of each analysis, either a one-step or bias-adjusted three-step model (Vermunt, 2010) was estimated. Both approaches would allow for the uncertainty related to latent class membership, however the latter routine, in which any outcomes or covariates do not impact on the latent class measurement model, was deemed more appropriate for the analysis seeking to validate the latent class grouping. Analyses were conducted using Mplus version 7 (Muthén and Muthén, 1998–2012).

3. Results

Table 1 presents demographic information, clinical information regarding the index parent’s depression, and prevalence of off-spring DSM-IV psychiatric disorders at each time point of the study. All of the index parents in the sample had a history of recurrent depression confirmed at the interview (at least two lifetime episodes of MDD); however parents were not necessarily depressed at the time of recruitment. Of the 233 parents with complete information at each of the three study time points, 33.3% (n = 77) experienced an episode of DSM-IV MDD at one or more assessments of the study.

In line with previous family studies (Weissman et al., 2006), rates of offspring psychiatric disorder were elevated in this sample when compared with normative data from a UK epidemiological survey of children aged 11–15 years (Green, 2005).

3.1. Latent classes of parental depression symptoms

Based on fit statistics, size of latent classes and parsimony, a three class model represented the best fit to the data (Supplementary Table 1). Lower BIC values reflect superior fit of a given model and the BLRT also supported this model. The identified classes reflected asymptomatic, mild and chronic high depression symptoms (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1). The most common depression class was characterised by mild depression symptoms (67% of sample). Twenty percent of parents were in the chronic high symptoms class and 12% were in the asymptomatic class. In all further analyses the asymptomatic class is treated as the reference group. Table 2 represents demographic information according to parent depression symptom class.

There was an evidence to suggest differences between the parent depression symptom classes with regards to clinical features of their illness (age of onset, history of severe depression and depression

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index parent and offspring age and gender</th>
<th>Time 1</th>
<th>Time 2</th>
<th>Time 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent female gender, n (%)</td>
<td>214 (91.8%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>42.16 (5.39%)</td>
<td>43.55 (5.40%)</td>
<td>44.60 (5.41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child female gender, n (%)</td>
<td>133 (71.1%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>12.33 (1.98)</td>
<td>13.73 (2.01%)</td>
<td>14.78 (2.01%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of MDD, n (%)</td>
<td>52 (22.4%)</td>
<td>45 (19.5%)</td>
<td>40 (17.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM-IV depression symptoms, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.38 (2.61)</td>
<td>2.06 (2.54)</td>
<td>1.89 (2.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offspring DSM-IV psychiatric disorder and depression symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any disorder, n (%)</td>
<td>52 (22.3%)</td>
<td>62 (26.8%)</td>
<td>58 (24.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any mood disorder, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (4.29%)</td>
<td>23 (9.96%)</td>
<td>22 (9.44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any anxiety disorder, n (%)</td>
<td>27 (11.6%)</td>
<td>28 (12.1%)</td>
<td>32 (13.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any disruptive behaviour disorder, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (5.2%)</td>
<td>23 (10.0%)</td>
<td>19 (8.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression symptoms, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.80 (1.83)</td>
<td>1.85 (1.90)</td>
<td>1.93 (2.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Any DSM-IV disorder includes all assessed DSM-IV disorders apart from specific phobia; mood disorders include major depressive disorder, dysthymia, depressive disorder not otherwise specified, adjustment disorder with depressed mood, bipolar spectrum disorder and cyclothymia; anxiety disorders include generalised anxiety disorder, separation anxiety disorder, obsessional compulsive disorder, panic disorder, agoraphobia, social phobia, anxiety disorder not otherwise specified and adjustment disorder with anxiety; disruptive behaviour disorders include oppositional defiant disorder, conduct disorder and disruptive behaviour disorder not otherwise specified.


Any DSM-IV disorder includes all assessed DSM-IV disorders apart from specific phobia; mood disorders include major depressive disorder, dysthymia, depressive disorder not otherwise specified, adjustment disorder with depressed mood, bipolar spectrum disorder and cyclothymia; anxiety disorders include generalised anxiety disorder, separation anxiety disorder, obsessional compulsive disorder, panic disorder, agoraphobia, social phobia, anxiety disorder not otherwise specified and adjustment disorder with anxiety; disruptive behaviour disorders include oppositional defiant disorder, conduct disorder and disruptive behaviour disorder not otherwise specified.
3.1.1. Relationship between parent depression symptom classes and adult quality of life and social impairment

There was an evidence that the quality of life (health related) and social impairment (indexed via unemployment) differed across parental depression classes (Table 3).

1) Offspring DSM-IV psychiatric disorder: in total, 40% (n=93) of offspring met criteria for a DSM-IV psychiatric disorder on at least one occasion; approximately two thirds of adolescents with a disorder had a parent who was in the group characterised by mild parental depression symptoms. The prevalence of offspring psychiatric disorder differed across the parent depression classes. As shown in Table 4, the prevalence of offspring DSM-IV disorder was higher in the mild and chronic high symptom classes than in the asymptomatic class (prevalence of disorder: 5.7% in asymptomatic class; 40.2% in the mild symptoms class (risk difference 34.5%, 95% CI 14.1% to 54.9%) and 57.4% in chronic high symptoms class (risk difference 51.7%, 95% CI 28.0% to 54.9%)). The prevalence of specific offspring disorders (mood disorders, disruptive behaviour disorders and anxiety disorders) by parent depression symptom class is shown in Table 4. Analyses were exploratory due to small cell sizes. Nevertheless, there was an evidence to suggest differences between the parent classes with regards to offspring mood disorder and anxiety disorder but not for disruptive behaviour disorder.

2) Offspring DSM-IV depression symptoms: there was an evidence that offspring depression symptoms at final follow-up differed across the parent depression symptom classes. As shown in Table 5, the mean number of DSM-IV depression symptoms was higher amongst offspring of parents in the mild symptoms class and the chronic high symptoms class than the asymptomatic class (minimal symptoms class: 1.92 vs 0.99, mean across parental depression classes (Table 3). Relative to the asymptomatic group of parents, the chronic-high class had poorer quality of life scores (2.9 vs 0.5; mean difference 2.4, 95% CI 1.55 to 3.28) and a greater prevalence of unemployment (49.8% vs 7.8%; risk difference 42.0%, 95% CI 20.8% to 63.2%). Levels of health and social impairment were similar amongst parents in the asymptomatic and mild classes.

### Table 3

Differences in clinical depression features and impairment according to parent depression symptom class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parent depression symptom class</th>
<th>% or mean (SE)</th>
<th>Difference [95% CI]</th>
<th>Omnibus P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parent clinical depression features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of depression onset, years (SE)</td>
<td>Asymptomatic class 30.7 (1.7) (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild symptoms class 26.5 (0.7)</td>
<td>−4.2 [−7.88 to −0.52]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic high symptoms class 22.3 (1.5)</td>
<td>−8.38 [−12.89 to −3.86]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe depression (GAF &lt; 30 or hospitalisation), % (SE)</td>
<td>Asymptomatic class 12.6 (8.5) (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild symptoms class 23.7 (3.7)</td>
<td>11.1 [−7.07 to 29.27]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic high symptoms class 49.0 (7.8)</td>
<td>36.4 [13.79 to 59.01]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication taken during study, % (SE)</td>
<td>Asymptomatic class 49.3 (11.6) (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild symptoms class 70.0 (3.9)</td>
<td>20.7 [3.29 to 44.69]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic high symptoms class 89.3 (5.1)</td>
<td>40.0 [15.16 to 64.84]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological treatment during study, % (SE)</td>
<td>Asymptomatic class 3.4 (6.4) (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild symptoms class 19.0 (3.4)</td>
<td>15.6 [1.40 to 29.80]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic high symptoms class 49.4 (7.8)</td>
<td>46.0 [26.22 to 65.78]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parent impairment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life (health-related): EuroQol, time 3b, mean (SE)</td>
<td>Asymptomatic class 0.5 (0.2) (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild symptoms class 0.7 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.2 [−0.18 to 0.59]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic high symptoms class 2.9 (0.4)</td>
<td>2.4 [1.55 to 3.28]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social impairment: unemployment of index parent, time 3, % (SE)</td>
<td>Asymptomatic class 7.8 (7.5) (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild symptoms class 18.0 (3.4)</td>
<td>10.2 [−5.94 to 26.34]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic high symptoms class 49.8 (7.8)</td>
<td>42.0 [20.79 to 63.21]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*There was little attenuation in results following adjustment for child age and sex.

b The EuroQol does not include the depression/anxiety score.
4. Discussion

This study is, as far as we are aware, the first to examine the relationship between parent depression symptom course and adolescent psychiatric disorder amongst offspring of parents with recurrent depression (at least two episodes of MDD). Differences were found between the parent depression symptom classes with regards to their own health-related quality of life and social impairment (indexed via unemployement), and with psychiatric disorder and depression symptoms in their adolescent children. The prevalence of psychiatric disorder and the mean number of depression symptoms were elevated amongst offspring in the mild and chronic high classes when compared to the asymptomatic class, suggesting that even minimal levels of depression symptoms in parents across time are associated with increased risk for psychopathology in offspring. Indeed, approximately two thirds of the adolescents with a psychiatric disorder were in the subgroup characterised by mild parental depression symptoms. These findings highlight the impact of continued sub-threshold symptoms of depression on offspring mental health outcomes.

Three distinct classes of parental depression symptoms were identified in the present study. These classes were characterised by stable levels of depression symptoms that differed in the level of severity (asymptomatic, mild and chronic high). The depression symptom classes we identified are broadly consistent with previous research; other studies that have examined adult depression trajectories have also identified a small class of mothers with chronic high depression symptoms and a number of other classes of mothers showing stable symptoms across time that differ in level of severity (Barker, 2013; Campbell et al., 2007; Cents et al., 2013; Skipstein et al., 2010). Fewer studies, however, have identified groups with increasing or decreasing symptoms over time (Campbell et al., 2009; Skipstein et al., 2010). It is possible that a longer time span of assessment is required in order for such trajectory classes to emerge. We are not aware of any studies that have investigated variations in symptom course amongst those with recurrent depression specifically, or examined cross-generational links between parent symptom course and risk for psychiatric disorder in adolescence. We have demonstrated that there is substantial heterogeneity in illness course amongst a sample of adults with recurrent MDD. These patterns in variation would not easily be identifiable from cross-sectional assessment, but have implications in terms of impact on offspring.

The longitudinal symptom classes we derived differed with regards to parental impairment and clinical depression features (including age of depression onset, severe depression, and treatment for depression). It is interesting to note there was little evidence of differences in impairment between parents in the mild and the asymptomatic classes, but the offspring of adults with mild symptoms had a substantially increased risk of psychopathology.

The few existing studies that have examined adolescent outcomes in relation to parent symptom course have been conducted in community samples of adults, where the majority of parents report subclinical levels of depression symptoms. Studies have also typically focused on offspring psychological and behavioural symptoms rather than psychiatric disorder. For example, Campbell et al. (2009) identified five subgroups of maternal depression course in a population sample of 1300 families, and found that chronic maternal depression symptoms occurring at varying levels of severity were associated with greater internalising symptoms, depression symptoms and loneliness scores in their adolescent children, compared to offspring of never-depressed mothers. In another study of 289 adolescent boys, Gross et al. (2009) found higher levels of maternal depressive symptoms to be associated with externalising but not internalising symptoms.
4.1. Strengths and limitations

The main strengths of the study include a large sample size for a study of this kind, the longitudinal design, the comprehensive assessments of parent and child psychopathology, and good follow-up rates. However, results must also be interpreted in light of several limitations. First, the number of children in the sample with specific psychiatric disorders (particularly mood disorders) was relatively small and this may have reduced power to detect differences between the parent symptom classes when focusing on particular adolescent disorders. However, to date, this is the largest longitudinal family study of offspring of parents with recurrent depressive disorder, and diagnoses were established rigorously. Secondly, this paper did not address the direction of effects underlying intergenerational associations. It is possible that psychiatric disorder and depression symptoms in children may exacerbate problems in their parents. Thirdly, the majority of parents in our sample were mothers recruited from primary care, and caution is required in generalising findings to children of depressed fathers or to samples that do not have a history of recurrent depression. It is possible that associations between parental depression and offspring psychopathology may be different for mothers and fathers. Sensitivity analyses removing the 19 fathers from the analyses did not change the pattern of results. Fourth, the analysis is based on data at three time points with little information about symptoms in-between assessments, and this may have affected the depression classes generated. Finally, as not all of the children in the sample had passed through the peak risk period for the onset of psychopathology by the final assessment, it is likely that some children may go on to develop depressive or other disorders in the future.

4.2. Summary and implications

Depression is a heterogeneous disorder and some aspects of this heterogeneity can be captured by identifying sub-groups defined by the pattern of symptoms over time. We have shown that, within a sample of parents with a history of recurrent depression, multiple distinct symptom classes can be identified and that these depression classes are related not only to parental quality of life and social impairment, but also psychiatric disorder and depression symptoms in their adolescent children.

Our findings highlight the need to follow up and monitor depression symptoms in parents with recurrent depression over time. For those with any level of persisting symptoms, the impact on their own quality of life and social impairment, and the possibility of psychiatric disorders, including depression in their children needs to be considered.
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