

Internal (peer) review – A summary of online information for school processes

School	School Research and Knowledge Exchange web pages	Online information on internal (peer) review
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	Main support page is hosted on the University of Brighton intranet, so not accessible to externals.	The school grant application proforma asks for critical friends to be identified ““Who are you going to ask to help you with this proposal? Name two “critical friends” (i.e. who have agreed to review drafts of the proposal).”
Education and Social Work	External research funding, application process and awards : Research : School of Education and Social Work : Schools and services : University of Sussex	Included on school R&KE page (see left): It is essential that the research engaged in by the School of Education and Social Work is of the highest quality and represents a good use of public money. Hence all proposals in the school are involved in a rigorous and fair peer review process which enables the School to make well-informed decisions about what research bids to support, and how.
Engineering and Informatics	http://www.sussex.ac.uk/ei/internal/research/resgrants	The EngInf Research Grants web page (left) states the requirement for the PI to identify and notify “critical friends” to discuss the outline of the proposal and initiate pre-submission review of the near-final draft with at least one “critical friend” two weeks before deadline.
Global Studies	http://www.sussex.ac.uk/global/internal/research/funding	The school has a dedicated Internal peer review web page - http://www.sussex.ac.uk/global/internal/research/funding/peer-review . Internal peer review is an essential part of the grant application process. Submissions are signed off by the School Director of Research and Knowledge Exchange (DRKE) on the basis that peer review has taken place and that recommendations have been incorporated into the final bid.
Law, Politics and Sociology	http://www.sussex.ac.uk/lps/internal/staff/research	Included on the school Research page (left): All bids with value £10,000 or over (including all applications for a BA Small Grants) must be reviewed and approved by two colleagues, one of which must be a member of the LPS reviewer pool (for bids below £10,000 this is not required, but is still encouraged).
Life Sciences	http://www.sussex.ac.uk/lifesci/internal/staff/grants	The Research Grants applications web page (left) states requirement for PI to identify at least two ‘critical friends’ to discuss the outline proposal and initiate a pre-submission review of the near final review with at least one of the ‘critical friends’.

Internal (peer) review – A summary of online information for school processes

Mathematical and Physical Sciences	http://www.sussex.ac.uk/mps/internal/research/grants/proforma	The MPS instructions re: peer review are included in the school's grant application proforma time line and in the School's " Pre-submission Review by your critical friends " web page.
Media, Arts and Humanities	Research and Knowledge Exchange : Staff : School of Media, Arts and Humanities internal : University of Sussex	The internal review process is described on this web page - https://www.sussex.ac.uk/schools/media-arts-humanities/internal/staff/research/research-funding-procedure . If the bid value is £10,000 or over it must be reviewed and approved by the School Peer Review College.
Psychology	Research Staff Support: Psychology Staff (sussex.ac.uk)	Research Grant Application - Peer Review System: Psychology Staff (sussex.ac.uk) . The school research grant proforma for larger Psychology-led applications includes a section to engage with the School's review process.
University of Sussex Business School	Links to main RES Research and knowledge exchange web page - http://www.sussex.ac.uk/staff/research/	RDOs and the school arrange for reviewers as a matter of course on the basis of the type of bid it is – Fellowships generally enforce it anyway, so the academics tend to link up with peers/colleagues and let us know. RDOs often bring the School research leadership team in on the larger more strategic bids anyway, all of whom tend to contribute comments when they can.