

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION September 26, 1979

John W. Olney, M. D. Department of Psychiatry

Barnes and Renard Hospitals 4940 Audubon Avenue

St. Louis, Missouri:63110

CHICAGO DISTRICT 1222-POST OFFICE BUILDING 433 WEST VAN BUREN STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60607 TELEPHONE: 312-353

FOI # 79-21004 CHI-1766

Dear Dr. Olney:

This is in response to your request of for records from the Food and Drug Administration pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act: Paragraph III of your letter re: G. D. Searle's product: Aspartame.

We are enclosing the requested record(s) consisting of EIR dated 4/25 - 8/4/77 regarding study of E 77/78 and EIR dated 5/2 - 7/8/77 regarding study E-5 and E89.

As you will note, minor deletions of material have been made in the records furnished to you. In the judgment of the Food and Drug Administration, the information deleted does not fall within the scope of your request and, in any case, is not required to be disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act. If, however, you do desire to review the deleted material, please make an additional request. If the agency should then deny you this information, you would have the right to appeal such denial to the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Any letter of denial will tell you how to make this appeal.

No deletions were made from this material.	V
The requested record(s) will be sent at a later date. X	if you have problems.
An invoice is attached. The charges will be aggregated to your bill. There will be no charge for furnishing record(s).	
Sincerely. 40	

Frection of Information Officer

Enclosure: a/s GFB/ed

Searle Laboratories
Div. G.D. Searle & Co.
4901 Searle Parkway
Skokie, Illinois 60076

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

We made a detailed inspection of the raw data versus the final report on two teratology studies on SC 18862 (aspartame). These studies, numbered E-5 (PT851870) and E-89 (PT1218875) were selected for our inspectional coverage by headquarters personnel of the Bureau of Foods. Study number E-5, "SC-18862: Evaluation of Embryotoxic and Teratogenic Potential in the Rat" had not been previously inspected by PDA personnel at Searle Laboratories. Study number E-89 was included as one of five teratology/reproduction studies that were covered by an FDA inspection team during the period of December 1 through 19, 1975.

Our inspection of Study E-5 included the following findings:

- The individual doing the examinations of the visceral and skeleton specimens was aware of the dose levels. The examinations were not done blind.
- 2. There are no individual fetus records for the skeletal examinations. The skeletal examination data is listed only by are not dated.
- 3. There are no examination sheets that specify the abnormalities that are included in their examination of visceral sections. Their visceral examination records indicate only "O.K." if on abnormalities were found. The visceral examination sheets do not list the respective fetus identification numbers for about 10% of the 329 fetus visceral specimens. These incompletely identified fetus specimens are identified on the examination sheet with only the dam number and fetus sex.
- 4. According to the visceral examination records, a total of 325 visceral examinations might have been done on two days. We here unable to examine any visceral sections from study E-5 \
- 5. There were no signatures or initials to identify the individuals who did the work on the skeletal, visceral, and laporotomy examination sheets.
- 6. There was no identification on the body of the vials holding the skeleton specimens; the respective fetus number was on the vial cap (See exhibit 39, photo 1).

- 7. There was no record to verify the source and age of the male rats.
- 8. There were no specifications or assay records on the basal diet.
- 9. There were no batch records for the mixing of the powdered SC 18862 (aspartame) with the meal form of Rockland diet (Teklad Inc.) Mrs. D. Helms, Research Assistant, could not remember the details of mixing such as the total mixing time or the order of adding the SC 18862 and the Rockland Diet to the mixer.
- 10. The treatment mixtures (two dose levels) were not assayed for potency, homogeneity or stability.
- 11. The examination of the fetal skeletons of 5 litters of each dose level by Dr. T. Collins revealed only a few differences from their original skeletal examination data as compared to the FDA submission. A few differences in the results are not unusual between 2 individuals when they are doing examinations. These findings are detailed in the body of the report.

Our inspection of study number E-89 included the following findings:

- 1. The individual who did the visceral and skeleton examination was aware of the dose level of the specimens that were being examined.
- There are no examination sheets that specify the abnormalities that are included in Searle's examination of visceral sections.
- 3. The only identification of the skeleton specimens is on the caps of the vials with the respective fetus number and the PT number, 1218.
- 4. The records covered receipt of only 10 of the 36 male rats.
- 5. There were no signatures or initials to identify the individuals who did the work on the skeleton examination records.
- 6. There were no assay reports or specifications on the basal diet.
- 7. There were no batch records for the mixing of the aspartame with the chow. The three treatment mixtures were not assayed for potency, homogeneity or stability.
 - 8. Searle did not include any abnormal findings of visceral examination in the report that was submitted to FDA. The raw

data included rajor malformations of a segmented uterus in a low dose fetus 20407 and a cleft palate in a medium dose fetus 32012 neither of which was included in the FDA submission. Dr. Vendruska was shown this data and said this emission was an oversight (see Vondruske's interview). Dr. Collins examined viscerel sections that included verification of the aforementioned findings. Dr. Collins also noted a plight hydrocephalus of fetus 20407, low dose, that was not in the raw data or the FDA submission. This was confirmed by Dr. J. Noveroske the Searle Teratologist. (see exhibit 39, photo 3) Dr. Collins disagreed with Searle's classification of "ronal pelvic cavitation of the kidney not enlarged" of the fetus dil01 as an ertifect and not a malformation. (see exhibit 39, photo 4) Dr. Collins does not agree that this is an artifact and he is of the opinion that it is due to the blockage of the urinary tract.

br. Vendruska stated that in retrespect "artifact" was probably a poor word to use. He said that Goll might have sectioned the kidneys at an incorrect angle, thereby, giving the appearance of an enlarged renal pelvis. (see Vondruska interview)

- It would appear that the viscoral sections were cut too thick.
 There would be a possibility that some viscoral abnormalities would be sissed.
- 16. It was noted in the PDA submission that there was a significantly greater number of fetuses in the medium dose level with poorly ossified supraccipital bones, when compared to the control group. Because of this finding, the supraccipital bones of the fetuses in the high dose level were examined. Dr. Collins scanned the supraccipital bone for poor ossification in each of the skeletal fetuses of the control and high dosese groups. His examination of the supraccipital bone revealed the following percentage differences from the FDA submission.

Eupracecipital Bone Poorly Ossified . Cont	rol Petus	88	High Dose	fetuses
EDA Submission	3ŧ			•

Examination by 4.468 8.47 Er. T. Collins

- 11. G. Rirby, Reasearch Technician whose duties included the visceral and skeletal fetal examinations and laparotomies for study E-89 completed about three years of college. She started employment with Searle Laboratories in August of 1974 and performed visceral and skeletal exams on E-89 in May and June of 1975. This was the only study where she performed the visceral exams. She stated that her on-the-job training consisted of a total of about 3 months.
- 12. There are no dates of examination on the skeleton tables (exhibit 30). On the back of the laparotomy sheets, the major skeletal variations are listed. Most of the skeletal examinations are dated 5/19/75 and 6/4/75. It would be impossible for one individual to do a complete skeletal examination of over 500 fetuses in 2 days. It is unclear over what period of time these fetuses were read.

PURPOSE OF INVESTIGATION

Assignment memo dated May 15, 1977 from Donald Healton, Acting Executive Director of Regional Operations, confirmed an earlier oral assignment to Chicago District for a directed inspection of certain non-clinical studies submitted to FDA in support of a food additive petition for the sweetener, Aspartame.

The investigating began on 4/25/77 (see EIR E 77/78) and encompassed the authentication of all data, both raw and summary, relating to the studies jointly chosen for review by the Bureau of Foods and EDRO. Two studies actually done at G.D. Searle were selected for initial coverage, and a decision to expand the investigation to a third study was made at a later date.

He began our investigation of E-5 (PT-851870) Evaluations of Embryotoxic and Teratogenic Potential in the rat, using SC18862 (Aspartame), on May 2, 1977.

On Hay 11, 1977, after clearance from the Eureau of Poods, we initiated the investigation of E-89 (PT-1218S75) an Evaluation of Embryotoxic and Teratogenic Potential in the mouse, using SC-18862 (Aspartame), see assignment attached.

This report is concerned with the above two studies. The report involving E-77/70 will be reported separately.

REPUSALS

Attached as exhibit 40 is a memorandum dated June 29, 1977 from Mr. Roger Thies, Attorney refusing our request for an additional interview of Ms. Gail Kirby, a technician who worked on E-89 in the mouse (aspartame).

We were concerned with the dates shown on the back of the laparotomy sheets, "6/14/75" and "5/19/75." Dr. Collins is of the opinion that it would be extremely difficult to completely examine 300 skeletons in two days, if these dates, so indicate. In our interview with Mr. Schroeder, a former employee, he told us that interview attached) In our interview with Dr. Vondruska, he could not not explain the dates shown on the back of the laparotomy sheets. He told Dr. Collins that he would have to ask Ms.

Our failure to interview Ms. Gail Kirby leaves the question of the dates unresolved. G.D. Searle's refusal to allow us to conduct a telephone interview is given in the menorandum from Mr. Thies (see exhibit 40). We do not consider his reasons for refusal as valid.

PERSONS INTERVIEWED

Investigators Carl E. Lorentzson and Johnny F. Salas presented their credentials and issued a Notice of Inspection on May 2, issued a Notice of Inspection on May 4, 1977 to Dr. William M. issued a Notice of Inspection on May 4, 1977 to Dr. William M. Laboratories on May 4-5, 23-27, June 6-7, and July 7 and 8, 1977. Investigators Carl E. Lorentzson and/or Johnny F. Salas were both and 8, 1977 Investigator J. Salas was present at Searle Laboratories for the inspection of studies E-89 and E-5 on May 17, atories for the inspection of studies E-89 and E-5 on May 17, atories. An attorney and/or a Ph.D. from one of the research units of Searle Laboratories was present whenever we reviewed records, inspected the facilities, examined

fetal skeletons or interviewed personnel. These individuals rere:

Dr. Robert Bost - Director of Food Products, Regulatory Affairs

Dr. George Clay - CNS Group Leader

Lichard Viktora - Attorney Reger Thies - Attorney

Dr. J. McVeroske - Group Leader of Texicology

er. Fred A. Radzialovski - Section Leader of Cardio-vascular Phermacology

Dr. h. Jenkins - Director of Froduct Affairs

Dr. Fichard L. Aspinall - Group Leader of Impunciogy & Inflormatery Diseases

he interviewed Pesearch Assistant Mrs. D. Relms at Searld Laboratories regarding her duties on study E-5.

He rade arrangements to interview Raymond Schroeder, a former enployee whose title at the time was Senior Research Assistant, and whose principal duties were on study E-5 and relatively limited duties on study E-99. This interivew was conducted in New Jersey because Raymond Schroeder is now residing in Somerville, B.J. 100

to interviewed the following individuals regarding their duties on study I-69:

- Gail Eirby Research Technician
- 2. Jeanne Thompson Research Technician
- 3. Dr. J.P. Vondruska Senior Investigator The state of the s
- Alan Mitchell Teratologist

Ficherd Viktora provided us with the date that Raymond E. Schroeder left this firm, namely Hay 2, 1975. However, Kr. Viktora said that he would not furnish a copy of a record to substantiate this termination date because it would be a violation of the Equal Employment Opportunity Regulations. We were allowed to review and make notes from the following records. However, Roger Thier, Attorney, did not allow photocopies because he did not consider these records to be primary data on study E-5, namely:

- A preliminary draft of the summary and conclusions for the final
- report on "x1 851570" (Searly Doc #114652)

 2. A list of the studies which either have been completed or were in progress with aspartame to determine the relative toxicity. of aspartame and Diketopiperagine in several species of animals. (Scarle Doc #1272358)

And the state of t

- 3. A "galley copy" of the report that was submitted to FDA:
- 4. An inventory list of the teratology specimens that were stored in a basement storage area. The record included a listing of the fetal skeleton preparation from the rat, in study E-5 (PT-851S70) in box numbers T-043A, T015.

SCOPE OF OUR INSPECTION

We requested all of the records pertaining to study 8-5 on the first day of our inspection, May 2, 1977. It was brought to our attention by Jerome Bressler, PDA inspection team leader, that the data pertaining to this teratology study had been previously placed under FDA seel. We then visited their RaD central file room to locate these records. We determined that the data including prizary records pertaining to their teratology studies on SC 19862 (as-partame) was stored under FDA seal in two file drawers. We initially attempted to remove the data from these file drawers that pertained only to study E-5. In order to facilitate our datailed examination of these records on teratology studies, we then removed the records on all of the teratology studies in their two file drawers to a room on the first floor of "J" building. Whenever we did not personally quard these records, we maintained the data on these teratology. studies in a locked metal cabinet under FDA seal. We obtained almost all of the records for our E-5 study from their central file room. We subsequently requested additional records pertaining to the study 8-5 such as the lab testing of the component, SC 18862; invoice for purchase of female rats; curriculum vitae and chain of responsibility. We made photocopies of essentially all primary data and other records pertaining to study E-5. Exhibit numbers I through 13, 38 and 3 photos in Exhibit 39 pertain to study E-5.

We made a detailed review of all raw data against the report that was submitted to PDA. This review included fetal and maternal body weights, maternal food consumption, crown rump measurements, number of corpora luten, number of live and dead fetuses and examination records on visceral and skeletal fetal specimens. Dr. T. Collins examined skeletal specimens from study E-89.

After we completed the majority of our inspectional work at Searle Laboratories on study E-5, we received authorization from personnel

of the Bureau of Poods on May 11, 1977 to institute an inspection of an additional teratology study, E-89 (PT-1218575) entitled - "SC-18862 - An Evlauation of the Embryotoxic and Teratogenic Potential in the Mouse". We made copies of all primary data and other records pertaining to study E-89. Exhibit numbers 16 through 38 and photo numbers 2, 3, and 4 of Exhibit 39 pertain to study E-89. We made a comprehensive review of all raw data with one minor exception. We estimate that we checked more than one third of the food consumption primary data for accuracy. The previous inspection of December 1-19, 1975 included study E-89 and stated in part that maternal food consumption was transferred without error from the raw data. The FDA submission on study E-83 states in part that the pregnant animals actually consumed dose levels for the low, medium, and high dose groups respectively which are approximately 40% more than the originally intended doses of 1.0, 2.0 and 4.0 g/kg.

PERSONNEL ON THE E-5 STODY

Individual

Title & Background

His. Donna Helms

Research Assistant
Her educational background includes B.S.
Univ. of Wisconsin
with a major in
Zoology in 1966.
She started work for
Searle Laboratories
in 1969 and is
currently employed
by the firm.

Raymond E. Schroeder

Senior Research
Assistant in
Teratology. His
education includes
a M.S. in Zoology
from the Univ. of
Illinois in 1967.
He was employed
by Searle Laboratories from Dec.,
1967 to Hay 2, 1975.

Duties

Donna Helms stated that her duties included: weighing of the animals; setting up the study; food consumption data; transfer of data from cage cards to laborotomy sheets; and performing hysterotomies.

According to honna Helms, the duties of Ray Schroeder included external observation of the fetuses; supervision of the laporotomy; and performance of the visceral sections and skeletal examinations.

Margaret S. Fober (Hoppenrath)

Rio Research Rechnician

· Donna Belms stated; that Bergaret Faber might have cone some of the crown rump measurements. Donna Melma was unable to recall any other work that was done by Margaret Faber on study \$2-5. Raymond Schröeder informed us during his interview that the duties of Mar-- garet Paber (Hoppenrath) included: killing of animals, mixing of the diet, crown-rump measurerents, weighing of fetuses, staining. of skeletone, and. cutting the viscerel sections.

Copies of Curriculum vitae for key personnel and a listing of the responsible individuals of Secrile Laboratories during the years 1969 and 1970 are attached as exhibits numbered 1 and 2. Study number 1-5 was conducted during the first half of 1970. The Director of Eiclogy of Searle Laboratories during this time period was V.S. Drill. The authors of the report are R.E. Schroeder and R.C. Recearch.

Study 1-5 (PT 851876)

50-16562: Evaluation of the Embryotoxic and Teratogenic Potential in the Rat

Date study initiated: Jan. 20, 1970

Dates of performing laparotomies: Peb. 9 through Peb. 19, 1970

Date study was received by Bureau of Foods: August 7, 1972

Seric Laboratories Liv. G.D. Serie & Co. Skokir, Illinois 60076

Animals:

Species and Etrain - Albino rat, Charles River caesarian derived virgin females and proven males

Funder and Sex - 90 females, 30 meles - there were no records to indicate source and age of male rats. We verified that the lemales were approximately 100 days old at time of maxing - Invoice (Exhibit 4) indicates date of receipt: 12/30/69.

Experimental design:

Pinety females were distributed into the follewing three groups. Mrs. D. Belms said that she used a randomization method that involved drawing animal numbers from pieces of paper in a hat. She didn't remember if the first number drawn was assigned to a control group.

do of animals	Dosc Level - mg/kg
30	0
30 30	2000 4000
	30 30

The respective identification number of each of the rats was punch marked on their ears.

Donna Helma could not state definitely whether the animale from each dose group had a unique color marking on their tail. Three functos, one from each of three dosage groups were housed together in a breeding cage. At 4:30 p.m. one male was placed into each cage; he was removed at 8:30 a.m. the following morning. At that time females were examined for a copulatory vaginal plug and/or spermatozoa in the vaginal smear. Observation of either of those signs indicated mating and was designated day 0 of pregnancy. Such females were removed from the breeding cage and noused individually. They put this rat in the next empty cage going from left to right. This procedure was continued until a minimum of 24 females from each group were notade. Copies of the cage identification cards are attached as Exhibit number 6. We were informed that any daily observations would be recorded on these cage cards. There are no records of abnormal observations on those cards.

Dietary administration of SC-18862 at the dose indicated [2.34% and 5.00% concentration respectively) began on day 6 of gestation and continued through day 15 of gestation, a 10 day period of treatment. The females were sacrificed on day 28 of gestation. The stering horns were exposed and examined. The fetuees were removed, examined externally and preserved intact to be examined later for visceral irregularities (Wilson Technique) or skeleton anomalies (Alizarin Red & Skeletal staining technique).

Bred	Surviving	Pregnant
27	27	26
25 24	25 24	24 21
	27 25	27 27 25 25

Donne Helms could not remember the exact enimal room in which this experiment took place. However, she showed us an animal room that closely resembled the actual roce that was used to house the animals for study D-5. This room had only one docruey that was used for both the entrance and exit. The room had equipment to control the temperature and adjust the number of hours of light and darkness.

A photocopy of their protocol is attached as Exhibit 3. Our review of this protocol reveals that it is essentially in conformance with their PDA submission on study E-5 (Exhibit 13).

Pornulation of SC 18862 ...

The SC 16662 was mixed with the basal diet in weight per weight concentrations of 7.34% and 5.6% respectively for the low and high dose

research facility of Searle Laboratories in Skokie, Illinois was subsequently moved to another division of the G.D. Searle Company. This mixer was then returned to Searle Laboratories in Skokie, Ill. where it is currently being used in their Pharmaceutical Davelopment area. We inspected this mixer (about 5 feet high) and noted that it was currently being used with a mixing bowl that would approximately 20 kilos of a treatment mixture. The treatment

page U of the PBA subplission is essentially correct. The amount of SC-18862 actually consumed closely approximated the planned dosedes of 2000 and 4000 mg/kg. On the basis of mean body weights on days 6 and 11 of gestation and mean food consumption from gestation days 6-15, the actual daily doses consumed by the low and high dose groups were 1,900 and 4,004 mg/kg body weight respectively. Our calculations of the food consumption data revealed results that are within 18 of these reported average daily doses.

Hysterotony Data

Conna Below said that their hysterotopies were usually done in the working. Their original records do not indicate observations of any leviens of the overles of atterns in any of the animals at sacrifice. The sories of numbered hysterotomy sheets includes cinsing consequtive number hysterotomy sheets for animals that never mated. Our connection of their original hysterotomy data (Exhibits 6, 9, 1 10) and the tables numbered 1, 2, 1, 1 4 in the FDA submission revealed only a few discrepancies. These hysterotomy tables included data i.e.: number of live and dead fetuses; sex of fetuses; number of resorptions; average fetal weight, and average crown rump measurements.

we noted the following discrepancies:

- Table 2 of the FDA submission indicates that the average fetal weight for animal 29 of the control group is 4.0 grans; the average fetal weight for this animal is actually 3.9.
- 2. Original hysterotomy records indicate that there was one resorption on the "left" side for animal number 11 of the control group; table 2 of the FDA submission does not list this resorption on the left side. The PDA submission correctly lists the two rescriptions that are marked on the right side of animal number 11 on their lagarotomy sheet. Br. R. Schroeder acknowledged these errors. (see R. Schroeder interview)
- 3. Le noted the listing of one resorption for animal 72 on the laparotomy sheet; this resorption is not listed in the FDA submission. Hr. E. Schroeder acknowledged this objection and said it might have been a typographical error.

sixture batch sizes for study I-5 were 3 kiles or pasiler. It was brought to our attention that this mixer was formerly equipped with a smaller mixing bowl and a smaller anchor shaped mixing blade when it was used to mix treatment mixtures of aspartame in 1970. During our interview with A. Schroeder, he described a smaller mixer (about 2 feet high) with a 10-gallon capacity mixing bowl.

Jearle die not maintain batch records for the mixing of powdered 18562 with the meal form of Rockland Diet () There were no specifications or assay records on the Rockland Diet. We were informed that the manufacturer of the Dasal Diet is out of business. Let number 74620 of SC-18662, a white powder, obtained from the mixtures. This material was submitted to lab testing that included it included it to the testing that included it is testing that included it to it tests; pu in water; melting range; specific retation; test nitrogen content; loss on drying; heavy metals and thin layer chromatography. Copies of their records regarding lab testing of this lot of SC-18662 are attached as exhibit 5.

the treatment pixtures (two does levels) were not assayed for potency, homogeneity or stability. The treatment mixtures were mixed in the "pixt in the "pixt Ritchen" by Raymond Schroeder, Senior Research Assistant in Teratology or Ars. Donna Belms, Research Assistant. Wis. D. Helms could not remember the details of pixing such as the order of adding the SC-18862 and the hockland Dist to the Hobert mixer. Reserve samples of the treatment mixtures were not maintained. Additional details regarding the treatment mixtures are included under a subsequent heading of "interview of Raymond Schroeder."

Fund Consumption

Copies of the food consumption records are attached as Exhibit 7. A countity of food consumption that is underlined on these records indicates that a weighted quantity of spillage has been subtracted bonna Belms said that food consumption was always measured first thing in the perning. Bonna explained that in an attempt to account for food spillage she separated the food from the excreta on the troy beneath the respective animal cage. Donna Helms said that she covered the feed jars with a V-type mesh screen for the rate that were considered "chronic spillars". She said that the feed was transferred to smaller size jars during the course of the study in order to make it easier for the pregnant rate to reach their food. Our calculation of their food consumption records indicated that their statement regarding food consumption on [7].

Skeletal Examinations - E-5

There, are no individual fetus records for the skeletal examinations. The skeletal examination data is listed only by litter under the respective "Dam number". The skeleton examination records were not deted and did not beer any signatures or initials. Kr. E. Schroeder was shown these records and stated that they should have been dated. He said that it took a great deal of time to complete the skeletal readings. He also stated that it took him 5-6 minutes to do a complete skeletal examination of one fotus. (see interview with Mr. Schroeder) We compared the original skeletel examination records (Exhibit 11) with the report that was submitted to FDA (Exhibits 1-13). Dr. T. Collins also examined skeletal specimens: of 5 litters of each dose level.

We noted the following: 15

- The original akeletal examination records indicate a finding of "Hypoplasia of the Maxilla" in one fetus of Dam 57 and one fetus of Dau 58; (see exhibit 39, photo 6), this finding is not in the FDA submission. . hr. E. Schroeher acknowledged these errors.
- 2. The original skeletal examination records list a total of 166 (03%) fetal skeletons with enossified cervical centrum in the control group. The original records do not indicate how many of the cervical vertebrae had less than 3 ossified centra. The PDA submission indicates a total of 83 centrol ... fetuses bed unossified cervical centrum with less than 3 centra ossilied. It is probable that an error was made in transcribing the percentage of 53 instead of the total of 166 fetal skeletons with uncesified cervice centrusl. to the FDA submission.
- The original skeletal exam recerds indicate 39 upper and 13 lower incisors absent for the control group, 4% upper and 🔑 4% lower inciners absent for the low dose group and 5% upper Inclsors absent for the high dose. These are not mentioned as a in the FDA submission.
- The original skeletal exem records indicate ore starnum ossification center split for the control dose group; this sternum ossification aplit is not listed in the FCA autricsion. Burn Bertherman Tomas en Britain

- 5. The rudimentary structures are small projections from the first lumber vertebrae. These are small 14th ribs. Most animals with these structures are graded twice. They are counted as having 13 pairs of ribs as well as rudimentary structures.
- 5. Dr. T. Collins' examination of fetal skeletal specimens of 5 litters of each dose level revealed only a few differences from what was contained in the raw data that would alter.
- 7. Dr. Collins stated in effect that it would have been a better procedure to grade individual bones instead of closure grading for skeletal examination of the skull. (see Exhibit 11)
- 8. We made a physical inventory of the skeletal specimens. We compared this inventory against the skeletal fetal specimens that are designated on the laparetomy records (Exhibit 8. 9. 10) as "A" for fetuses that were supposed to be initially ing with aqueous potassium hydroxide, staining with Alizarin and storage of the skeletal preparation in glycerin. This inventory revenled that a total of 15 skeletal fetuses from the inite reason as to why the following fetal skeletons were not 9003, 9005, 9006, 9003, 9009, 9011, and 9013. Pr. J. Noveroske, Group Leader in Toxicology speculated that the four skeletal litter number 90 might be in a separate carton that was inadvertently pisplaced.
- 9. Dr. T. Collins found mistakes in examining the skeletal specimens of all dose levels. As an example Dr. T. Collins noted a poorly osaified ischium for a fetus of Dam 58; this finding is not in the FDA submission. (see exhibit 39, photo 5) These mistakes appear to be equally distributed between the dose levels. Searle's examination of the skeletal specimens corresponds essentially with the FDA submission.
- 10. Raymond Schroeder, the individual who did skeleton examinations was aware of the dose levels of the fetal skeleton specimens, There is no identification on the body of the vials holding the skeletal specimens, the identifying fetal skeleton specimens in vials could cause a mix up. Photo number 1 of Exhibit 39 illustrates their cap of the vials.

Control Cross

```
Momber of Litters - 26
Bumber of Petal Skeletons - 201
Number of Litters Examined by Dr. T. Collins -
Mumber of Petuses Examined by Dr. T. Collins - 54
Petus Bumbers Examined:
                          €02
                                  862
                                          1402
                                                  2402
                           603
                                  B 03
                                          1403
                                                  2403
                           585
                                  . 865
                                          1405
                                                  2405
                           SCE
                                  ទ០៩
                                          1495
                                                  2405
                           608
                                  808
                                          1400
                                                  2468
                           509
                                  $63
                                          1410
                           611
                                  611
                                  212
                                  214
```

Low Bosege Croup

Number of Litters - 24 .4		•	· • .	
Number of Fetal Skeletons - 1	t 7			
Number of Litters Examined by	Dr. 3.	Collins	 5	
Busher of Fetuses Examined by	Dr. T.	Collins	- 32	
Petus kumbers Examined: 3102	3902	4602	5362	5802
3103	3903	4503	5303	5903
3105	3985	4605	5365	5805
3106	3308	4606	5306	5805
3108	3508	4608	5366	5508
3109	3911	4609	5309	5309
3111	3913	4611	5311	5811
	• •	4412		
		4614	· ; .	
	•	4615		

high cosage Group

	f Litters						
	f Petal S				• •		
Rumber o	1 Litters	Ekaai	not by	Dr. T.	Collins	- 5	
Hember o	f Patuapa	Erani	ned by	Dr. T.	Collins	- 36	` . · . ·
Fetus hu	There Exa	eineät	6102	7682	8002	8402	5702
		•-	6103	7603	8003	8403	8703
		•	6105	7605	5005	€ 405	
·			6165	7606	8006	8406	6796
			6108	7658	8098	8408	\$758
			6109	7603	8009	8409	
			6111	7611	. 6011	8411	
•		•			8012	8412	
			<i>c</i> *			BATE	

Visceral Examinations - Study E-S

approximately one-third of the fetuses were fixed in Bouin's solution for subsequent exemination by the free hand sectioning technique of Silson. Tissue slices were examined under a dissecting microscope. The report subsitted to FDA indicates that all tissue elices from treated fetuses and from control fetuses with anomalies were transferred to polyethylene bags for temporary storage. These specimens were discarded prior to our inspection and therefore we were unable to make any examinations of their visceral sections. There were no initials to identify the individual who did the work on the viscoral examination sheets (Exhibit 12). The ... visceral examination records do not list the respective fetus identification numbers for about 10% of the 329 fetal visceral speciaens. These incompletely identified fetus specimens ere identified on the visceral exacination records with only the dam number and fetus sex. As an example, a fetus of Dan number 40 would be listed as 40% female. The visceral examination sheet indicates only "O.R." if no abnormalities are found in the respective visceral acction. There is no examination sheat that apecifies what abnormalities they are particularly looking for in the visceral sections. The individual doing the examinations was award of dose levels of the visceral apecimens.

According to the viscoral examination records, see exhibit 12, a total of 32% viscoral examinations were done on two days, namely Peb. 27, 1970 and March 5, 1970. Nr. Schroeder said that he did viscoral sections on approximately 30 fetuses per day.

The raw data and the report subsitted to FDA specifies the finding of only three enonalies. Mydrocephalus was observed in one low dose and in one high dose fetus. Mydromephrosis and hydrowreter wiscered examination records also specified the finding of blood in the perioardial cavity of a visceral section of fetus number pericardial cavity was not in the FDA submission. There were no other specific findings listed on the rat visceral examination sheets. The results of the remaining respective fetal visceral to note that there have been terratology studies conducted in the rat by PCA isboratories where the findings in the visceral sections are reported for at least 100 of the fetuses.

COMPARISON OF THE LAPOROTOMY AND VISCERAL SHEETS.

We uncovered at least 35 discrepancies when we compared listing of fetuses on the visceral and laporotomy examination record

sheets (Exhibit numbers 8, 9, 10, and 12). Twenty-one of these discrepancies consist of listing a different sex for the respective fetus on the laparotomy and visceral examination sheets. The remainder of these 35 discrepancies include a listing of the alcohol fixative, (a) skelatal on the laparotomy sheets for fetuses that are listed on the visceral examination sheets or a listing of Bouin's fixative, (b) visceral on the laparotomy sheets for fetuses that are not listed on the visceral sheets. The following tabulation illustrates these discrepancies.

Visc. Exam Sheet	Lap. Exam Sheet	Comment	
L 6104 Hale	4413 (B) Female 4412 (A) Pemale 6104 (B) Female 6110 (B) Male	4412 is not in a	keletal inventory
# 6110 Pemale # 5801 Pemale # 5810 Male # 2110 Male # 2113 Male	5801 (B) Male 5810 (B) Pemale 2110 (B) Pemale 2113 (A) Male	2113 is not in :	skeletal inventory
1001 Pemale 1013 Male µ7710 Pemale µ7713 Male	1001 (B) Hale 1013 (B) Pemale 7710 (B) Hale	•••	avalatal inventory
Not listed 3013 Male 2701 Male 2704 Pemale	3012 (B) Female 3013 (A) Male 2701 (B) Female 2704 (B) Male	3012 IS not 111 :	
> 813 Female 2910 Hale Whot listed	804 (8) Pemale 813 (8) Male 2910 (8) Pemale 7212 (8) Pemale Not listed	DAM 72 had only	13 fetuses,
L 3201 Hale	3201 (B) Female 6401 (B) Female 6413 (B) Hale	it might refer	to fetus \$7212
L3304 Hale L3310 Penale L307 Fenale	3304 (B) Female 3310 (B) Male 1307 (B) Male 1312 (A) Pemale		
1312 Penale	L 6% H 43%		

A total of 6 fetudes are listed for Dam #13

A total of 4 fetuses are listed in Bouin's (vis-ceral)

L Hot listed 5506 Female

5504 (B) Male Not Listed 5504 is not in skeletal inventory Dam #55 had only 5 fetuses

A total of 6 fetuses are listed for Dam #39

A total of 5 fetuses are listed in Bouin's solution for Dam #39

Not listed □ Hot listed □ 9011 Pemale 9010 (B) Penale 9012 (B) Penale 9011 (A) Hale

We were unable to locate any of the skeletal fetuses for Dam 490 during our inventory of skeletal specimens

Study E-89

PT-1218575 - An evaluation of embryotoxic and teratogenic potential in the mouse - Aspartame (SC 18862) Seq. II

Before Dr. Collins, Buteau of Poods examined the visceral sections of this study it was brought to the attention of Searla's attorney, namely Mr. Roger Thies that some damage may occurr to these sections. The sections had been previously examined and it is a fact that these kinds of sections tend to come apart with age. These sections are approximately two years old.

Mr. Thies requested that official authorization in writing be given to Searle before Dr. Collins examined the visceral sections. Clearance and authorization was given by Mr. Richard Ronk, Director Division of Food and Color Additives. Dr. Collins was given authorization to examine the visceral sections of this study (2-89) in the company of a Searle teratologist. Dr. Collins agreed to inform Searle's teratologist the results of his readings, (see exhibit 41).

Date Initiated: Protocol Pinalized - January 15, 1975

The first recorded body weight - Pebruary 27, 1975

The first recorded date of food consumption - Pebruary 27, 1975

Date Completed: Last body weight - April 14, 1975

Last food consumption - April 14, 1975

Final date on visceral exam worksheets - June 18, 1975; First date - May 28, 1975

Vondruska's notation on visceral examination of fetus 41101 female - 6/24/75

Dates Fecorded on Reverse of Laporotomy Sheets For Skeletal Exams:

The majority of the dates are either Hay 19, 1975 or June 4, 1975. Six fetuses of Dam 108 are listed with a skeleton exam date of 6/3/75.

Dates Recorded on Reverse of Laporotomy Sheets for Visceral Exams:

May 28, 1975 and June 4,5,6,12,15,16,17 and 18

Date on Cover Sheet of Final Report Submitted to FDA:

July, 1975

Animals Used: " (...

Random bred albino mice, female CD-1 strain and Random bred albino mice, males - proven breeders

36 females - Control

36 females - Low Dose

36 females - Medium Dose

36 females - High Dose

A copy of the purchase order for these females is attached as Exhibit \$21.

Mating Procedure - natural mating; detection of copulatory plug designated as day 0 of gestation

Div. G.D. Scarle & Cc. Skokie, Illinois 60076

Concentration Intended of &C-18862 Drily cose in Diet (%) (Grame per Kilogram) to be Levels: Low .75% - I GPA Medium 1.5 % - 2 GPF High 3.00% - 4 GPA

Number of Pregnant Rice:

Control: 27 Low Dose: 25 Med. Dose: 27 Wigh Dose: 21

We noted that the protocol specifies that body weights will be made on destation days 1, 4, 6, 13, 15, and 18. The body weights in the FDA submission were recorded on gestation days 0, 1, 3, 6, 10, 13, 15, and 18.

Scope of the Investigation - E-89

he began a comprehensive review of Study 2-39, PT 1218575 on 5/12/77, after the investigation of E-5, PT 851876 was essentially completed. This additional coverage was in accordance with authorization received from the Sureau of Poods.

We began our review by supervising the copying of all rew data stored under FDA seal at Searle Laboratories. These records include the following principal items:

- 1. Copy of protocol entitled Final Protocol For a Pre-clinical Safety Study of SC-18862 Path-Tor Proj. No. 1218875 (Exhibit 18).
- Copies of laparotony sheets The reverse of the laparotomy sheets include visceral examinations and some of sheletal examination findings. (Exhibits 26-29)
- 3. Sody weight data (Exhibit 24)
- 6. Food consumption data (Exhibit 75)
- 5. Viscoral exemination work sheet (Exhibit 31)
- 6. Excletal examination data (Exhibit 30)
- 7. Statistical data (Exhibit 35)

The investigators audited this raw data by reconstructing the information submitted in Summary Tables. We verified total number of animals on test, independently tabulated and compared information on Summary of Uterine Implantation of all groups, verified maternal body weights, food consumption and calculated g/Rg of test substance administered. Dr. T. Collins examined selected skeletal and visceral sections.

Personnel for Study \$E-89

This study was conducted by the following individuals:

- 1. Dr. James Vondruska Senior Research Investigator
- 2. Alan L. Mitchell Teratologist
- 3. Gail Kirby Research Technician
- 4. Ray Schroeder Senior Research Assistant
- 5. Jeanne Thompson Research Technician

The Curriculum Vitae for Dr. James Vondruska, Alan L. Mitchell, and Gail Kirby are attached as Exhibit \$16. The Curriculum Vitae for Raymond Schroeder is included with Exhibit \$1.

Our review of CV's established:

Dr. James Vondruska is a licensed veterinarian and is certified by American College of Laboratory Animal Medicine. He has been employed by Searle Laboratories since March, 1973.

Dr. Vondruska said that he was responsible for submitting the final report on E-89, PT 1218S75.

Alan L. Mitchell is a graduate of Southern Illinois University and completed some graduate work at DePaul University, Chicago, Illinois. Mr. Mitchell has assisted in supervising the teratology laboratory since 1971. Regarding the conduct of E-89, Mr. Mitchell was responsible for preparing the Treatment Mixture and for supervising the maternal body weighings and food consumption.

Raymond Schroeder has a Masters degree in zoology from the University of Illinois. He worked at Searle Laboratories as a teratologist from December, 1967 until May 2, 1975. With regard to E-89, Mr. Schroeder was responsible for training Gail Kirby in teratology

and for supervising the hysterotomy examinations. A detailed account of our June 22, 1977, interview with R. Schroeder can be found in a subsequent portion of this report.

Firs. Jeanne Thompson, Technician, had very limited duties on this study (E-6y). She was responsible for taking maternal body weights and food consumption.

Call Eirby, Research Technician, has been employed at Searle Laboratories since August, 1974. She played a major role in the conduct of L-69. In this experiment she was responsible for performing all of the visceral examinations and the skeletal example. She received her training in teratology from Ray Schroeder.

ors. Eirby's educational qualifications include the followings. Eirby graduated from Elgin Righ School, June, 1971 and attended Loyole University for three years where she acquired 161 semester hours of credit.

During an interview with Mrs. Kirby she described her responsibilities in conducting this experiment to include the following: hrs. Rirby told the investigators that she assisted in performing hysterotomics, weighed fetures, sexed the fetures, recorded gross enservations, performed crown rump reasurements, and recorded operior distribution.

She stated that in E-29 she was also responsible for preparing and staining fetal skeletons and visceral sections. Mrs. Kirby initially reported that Rey Schroeder read the visceral sections on this experiment but later corrected the statement saying she examined the visceral sections.

Hrs. Eirby also told the investigators that she personally arabined skeletons on this experiment and Dr. Vondruska had ensched some of her observations. A detailed account of the two interviews that we hald with with Gail Kirby is included in subsequent sections of this report. Curriculum vitae for J.P. Vondruska, A.L. Ritchell, and G. Rirby are attached to this report as exhibit vit. We requested the curriculum vitae for J. Thompson on numerous occasions but we were told that no formal curriculum existed for this individual.

IH

برسطا وجدا

Methods and Pacilities

Interviews with Dr. James Vondrunks and Alan Ritchell on 5/24/77 established the following: Dr. Vondrunks stated that Vet Service Department was responsible for animal care. The diet was propared by Alan Fitchell and he was assisted in taking body weight and food consumption data by Jeanne Thompson, Research Technician.

The investigators made an on site visit to animal iscilities on 6/2/77. Dr. Vondruska identified Boom 378 in 3 Building as the room where study E-89 was conducted.

We were shown the type-of cage and feeder uses. We noted that this room was equipped with temperature and lighting control. It had only one doorway for entrance and exit.

We were informed that individual female mice used in E-py did not bear any unique identification mark after breeding. The mice were marked with tail coloring for the respective groups. Breeding cage cards and individual female cage cards are submitted as Exhibit 23. Record of daily observation would be recorded on these cards. We noted one observation on the individual cage card for animal 117 "extensive bleeding from vagina on 4/5/75". The observation is recorded in the Submission on Table Mo. 4 Summary Uterine Information Data Control Group.

Compound Formulations - E-89

The test substance being evaluated in this Segment II Teratology Study is L - aspartyl - L - phonylalapine metnyl ester (SC18662) (squartane) Lot 55687. Q.C. 0678. This powdered SC 19362 was administered by dietary incorporation in powdered | kat Diet from gestation day & through 15. The following intended dose levels were fed the test animals.

and the state of t	3	INTERDIG DAILY DOSE LLVILS	CONCERTRAT	
Low Lose Hed Lose	• •	1.0 grams/%; 2.0 grams/%;	.75% 1.50%	
High Dose		€ grads/%g	3.00%	. *

The animals actually received approximately 40 % more than the originally intended doses.

Searle Laboratories did not maintain batch records of the treatment/diet mixtures, nor assay for potency, homogeneity or stability. In addition we were unable to establish that the personnel kept any note books or any other written record on the method of diet preparation.

Dr. Jenkins was able to locate some uniformity of mix studies in the | hixer on a different active ingredient in hat there, namely SC-10295. These results of analysis are dated march and April of 1976 and are attached as exhibit 33. Although these studies 6c not substantiate the uniformity of mix of SC18862 with | hat Chow, they are submitted for informational purposes.

The protocol for E-89 specifies as the mode of administration for S.C. 19862 to be admixed R/W in the diet. Dr. Milliam Jenkins furnished the investigators with a copy of a label for the Furine Rat Chow, stating that this was the only information available as to the composition and/or specifications on the feed (Exhibit Dr. Jenkins also accompanied us to the Diet Preparation Form identified the mixer. It was a Bohart Model C-100 T with a mixing bowl of about 3 gallens capacity. Dr. Jenkins told us that there were no assays on these mixtures of S.C. 18862 with Purina Est Chow.

Also witchell told us during his interview on 5/24/77 that he was responsible for preparing the diet mix. He described his mixing procedures as follows: The diet was made up in 1600 gram batches. Approximately 500 grams was placed in the mixer Lowl and then the appropriate amount of 5.0. 18862 was added. Then the remaining amount of the Est Chow was added and the contents were mixed for 18 min.

Although they did not assay the mixture of S.C. 18862 with basal diet, they did assay the test substance S.C. 16862. We obtained analytical records for their quality Control original assay of Aspartame Lot 59687 Q.C. C6675. (Exhibit #19 and Exhibit #20)

It was noted that the analyst made a decimal point error in his original work book calculations when assaying for potency. (Exhibit 19) The error was crused by using 1500 mg. instead of 150 mg. quantity in the equation and sultiplying by 100 mg. instead instead of 16,000. If the calculation for potency is made in accordance with the equation listed with their analytical method.

Exhibit 20 and correct placement of the decimal point, the calculation indicates satisfactory potency of asparatame (s.c. 18862) for their sample weight of .1500 gms. It was explained to the investigators by Hr. Aspinall that they weighed out exactly 150 mgs. for this assay. He said that the equation for calculation of potency was not checked by a 2nd person.

Hysterotomy Data - E-89

Mysterotomies for E-89 were performed by Ray Schroeder and Gail Kirby between the periods of 3/17/75 to 4/14/75. His. Eirby told the investigators during an interview on 5/24/77 that her duties for study E-89 included:

- a. performing dissections
- b. weighing fetuses
- c. sexing fetuses
- d. entering gross observations
- c. did crown-rump measurements
- f. recording uterine distribution.

During this time Mrs. Nirby was supervised by Ray Schroeder. Ray Schroeder was responsible for the external examination of the fetuses (see R. Schroeder interview). We authenticated the hysterotomy data by reconstructing a chart from all of the raw data. We found that this information was accurately recorded and essentially the same as in the PDA submission. We verified total number of fetuses, number of resorptions, total dead fetuses, average crown-rump measurements, and body weights (exhibits 26 through 29).

We also checked accuracy of recording the sexes by comparing the data on the laparotomy sheets against the visceral exampheets. We found no errors in making this comparison.

Exceptions:

Female #236 with gestation day 1 of March 22, 1975 delivered 4 fetuses 4/8/75, three viable and one non viable. This appears to be a full term for the fetuses to gestation day 18. The FDA submission states that this female delivered prematurely on gestation day 18. No pups were saved for examination due to their condition. The data regarding these dead pups was not included in their calculation of neans. A similar type situation was

recorded for fenale \$300 with gestation day 1 of March 3, 1975, who delivered 10 fetuses on herch 20, 1975, one cannicalized and 9 intact fetuses. This also appears to be a full term for the fatuses to gestation day (16. We pupe were saved for examination and data regarding these pups was not included in their calculations of mean values. This was probably a correct procedure because the plug of the dama was missed and hence the animals dosed on incorrect days. In Dr. Collins' opinion it would have been better if these litters had been examined and weighed and the records kept.

The uterine implantation data listed in tables in the FDA subnission includes: data on number of fetunes; number of rescriptions; sex distribution; mean fetal body weight; mean fetal crown-rump measurements; and number of fetal examinations. Le noted the following discrepancy when we compared there tables on pterine implantation in the FDA submission with their raw data. The average female fetal crown rump measurement of animal \$307 is reported on table number 4 as 2.5; it should be 2.3.

Food Consumption

Color Service (1995) Color Service (1995) Color Service (1995)

Copies of the body weights and food consumption records for study E-89 are attached as Exhibit numbers 24 and 25. Our calculation of the raw data for at least one third of the food consumption quantities listed in the PDA submission indicates agreement with a statement on page 9 of the FDA submission that the pregnant animals of the low, medium and high cose groups consumed approximately 40% more than the originally intended dusce of 1.0, 2.0, and 4.0 gm per kilogram.

Alan hitchell said a 4 or. glass jer was used as the food container. A paper under each jer was used to collect the spillage; the feed was dusped back into the feeder jer. Gur calculation of original food consumption data uncovered only the following 5 discrepancies from values listed in the FDA submission.

Animal ko.	Gestation Day	Amt. of BC less: - consumption listed in FDA submission	ALT. of SC 18862 consumption ac- cording to our
405	10	(diama/gd)	celculations ·
535	13	1.6	4.6 2.0 * - There
		•	is an esteriek on
	•		f.C. record for day 10 - and the
			handwritten cos-
4.72			ment "I am not sure about spillage."
-226 -232	13	1.2	1.76
434	10	1.0	1.6 - Calcu-
			lated on body wt
	ii,		body et. was not
201			recorded
·- 	*	1.3 anti	igual 2.25
	•	•	

Fig. Jeanne Thompson, Research Technician, was interviewed 5/26/77. She said that she was responsible for taking body weight and food consumption date. She was supervised in these operations by Alan Mitchell. Mrs. J. Thompson said that the dosage levels of the mice were identified by marking their tails with a specific color.

Hrr. Thompson described how the animals were fed and how the weighings were done. She said that the eages were pelled out and the enimals and fead container weighed on the Intec. Afterward the food was added and the container reweighed. According to her, the diet mix was stored in a labeled plastic container.

hrs. Thompson told the investigators that where an asterisk appears on the Inter print out under food consumption, it meant that their weighing indicates spillage that is not usable for calculating food consumption. She could not recall whether or not the animals were weighed and fed at the same time each day.

Skeletal Examinations Re: Study #1-89

The results of their excletel examinations are partly on the reverse of the laparotory sheets. (Exhibit \$26 thru \$29). The research technician included a record of the date of the skeletel examination and the respective fatus number on the laparotory sheets. However, the findings listed for the respective excletal fetus on the back of the laparotory sheet are for the most part incomplete because the research technician listed only the findings that she considered relatively unusual. They also have exemination date in their tabular skeletal reporting format (Exhibit \$30) by litter number and not by individual fetus. This tabular skeletal format is not dated. There are no initials or signatures to identify the individual who did the skeletal examinations.

We compared original exemination records, the reverse of the laparetoxy sheets (Exhibits #25 thru #25) and the tabular skeleten reporting format (Exhibit #36) with the report that was submitted to Frh (Exhibit #37). Dr. T. Collins made a detailed examination of skeletal specifiens of S litters from each dose level, and authenicated the major abnormalities in other litters. Our findings include the following:

- The original skeletal examination records essentially agree with statements in the FCA submission. The tabular skeletal reporting format (Exhibit \$30) did not clearly differentiate between the total number of sternahrds centers that were absent and the total number of "awall" sternetize centers.
- 2. The rudimentary structures are appli projections from the first lumber vertebrae. These attractures are in essence a small lith rib. Nost animals with these structures are graded twice. They are counted as having 13 pairs of rits as well as rudimentary structures.
- 3. Dr. T. Colling made a detailed examination of fetal skeletal specimens from 5 litters of each desage group. He also scarned in detail the supraccelpital bone for pace essification in tach of the skeletal fetuses of the control and high desage group. Details regarding this examination follow in subsequent paragraphs. His examination of the supraccelpital bone revealed the following percentage differences from the FDA submission.

Supraoccipital Bone	Control Fetuses	Eich Dose Petuses
Poorly Ossified FDA Submission Examination by Dr. T. Collins	38 4.463	63 8.473

Dr. T. Collins also examined fetal skeletal specimens to verify their findings of major malformations: fetal skeleton \$10803 with hypoplastic 4th thoracic vertebral centrum and fetal skeleton \$32703, with frontal, parietal and interparietal poorly essified, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th sternebrae split, and cleft palate. He also made a rapid scan of the fetal skeleton of low dose dams \$228 and \$229 and medium dose dam \$301 to confirm their findings.

- 4. Dr. Collins found some minor differences in their classification of skeletal variations. An example would be the ossification of the supraoccipital bone. A certain amount of variation normally occurs between individuals when making these types of skeletal examinations. Ho serious errors were found.
- 5. The skeletal examinations were not done blindly. The individual knew the dose levels. There is no identification on the body of each vial that each holds one skeletal specimen; the PT number 1218 and the respective fetus number are on a label on the vial cap (see exhibit 39, photo 1).
- 6. We made a physical inventory of the skeletal fetuses and could account for all of them with the exception of one fetus from the high level (\$42210). This was reported in the PDA submission.
- 7. There are no dates of examination of the skeleton tables (exhibit 30). On the back of the laparotomy sheets, the major skeletal variations are listed. host of the skeletal examinations are dated 5/19/75 and 6/4/75. It would be impossible for one individual to do a complete skeletal examination of over 500 fetuses in 2 days. It is unclear over what period of time these fetuses were read.

8. Gall Rirby, the research technician who performed the visceral and skeletal fetal examinations for study \$1-00 completed about 3 years of college with job related courses that included embryology, comparative anatomy, zoology and genetics. She started employment with Searle Laboratories in August of 1974 and performed visceral and skeletal exams for study E-60 in in Hay and June of 1975. This was the only study where she performed the visceral exams. She stated that her-on-the job training was about 3 months. We obtained copies of two Searle Training Hanusla for fetal soft tissue and skeletal examination (exhibit \$32). Eoger Insis, attorney cautioned us that they couldn't determine the date when these training manuals came into existence. Therefore they couldn't be considered SOP Hanuals for this study. This instruction manual does not have skeletal photos referred to in the manual.

Details fer Scanning of Supraccipital Bone in Control And High Dose Group

A selective examination was made by Dr. T. Collins for poorly ossified supraoccipital some in all of the control (157) and high dose (118) fetal skeletons. Pr. T. Collins found ten skeletal fetuses that had a poorly ossified supreoccipital in the high desage group; 40103, 40110, 40204, 40713, 40711, 40708, 41103, 41105, 41508 and 41603. The summery of fetal skeletal examination data in the FDA submission states that they found 7 fetuses with a poorly essified supracceipital bone in the high dose Dr. T. Collins confirmed their findings in 7 of these skeletal fetuses. He also uncovered poorly essified supreoccipital bone in three socitional skeletal fetuses in the high dose see group, namely 40103, 40110 and 40713. Br. T. Collins found seven skeletal fetuses with a poorly ossified supraoccipital in the control group: 10102, 10205, \$0208, 12302, 12305, 13203, and 13208. The summary of tetal skeletal examination data in the FDA subplication states that Searle found 5 skeletal fetuses from 5 the control group with a supraccipital bone that was poorly essified. Dr. T. Collins confirmed their findings of a poorly ossified supraccolpital bone in 4 of the control steletal fetuses. He did not agree with their finding of a poorly ossified supreoccipital bone in fetus number 10905.

Detailed Examination of Skeletal Fetuses By Dr. T. Collins

```
Control Group
 Number of Litters - 25
 Number of Fetal Skeletons - 157
Number of Litters Examined by Dr. T. Collins - 5
 Number of Fetuses Examined by Dr. T. Collins - 30
 Fetus Numbers Examined:
                           10102 10805 11202
                                                     12302
                           10103
                                    10806 11203
                                                     12303
                                                            12403
                                                     12305 12405
                           10105
                                    10808 11205
                           10106
                                    10809 11207
                                                            12406
                           10108
                                    10811
                                                            12408
                           10109
                                    10813
                                                            12409
                           10802
                                                             12411
                           10803
                                                            12412
                                                            12414
Low Dosage Group.
Number of Litters - 24
Number of Fetal Skeletons - 158
Number of Litters Examined by Dr. T. Collins - 5
Number of Fetuses Examined by pr. T. Collins - 27
Fetus Numbers Examined:
                           20202 21002 21802 23402 23502
                                          21803 23403 23503
21805 23405 23505
                           20203
                                  21003
                           20205
                                   21005
                                   21006 21806
21008 21808
                           20206
                                          21806
                                                  23407 23506
                           20208
                                                          23508
                                   21010
                                          21810
                                                          23509
Medium Dosage Group
Number of Litters - 25
Number of Fetal Skeletons - 163
Number of Litters Examined by Dr. T. Collins - 5
Number of Fetuses Examined by Dr. T. Collins - 34
Fetus Numbers Examined: 30602 30702 32702 33102 30603 30703 32703 33103
                                                           33502
                                  30703 32703 33103
                                                           33503
                          30605
                                 30705 32705 33105
                                                           33505
                          30606
                                 30706 32706 33106 33506
                          30608 30708 32708 33108
                                                           33508
                          30609
                                 30709
                                                 33109
                                                           33509
                                  30711
                                                 33111
```

30712

33113

High Dosage Group Number of Litters - 20 Number of Fetal Skeletons - 118 Number of Litters Examined by Dr. T. Collins - 5 Number of Fetuses Examined by Dr. T. Collins - 23 Fetus Numbers Examined: 40201 41102 41201 41402 43002 40204 41103 41204 41403 43003 40207 41105 41207 41405 43005 41106 41406 43006 41408 41409 41411 41412

Visceral Examination-E-89

Approximately one-third of the fetuses from each litter were fixed in Bouin's Solution for subsequent examination by the Free-Hand Sectioning Technique of Wilson. The tissue slices were examined under a dissecting microscope. All tissue slices from control and treated fetuses were then transferred to glass vials that were filled with 70% ethanol for storage. The vials are identified with the project No. PT #1218 and the respective fetus number. With the respective fetus number.

Our physical inventory of their visceral specimens reveals that they are in conformance with the listing of the fetuses recorded on the reverse of the laporotomy sheet (Exhibit #26 thru 29). We noted that the alcohol was evaporated in the following vials and those visceral specimens might have been damaged or destroyed: 22310, 22503, 23507, 12101, 11309, 11304, 10101, 10904, 10707, 20101, 20800, 12304, 12301, 12807, 20207, 20107.

Gail Kirby who did both the visceral and skeletal examinations was aware of the dose level of the specimens that were being evaluated. There are no examination sheets that specify the ebnormalities that are included in their examination of visceral sections.

During our interview with Gail Kirby she stated that a training manual had been provided her by Searle Laboratories. We subsequently received copies of training manuals from Roger Theis, Searle attorney. The firm was reluctant to provide these training manuals because they could not establish the date their manuals were initially used. Copies of their manuals for visceral and skeletal examinations were ultimately provided and are submitted Exhibit #32. In reviewing

these manuals we noted that they pertain prizerily to rabbit and rat visceral exams and not to neuse visceral exams. Also, Searle Attorney, Roger Their did not furnish copies of skeletal pictures referred to in the canual.

1. We noted only one discrepancy during our inventory. The soft tissue specimen from fetus \$42200 female was found in inventory but the visceral exam records do not indicate that it had been examined. The lateratory sheet for L-50 indicates that the sheletel specimen of fetue \$42210 was lost. There is a soft tissue exam listed for \$42210 female with results of "O.R." for this fetus that was not in their soft tissue inventory.

He compared the listing of the fetuses on the visceral (Exhibit \$31) and laporatomy sheets (Limibit \$26-29) and noted that Searle correctly listed the same sex for the respective fetus on the visceral and laporatomy sheets. They also correctly specified the use of Modin's fixative for the visceral specimens. We noted that the results of the visceral examinations for 5 fetuses of das 120 and 5 fetuses of das 226 are reported on the back of the laporatomy sheets (txhibit \$26 & 27), but these fetuses are not listed on the visceral examples (Exhibit \$21).

Study 2-39 was the only study where Call Kirty performed the viscoral exams.

The viscoral examination instruction manuals are not specific with regard to number of sections or thickness thru the heart. We were unable to ask Gail Kirby to examine those manuals to determine if she used them for training or relevence. Hrs. Hir was in her minth month of pregnancy and was on maternity leave when we conducted our second and final interview by a telephone conference call to her home. Details regarding both the interviews are found in a subsequent cection of this report.

Examination of Viscoral Specimens by Er. T. Colling

• • •

Dr. Collins examined a total of 31 visceral specimens. Photo \$2 of Exhibit 439 illustrates some of the visceral examinations made by Dr. T. Collins.

Visceral Pet	al & Comment
32012	
	Dr. Collins verfied the finding of a cleft palate
	that was indicated in their raw data but not in their FDA submission.
40310	No Abnormalities
42207	no ablanticles
42209	No Abnormalities
40109	No Abnormalities
20200	Dr. Collins did not locate the section
40301	that was made for the renal policia
41205	TO NONOL MAILLINE
43007	No Abnormalities
43612	They did not get enough sections.
42401	No Abnormalities
42407	No Abnormalities
41906	No Abnormalities
42607	No Abnormalities
42610	Specimen was in poor condition for examination
42007	No Abnormalities
42009	No Abnormalities
40202	No Abnormalities
40208	No Abnormalities
40707	No Abnormalities
40712	No Apparmalistan but
	No Abnormalities; but exceptionally thick sections.
	chiack profitors.
41709 '.	No Abnormalition but
	No Abnormalities but section of thorax was too
• •	thick, approximately 5 mm (exhibit 39, photo 2).
•	THE TOTAL OF STREET THE TRACE OF THE TABLE TO THE TABLE THE TABLE TO T
	thorax would be somewhat thinner than 1 am.
20407	
	Dr. Collins verified the findings of a segmented
•	
	THE THE PERSON WIND CHILDINGS FROM FOR A MALE IN THE PERSON OF THE PERSO
	raw data (exhibit 39, photo 3).
41101	taran da araba da ar
41101	Their raw data indicates that fetus 41101 has "a
	TO THE POST OF THE
•	
	SEVEL OF COIRS LABOR DATE LANGE TO A STATE OF THE CONTRACT OF
	larged kidney with hydronephrosis (exhibit 39,
	photo 4)
and the state of t	and the second of the second o
43201	No Abnormalities
43204	no Abnormalities but in the opinion of Dr.
	Collins there were not enough sections
	LULU TUS DSAFF
	The state of the s

EIP	5/2-7/8/77	-36-	Searle Laboratories Div. of G.D. Searle & Co. Skokie, Illinois 60076
43207		No abnormalities Collins there we thru the heart.	s but in the opinion of Dr. ere not enough sections
41702		The specimen was	broken up and was a problem
41703		The specimen was to examine	broken up and was a problem
41705 41706 41709	* * .	No Abnormalities No Abnormalities No Abnormalities	

Dr. Collins estimated that approximately 50% of the fetuses had one or more visceral sections that were too thick (exhibit 39, photo 2). It may be significant that their findings in their total of 367 visceral sections pertained to only three fetuses (Exhibit #31). Dr. Collins noted in some cases that they missed the renal pelvic area. There is a possibility that some of the sections might have disintegrated or some of the sections might not have been placed in the vial at the time when they were originally examined by Searle Laboratoris.

Statistical Evaluation

Attached as exhibit 35 is a memo from Mr. Dennis I. Ruggles, Department of Mathematics, HFF-110 to Dr. Collins HFF-155 regarding an evaluation of the statistical methodology employed in this study (E-89). An actual statistical review was not performed. In Dr. Collins' opinion this statistical review of the FDA submission showed that the methodology employed in this study were essentially correct. The comments made by Mr. Ruggles concerning this methodology were minor (please refer to exhibit 35).

Interviews with Gail Kirby

An initial interview was held with Gail Kirby, research technician, on 5/25/77. Mrs. Kirby was reinterviewed on 6/7/77 by telephone in order to obtain additional information. We felt this was necessary because Mrs. Kirby played a major role in the conduct of E-89.

The second interview was held by a conference phone from Searle Laboratories to Mrs. Kirby at her residence.

The interviews will be reported in question and answer format to point out differences between the two interviews. Portions of this information have been reported under the respective heading. On 6/2/77 Richard Viktora, attorney told us that Gail Rirby had reconsidered her first interview and had now decided that on study E-89 she had performed the visceral examinations.

Interview with Gail Kirby 5/25/77

- Q. What was your job in E-89?
- A. I worked as a Research Technician in Teratology. My duties included performing hysterotomies, preparing fetuses in Bouins, preparing skeletons for staining, cutting visceral sections and recording data.
- Q. Describe your hysterotomy duties.
- A. These included:
 - 1. Making dissections
 - 2. Weighing the fetuses
 - 3. Sexing the animal
 - 4. Noting the gross abnormalities
 - 5. Crown rump measurements
 - 6. Uterine distribution of fetuses

She did the entire hysterotomy, she generally wrote her findings on the laparotomy sheet but occasionally she might have received help with the transcription.

- Q. How were the Wilson sections prepared?
- A. I cut the sections for someone else to look at. The sections were made as follows:
 - six sections through head
 - 2. 5 or 6 through thorax
 - 3. 2 through the kidney
- Q. Who evaluated the visceral sections?
- A. Ray Schroeder evaluated viscerals. I may have transcribed. (Note: she subsequently stated that she made a mistake in this initial interview and that actually she did those visceral examinations).

- Q. How long would it take Ray Schroeder to evaluate visceral specimens represented in 2 visceral exam sheets dated
- A. It would take all day. (25 litters)
- Q. Sow did you prepare fatuses for Wilson sections?
- A. Put the fetuses in bouin's for 2 or three weeks, then rinse in tap water 2 or 3 times and then cut the fetus 2 at a time on a plate. (She made a record of the fetus number).
- Q. Bow were the fetuses sexed?
- A. The sexing on the visceral was done by identifying the organ.
- Q. Did you use a checklist when performing visceral exams?
- A. No we did not use a form.
- Q. Describe your procedure in doing visceral exam.
- A. I took the fetus out of the jar which contained water. Then I sat down where I had paper on my right side. Ray Schroeder would then evaluate the visceral sections.
- Q. Why don't the work sheets show more Bouins Stain?
- A. I used gloves.
- Q. Who did the skeletons on E-297
- A. I have done skeletons examinations, but I don't remember if I did these.
- Q. Showed her the skeletal results.
- A. "I did the skeletons on 2-99".
- Q. On your skeletal closures, what do you consider normal?
- A. This criteria is given in our manuals.
 - 4 75%-100% ossified
 - 3 50%-75% ossified
- Q. How did you assess the skull closure? Did you do a real screeening Job?

- The closure was what was mostly done.
- Could you say you screened the frontal bone or parietal bone? Q.
- A. "I hope I did".
- How many autopsies could one person do in one day? Q.
- 30 autopsies per day. I started at 8:00 am. (Gail said that she did not kill the animals at one time, she did the killing over an extended period of time).
- Who else assisted in the skeletal exam?
- I was the only one who did skeletal exam.
- In doing this skeletal exam, is it fair to say that you knew what level you were looking at?
- Yes, we knew the levels.
- Can you describe a 5th sternum. Q.
- It is always smaller, it is the size of a pin head.

Second Interview with Gail Kirby, 6/7/77 (Telephone interview)

- Give us your educational background.
- I attended Loyola University until June, 1974 and accumulated some 100 hours credit at Loyola. My biology courses included comparative anatomy, embryology, microbiology, 2 inorganic cnemistry courses, one organic chemistry, 2 physics, plus . usual liberal arts.
- Did you receive a college degree? Q.
- No, I have not received a degree.
- Q. Please tell us about your work history.
- I started in teratology at Searle in August, 1974. visor was Ray Schroeder. He taught me the basics. Ray gave me material to read and did historical control animals to snow me absorption and how to make skeleton specimens. did the visceral sections according to Wilson's book.

- e. When did you start doing skeletons.
- A. Probably about 3 months after I came to Searle.
- C. Tell un how you recorded akeletal data, and the reason for having the data in two places, i.e. on back of laparetomy and in akeletal aumenry report by dam, e.g. 41907 5/10/75. he noted that on back of the laparetomy sheets, there is a sheletal reading by fetus but it does not contain all of the data.
- a. Each fotus was looked at individually and reported by dan number on the sheletal sheet. Once it was all tallied, anything that was unusual or outstanding was put on the back of the laparctomy sheet by fetus. The transcription was not done at time of original examination. I old not go back to the fatus to record the significant findings.
- g. Bow did you remember the observation?
- A. I think that on that study, or the next we used a dictabelt. The fetuses were not examined twice. I transcribed and ultimately recorded the data on back of the laparotony sheet.
- C. Regarding the visceral exams, what did you do?
- A. The visceral data was also recorded in two places, i.e. on ruled sheets of paper and later put on back of laparotomy sheet. It was felt this pade the data look better.
- Con the akeletons, did you acreen for augraoccipital boncs. Also, what shull bones did you check for?
- a. I think I have already answered that question for you. The comes of the exull are parietal, frontal, hydid, upper jaw and lower jaw, nasel, mendible, maxilla, and the bones around the eyes. There is a listing of these on the tally sacet.
- q. Rejetting your work experience, how many studies have you worked on?
- h. 7 or 6 plus historical. I did viscerel only on Fi 1215 (U-69).
- y. how many apportage atudies have you worked on?
- A. Pi 1201, PT 1216.

Note: At this point, Roger Theis, attorney, strongly objected to the line of questioning stating that this was not relevant since Dr. Collins had not found serious objections to the skeletal exam findings.

Dr. Collins stated that it was relevant because there are very few institutions where teratology training is available, consequently in house training has to be provided.

Dr. Collins asked whether or not Searle had provided a training manual giving instruction for visceral and skeletal examination. Gail Kirby told us that a manual had been available and that it contained pictures of visceral sections.

Mrs. Kirby stated that she did some controls, during which time Ray Schroeder would point out unusual findings. She stated "Ray Schroeder taught me what was a normal condition and what was not. He also taught me what to look for when making these examinations."

This concluded the telephoned interview.

Interview With Raymond Schroeder

This interview was conducted at the on June 22, 1977.

in

- Q.: What was your role in study E-5? Who else was involved?
- A.: I was supervisor of the group which included 2 technicians, Donna Helms and Margaret Faber Hoppenrath. I did not kill the animals but did examine the animals for external abnormalities. I read skeletons and read visceral sections after they had been cut. Donna Helms killed the animals, recorded the observations, and the food consumption, and made up the diet. Donna Helms made the crown-rump measurements by stretching the fetus out on a piece of paper towel, making two marks, and reading the distance with a caliber. Margaret Paber Hoppenrath measurements, and measured food consumption.
- Q.: Did you do the caesareans at the same time each day?
- A.: Yes, around 10 in the morning.

- Q.: What was the approximate age of the malers
- A.: I have no idea of their age. The males were proven males from an in-house colony which had been used in previous studies.
- ... Tid you mix the diet?
- A. I iss, I did it initially. The aspartane was sloved because it bad a tendency to ball up. The chew was not sleved. The anner of mixing was: a little chew was put into the bowl, aspartane was added and mixed for approximately I minutes, then the rest of the chow was added and mixed. The most had larger particles than the appartane and the meal was not ground. After the diet was mixed, there was no balling of the papartane.
- C.: here any batch recergs or reserve samples kept?
- A.: Bone
- ..: Eow much meel wes mixed up at one time?
- A.: I don't know how much was mixed up at one time.
- Que tescribe the type of mixes and its location.
- A.: It was a Nebart mixer, approximately 2 feet high, of approximate 10 gallon capacity. It was located on the third floor in the diet mixing room.
- (.: Pas there any difference in the particle size of the aspartage and the chow?
- A.: The finished pixture was homogeneous in expensance but lighter: In other than regular chow. There was no balling and no right: In my opinion the rate could not discriminate between chow art aspartame.
- i.: how were the animals placed on the racks?
- A.4 The animals were put on tacks as they got pregnant. The lacks were horizontal and the animals were put on in random lacks on.
- G.: How were the entests identified in study E-37
- A.: The females were ear-punched. (ie did not remember how the releasere marked).

- Q.: How were the animals chosen to be mated on each dose level.
- A.: The animals were placed on the experiment randomly, not by weight, and were mated 4 days per week.
- Q.: Who wrote the report?
- A.: I wrote the report, and also edited it.
- Q.: How was it verified and collated?
- A.: Dr. McConnell and I verified and collated the report.
- Q.: Several errors in transposition and non-recorded data were shown to Mr. Schroeder. These included a transposition error in the recording of the unossified cervical centrum, and one unreported sternum ossification center split, 2 resorptions (in dams 57 and 58) unreported, an unreported poorly ossified ischium in dam 58, and an unreported unossified cervical centrum in the control group.
- A.: I might have missed them.
- Q.: How were the skeletons examined? How was the data recorded? How long was each fetus looked at?
- A.: The fetus was looked at individually but the data was recorded by litter (dam). If abnormalities were found, they were identified by fetus number (e.g., fused ribs). Each fetus took
- data was Q.: Are there any sheets where the skeletal data was listed by
- A.: No
- Q.: What parameters did you use for examining the visceral sections? A.: There were no forms that were used.
- Q.: Would you have seen reversed blood vessels, for example?
- A.: Yes
- Q.: How long did it take you to do the visceral examinations dated THE VIOLETTE CAMMING TO THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CO

- A.: I had many interruptions, and it obviously took longer than 2 days. I did approximately 30 per day. .
- Q.: Why were only 3 abnormalities reported, i.e., 2 hydrocephalus and I hemorrhage in the pericardial cavity?
- A.: Those are the only abnormalities that were found. rat is a good rat.
- Q.: Why are there differences in sex recorded on visceral sheets versus laparotomy sheets? There are approximately 20 differences.
- A.: I was interrupted many times, also transposition could have take: place because I was looking at 2 fetuses at the same time.
- Q.: Who trained you in teratology?
- A.: I trained myself by looking at many control animals plus animals from studies in 6 amino-nicotinamide, hydroxyurea, and methyl salicylate. The animals from the studies had positive tissues.
- Q.: What date did you leave Searle?
- A.: I left Searle on May 2, 1975.
- Q.: Why did you leave Searle?
- A.: I was fired by Vondruska. I didn't get along with Dr. Vondruska I left Searle in May of 1975.
- Q.: What role did you play in study E-89?
- A.: I did strictly external examinations, sometimes sex and weight of the fetuses, and the gross examination for external
- Q.: Did you train Gail Kirby? If so, how?
- A.: There was no formal training. I pointed out things to her and showed her representative sections. I was not there very long
- Q.: If you were in charge of teratology, would you have put Service of the servic Gail Kirby in charge of an entire experiment?
- A.: I do not want to be pressed on answering this guestion. Kr. Schroeder volunteered that Gail Kirby was hired to augment

Interview With fr. Jares F. Vendrugka

This interview was held at Searle Laboratories on July 7, 1977. Those presient were Dr. Vondrucke, Richard Viktore, Roger Theis, Jerry Greekler (team leader), and Dr. Thomas Collins. Dr. Vondrucke is Director of Animal Resources. At-the time of the study, he was a research scientist. His immediate supervisor was Dr. Robert McConnell, Director of the Pathology-Toxicology Department.

- C.: Hast were the instructions given to Gail Kirby for skeletal and viscoral exeminations?
- A.: Coil Lirby had been at Ecarle for several conthe and had been trained by Raymond Schroeder, for when she performed the same functions. She was told to carry on. I gave her no specific instructions.
- C.1 On what basis did you feel that Gall Rirby was adequately trained and had the capacity to do the excletal and visceral sections?
- A.: I relied on Schroeder's training. When be was not there, I spot checked.
- what percent of the 500 or more skeletoan did you examine?
 Where are the records of your examinations?
- A.: I grossly locked at 100% of the fetuses for abnormalities under a dissocting microscope. I checked a small percentage (exproximately 10%) for skeletal variations. I also checked Cail Mirby's work when Schroeder wasn't there. I don't recall any records. I did not sake a separate set of notes.
- Q.1 what percent of the 300 or so visceral secctions did you exemin
- A.: I did not chack visceral soctions. They were done by Gall Fir-Schroeder had long gone.
- C.: Did Gail Kirby use a dictaphone?
- A.: She did not use a dictating machine for work performed on the bench. She made handwritten notes written on the raw data.
- Car what was the significance of the date 6/4/75 on the front of the laperatory sheets?

- A.: This was the date on which Cail Eirby averaged the crownrump and fetal body weights.
 - Q.: On what basis did you consider the renal cavitation an artifact
 - A.: This was probably a bad choice of terminology. I thought that Gail Kirby out through the kidney at an incorrect angle (againg the biss).
 - Q.: Did you examine this visceral section them?
 - A.: Yes
 - Q.: Why didn't you report the visceral malformations of segmented uterus and cleft polate in the PDA submission? (Dr. Vondroska was shown the FDA submission along with the raw data).
 - A.: This was probably an oversight.
 - Q.: what is the significance of the dates 6/14/75 and 5/19/75 on the back of the laparatomy sheets?
 - A.: I don't know. You will have to ask Gail Kirby.
 - C.: Why did Schroeder leave?
 - A.r Schroeder was asked to leave. His leaving had nothing to do with technical qualifications as a researcher. He locked supervisory skills and there were personal differences.

Interview With Bargaret Paber Hoppenrath

This interview was held at her home on the evening of July 7, 1977. Present were Margaret Roppenrath, Hr. Boppenrath (husband), Hoger Theis, Jerry Bressler (team leader), and Dr. Thomas Collins. When Hr. Bressler and Dr. Collins arrived at the Roppenrath home at approximately 7:00 p.m., Roger Theis was already there.

Margaret Hoppenrath was mentioned by an employee as possibly having worked on study E-5. Hrs. Hoppenrath is no longer working for Searle Laboratories, but agreed to be interviewed by the FDA team. A copy of study E-5 was given to her to review on June 27, 1977.

hra. Hoppenrath stated that upon thinking it over, she did not think that she was involved in any of the cesareans on E-5. The

Bart Tangonan Tony Martinez David Kie Robert Spaet

The above four persons in the toxicology department were involved with assembling data for clinical chemistry and hematology determinations for April 1973 to Feb. 1974.

Joyce Schulmann - performed urinalysis and hematology determinations from April 1973 to Feb. 1974.

Philip Muellner - Technician in Path-Tox Dept. July 1970 till end of study.

Janet Praal - Technician, prepared individual work sheets for urinalysis. No longer employed by Searle.

- C. The following employees were interviewed regarding clinical lab procedures, and methods for recording clinical lab.
 - 1) Bart Tangonan on 6/1/77 regarding the recording of data.
 - Judith Beauchamp, on 6/2/77 regarding hematology and urinalysis.
 - 3) Judith Schmal, on 6/2/77, 6/7/77, and 7/29/77 regarding clinical chemistry.
 - 4) Tony Martinez, on 6/3/77 regarding urine and blood collection, and recording of data.
 - 5) Jane Drury, on 6/7/77 regarding electrophoresis.

Accounts of these interviews are attached as exhibits #47-54.

- D. Other Documents and Procedures Used to Authenticate Clinical Laboratory Data values in Submission were as follows:
 - 1) One loose leaf volume entitled "SC-19192: 104 Week Oral Toxicity Study In The Rat. PT 988S73 Protocols, Organ Weights, Dosage, Hematology, Urinalysis, Blood Chemistry, Protein Electrophoresis." The volume was subdivided into sections according to the above parameters. The indivi-

- 13. Copy of FDA submission on study E-5
- 14. F & D organization chart
- 18. C.C. Searle Annual Pepert 1970
- 16. 8) Curriculum vitae James F. Vondruske b) Curriculum vitae - Alan L. Eitchell c) Curriculum vitae - Cail Kirby
- 17. Chain of responsibility 1975
- 10. Final protocol for a preclinical exfety study of SC 18862, peth-tox project \$121857 (E-89)
- ly. Searle analysis of ASFARTANT C-0075, lot 59887 and copy of analyst notebook pertinent to assay, Study 48-89
- 20. Searle Laboratories anslytical specification for Aspartame (SC 18862) method CA 02004-0574 Study E-89
- 21. Charles River Breeding Leb. Wilmington, Mass. P.C. 502726 - random bred albino mice, CD-1 strain - Study E-S.
- 12. Lobel copy Purina Rat Chow Study E-89
- 23. Cage cards breed unit and individual female souse cage card, Study E-89
- 24. Copy Intec print out body weight used in E-89
- 25. Copy Intec print out feed consumption data, E-83
- 20. Copy leporotomy sheets Control animals E-89
- 27. Copy lancrotomy sheets bow Dose enimals D-89
- 28. Copy laporotomy sheet Redium Bose, E-69
- Ty. Copy Laporotomy sheet Bigh Bose, E-89
- 30. Copy undated report fetal skeletal examination data; 2-89
- 31. Copy visceral examination report, PT1218, 5/5/75 E-09
- 22. Copy instruction wanual fetal soft tissue and skeletal exams E-89

- 33. Copy mixer data SC 10295 in Hobart mixer E-89
- 34. Copy of photo taken by Searle personnel of fetus
- 35. Statistical data regarding interpretation of results of Study E-89
- 36. Randomization procedure for Study E-89
- 37. Copy of FDA submission on E-89
- 38. Listing of data for teratology studies under FDA seal
- 39. Photos 1-6. Photos show identification label on cap, thick section, Hydrocephalus, Hydronephrosis, reduced ischium and missing pubic bones, Hypoplasia of the Maxilka.
- 40. Copy of memo refusing to allow an additional interview of Gail Kirby signed by Mr. Roger Thies.
- 41. Copy of authorization to examine visceral sections.

Carl E. Lorentzson Supervisory Investigator

Johnny F. Salas

Dr. Thomas F. X. Collins