COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT FROM QUANTUM SPACETIME

Shahn Majid (QMUL); some joint w. E. Beggs (Swansea), W-Q. Tao (QMUL)

Quantum spacetime hypothesis:



This is not surjective, not every classical geometry is `quantisable'!

Illustrate this on Majid- $[x^i, t] = i\lambda_P x^i \quad \longrightarrow$ Ruegg quantum spacetime cosmological const

Analyse in general at semiclassical level —

Poisson-Riemannian geometry

Bertotti-Robinson

exact soln w/

I REVIEW OF QUANTUM SPACETIME

SM & H. Ruegg PLB 334 (1994)

 $m: [x_i, t] = i \lambda_P x_i$ U(m) noncommutative coordinate algebra

Ouentum Perm register dit.	·		
 Quantum Born reciprocity 		Position	Momentum
SM Class. Quant.	Gravity	Curved	Noncommutative
Gravity 5 (1988)	Cogravity	Noncommutative	Curved
	Quantum Gravity	Both	Both
U(m) Quantum spacetime hypothesis	Qua. Fou. Trans. \frown $C($	(<i>M</i>) Curved mon hypo	nentum space thesis
$C(M) \bowtie U(so_{3,1})$ acting on $U(m)$ semidual'n $U(so_{3,1} \bowtie m)$ acting on $C(M)$			
$C(SU_2){ ightarrow} U(su_2)$ acting on $U(su_2)$	$\iota_2)$	$U(su_2\oplus su_2)$ a	acting on $C(SU_2)$
bicrossproduct quantum group	factorising (quantum) group		
See this in 3D QG	SM & B. Schroers J. Phys A 42 (2009) 425402		





Quantum differentials on an algebra A

space of 1-forms, i.e. `differentials dx' a((db)c)=(a(db))c`bimodule' Ω^1 d(ab)=(da)b+a(db)`Leibniz rule' $d: A \to \Omega^1$ `surjectivity' $\{\sum a db\} = \Omega^1$ (`connected') $\ker d = k.1$ • require this to extend to a DGA $\Omega = T_A \Omega^1 / \mathcal{I} = \bigoplus_n \Omega^n$, $d^2 = 0$ <u>Thm.</u> (SM+W.Tao) Let $A = U(\mathfrak{g}) = T\mathfrak{g}/\langle xy - yx - [x, y] \rangle$ igodown bicovariant $\Omega^1(U(\mathfrak{g})) \iff$ surjective $\zeta \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda^1)$ $\mathrm{d}x = 1 \otimes \zeta(x), \ \Omega^1 = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Lambda^1$ $igodoldsymbol{\Theta}$ connected and of classical dim \leftrightarrow pre-Lie algebra for \mathfrak{g} $\circ: \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \qquad [x,y] = x \circ y - y \circ x$ $\Lambda^1 \cong \mathfrak{g} \quad [x, \mathrm{d}y] = \lambda \mathrm{d}(x \circ y)$ $(x \circ y) \circ z - (y \circ x) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z) - y \circ (x \circ z)$ $\Rightarrow \Omega(U(\mathfrak{g}))$

Classification in 2D Burde 1998

$$g: [r,t] = r \qquad A = U_{\lambda}(g)$$
i) $t \circ r = -r, \quad t \circ t = \alpha t$
ii) $r \circ t = \beta r, \quad t \circ r = (\beta - 1)r, \quad t \circ t = \beta t$
iii) $t \circ r = -r, \quad t \circ t = r - t$
iv) $r \circ r = t, \quad t \circ r = -r, \quad t \circ t = -2t$
v) $r \circ t = r, \quad t \circ t = r + t$

=> <u>Calculi in n-D on</u> $[x^i, t] = \lambda x^i$ that are rotationally inv:

• (i) $[t, dx^i] = -\lambda dx^i$, $[t, dt] = \lambda \alpha dt$ α -calculus

• (*ii*) $[x^i, dt] = \lambda \beta dx^i$, $[t, dx^i] = \lambda (\beta - 1) dx^i$, $[t, dt] = \lambda \beta dt$ β -calculus <u>Quantum metric tensor</u>

invertible in the sense exists inverse: $(,): \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^1 \to A$

 $((,)\otimes \mathrm{id})(\omega\otimes g) = \omega = (\mathrm{id}\otimes(,))(g\otimes\omega), \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega^1$

 $a(\omega,\eta) = (a\omega,\eta), \quad (\omega,\eta)a = (\omega,\eta a)$ `bimodule map (tensorial)'

need this to be able to contract/ `raise/lower' via metric, eg to have well defined contraction:

$$(,) \otimes \mathrm{id}: \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^1 \to \Omega^1 \qquad \quad \text{``} T_{\mu\nu\rho} \mapsto g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu\rho}$$

but

$$\begin{split} (\omega, g^1)g^2 &= \omega \qquad g = g^1 \mathop{\otimes}_A g^2 \\ \implies (\omega, g^1)g^2a &= \omega a = (\omega a, g^1)g^2 = (\omega, ag^1)g^2 \\ \implies \qquad ag = ga, \quad \forall a \in A \quad \text{need metric to be central} \end{split}$$

Work over \mathbb{C} but specify real differential geometry via • $*: A \to A$ antilinear involution `*-algebra' • extends to graded-anti-algebra hom on $\Omega(A)$, [*, d] = 0• metric hermitian in sense $(* \otimes *)(g) = \text{flip}(g)$ • Our case: $x^{i*} = x^i$, $t^* = t$, $\lambda^* = -\lambda$, $r^* = r$

$$\begin{split} \underline{\beta} &= 1 \, \textbf{Calculus} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{Class. Quant. Gravity 31} \\ (2014) \, 035020 \, (39pp) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Propn.: In 2D the quantum metric has the unique form} \\ g &= dr \otimes dr + b(v^* \otimes v + \lambda(dr \otimes v - v^* \otimes dr)) \\ v &= rdt - tdr, \quad v^* &= (dt)r - tdr \\ \end{split}$$

 \implies in classical limit only

 $g = \mathrm{d}r \otimes \mathrm{d}r + bv \otimes v = (1 + bt^2)\mathrm{d}r^2 + br^2\mathrm{d}t^2 - 2brt\mathrm{d}r\,\mathrm{d}t$

can emerge (i.e. be quantised)
=> strong gravitational source/expanding universe



(b) b > 0: use new FRW-like coordinates

$$\tilde{t} = r, \quad \tilde{r} = \frac{t}{r}$$

 $g = -\mathrm{d}\tilde{t}^2 + R(\tilde{t})^2\mathrm{d}\tilde{r}^2, \quad R(\tilde{t}) = \sqrt{b}\tilde{t}^2$



<u>Thm:</u> no central metrics exist for the β calculus for n>2

<u>2D Ex:</u> Moduli of quantum metric-compat ∇ form a line + conic



- black parts have classical limit as $\lambda \to 0$
- red parts blow up as $\lambda \to 0$ so not visible classically
- in each case a unique `Levi-Civita point' where torsion T=0

α Calculus

arXiv:1412.2285 (gr-qc) 13pp

$$g = \sum_{i,j}^{n-1} a_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j + \sum_{i}^{n-1} b_i (dx^i \otimes dt + dt \otimes dx^i) + cdt \otimes dt$$
$$[f,g] = 0, \forall f \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a_{ij}, b_i, c \quad \text{of degree } -2, \alpha - 1, 2\alpha$$
add spherical symmetry =>

$$g = \delta^{-1} \mathrm{d}\Omega^2 + ar^{-2} \mathrm{d}r \otimes \mathrm{d}r + br^{\alpha - 1} (\mathrm{d}r \otimes \mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathrm{d}r) + cr^{2\alpha} \mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathrm{d}t$$

$$\overline{\delta} = \frac{c\alpha^2}{b^2 - ac} \qquad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, \ \delta > 0 \qquad b^2 - ac > 0$$
$$G = -\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}\delta g + ((n-3)\delta - \overline{\delta})d\Omega^2$$

solves Einst Eqn with Maxwell field and cosmological constant

$$F = q\sqrt{b^2 - ac} r^{\alpha - 1} \mathrm{d}t \wedge \mathrm{d}r \quad \Lambda = \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}\delta - q^2 G_N, \quad q^2 G_N = \frac{1}{2} \left((n-3)\delta - \overline{\delta} \right)$$

This is the Bertotti-Robinson metric. We are forced to it!

Change of coordinates

If
$$\overline{\delta} > 0$$

 $t' = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\delta}} \ln r$, $r' = \sqrt{ct} - \frac{\sqrt{a + \frac{\alpha^2}{\delta}}}{\alpha r^{\alpha}}$
 $g = \delta^{-1} d\Omega^2 + e^{2t'\sqrt{\delta}} dr'^2 - dt'^2$.
 $similarly$:
 $S^{n-2} \times dS_2$ $\overline{\delta} > 0$
 $similarly$:
 $S^{n-2} \times AdS_2$ $\overline{\delta} < 0$
Quantum algebra $[t', r'] = \lambda' = \lambda \sqrt{b^2 - ac}$ $[r', dr'] = \lambda' \sqrt{\overline{\delta}} dr'$
 $[r', dt'] = [t', dr'] = [t', dt'] = 0$
 $\nabla dr' = -\sqrt{\overline{\delta}} (dr' \otimes dt' + dt' \otimes dr')$
 $\nabla dt' = -\sqrt{\overline{\delta}} e^{2t'\sqrt{\overline{\delta}}} (dr' \otimes dt' + dt' \otimes dr')$
 $quantum$
Levi-Civita

 Same change of variables that diagonalised metric also gives canonical commutation relations **Semiquantisation** *arXiv:1403.4231(math.QA) 57pp*

 $A_0 = C^{\infty}(M)$ quantisation at order λ means a Poisson bracket $a.b - b.a = \lambda\{a, b\} + O(\lambda^2)$ { , } $\leftrightarrow \omega^{ij}$ Poisson tensor

Similarly, quantization of $\Omega^1(M)$ at order λ requires

$$a.db - (db).a = \lambda \nabla_{\hat{a}} db + O(\lambda^2)$$

 $\Rightarrow \nabla \text{ a Poisson pre-connection along Hamiltonian vec. fields } \hat{a} = \{a, \}$ $I) \nabla_{\hat{a}}(bdc) = \{a, b\}dc + b\nabla_{\hat{a}}dc$ $2) d\{a, b\} = \nabla_{\hat{a}}db - \nabla_{\hat{b}}da$

At order λ^2 the bimodule associativity is $(\nabla_{\hat{a}} \nabla_{\hat{b}} - \nabla_{\hat{b}} \nabla_{\hat{a}} - \nabla_{\{\hat{a}, b\}}) dc = 0$ (just consider [a, [b, dc]] + [b, [dc, a]] + [dc, [a, b]] = 0) non-flat connection => nonassociativity at $O(\lambda^2)$ <u>Thm</u>: suppose (ω, ∇) Poisson compat and metric g, Levi-Civita conn. $\widehat{\nabla}$ Exists quantum metric at order $\lambda \iff \nabla g = 0$ `quant metric' $g_1 := q^{-1}(g - \frac{\lambda}{4}g_{ij}\omega^{is}(T^j_{nm;s} - R^j_{nms} + R^j_{mns})dx^m \otimes_0 dx^n)$

$$\nabla g = 0 \quad \langle = \rangle \quad \widehat{\nabla} = \nabla + S \qquad S^a_{bc} = \frac{1}{2}g^{ad}(T_{dbc} - T_{bcd} - T_{cbd})$$

$$(\omega, \nabla) \text{ compat} <=> (\widehat{\nabla}_k \omega)^{ij} + \omega^{ir} S^j_{rk} - \omega^{jr} S^i_{rk} = 0$$

Conditions on Riemann curvature for integrability

<u>Thm</u>: Exists best possible quantum Levi-Civita ∇_1 : torsion free and symmetric part of $\nabla_1 g_1 = 0$.

\bullet ∇_1 fully quantum Levi-Civita iff

$$\widehat{\nabla}\mathcal{R} + \omega^{ij} g_{rs} S^s_{jn} (R^r_{mki} + S^r_{km;i}) \, \mathrm{d}x^k \otimes \mathrm{d}x^m \wedge \mathrm{d}x^n = 0$$

'generalised Ricci form'

$$\mathcal{R} = g_{ij}\omega^{is}(T^j_{nm;s} - 2R^j{}_{nms})\mathrm{d}x^m \wedge \mathrm{d}x^n$$

E.g. Schwarzschild black hole

Rotationally invariant t-indept Poisson bivector =>

$$\omega^{01} = -\omega^{10} = k(r) \text{ and } \omega^{23} = -\omega^{32} = f(r)/\sin\theta$$

 $k(r) f'(r) = 0$

T rotationally invariant & Poisson-compatibility => k(r) = 0, f(r) = 1

$$T_{001} = f_1(r) \qquad T_{101} = f_2(r) \qquad T_{203} = -T_{302} = -f_3(r) \sin \theta$$

$$T_{212} = r \qquad T_{313} = r \sin^2(\theta) \qquad T_{213} = -T_{312} = -f_4(r) \sin \theta$$

Our obstruction to full $abla_1 g_1 = 0$ is

 $= \begin{cases} -r \sin \theta & (k, m, n) = (2, 3, 1) \& (k, m, n) = (3, 2, 1) \\ r \sin \theta & (k, m, n) = (2, 1, 3) \& (k, m, n) = (3, 1, 2) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{-}r \sin \theta & (k, m, n) = (2, 1, 3) \& (k, m, n) = (3, 1, 2) \\ \text{obstruction to qua. LC} \end{array}$

$$R^{1}_{010} = R^{0}_{110} = -\frac{f_{1}'(r) + c^{2} r_{s} r^{-3}}{c^{2} (1 - r_{s}/r)} \qquad R^{2}_{310} = \sin \theta \left(2 f_{3}(r) - r f_{3}'(r)\right) r^{-3}$$
$$R^{3}_{210} = -\csc \theta \left(2 f_{3}(r) - r f_{3}'(r)\right) r^{-3} \qquad R^{3}_{223} = -1 \qquad R^{2}_{323} = \sin^{2} \theta.$$

=> any quantization will have to be nonassociative.

II Why is there Riemannian Structure?

SM arXiv: 1307.2778 (math.QA)

$$\Omega_{\theta'} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}\theta, \quad \theta'^2 = 0, \quad \mathrm{d}\theta' = 0 \quad \mathsf{DGA of a `point'}$$

<u>Defn</u>: a central extension of a DGA $\Omega(A)$ means

$$\Omega_{\theta'} \hookrightarrow \Omega(A) \twoheadrightarrow \Omega(A)$$

 $\tilde{\Omega}(A) = \Omega(A) \otimes \Omega_{\theta'}$ as vector space, θ' graded-commutes

- *cleft* if the projection is a left A-module map.

- flat if equivalent to a central extension where d is undeformed

Extn <=>
$$\tilde{d}\omega = d\omega - \frac{\lambda}{2}\theta'\Delta\omega, \quad \omega\tilde{\wedge}\eta = \omega \wedge \eta - \frac{\lambda}{2}\theta'[[\omega,\eta]]$$

 $[[\omega\eta,\zeta]] + [[\omega,\eta]]\zeta = [[\omega,\eta\zeta]] + (-1)^{|\omega|}\omega[[\eta,\zeta]]$
 $L_{\Delta}(\omega,\eta) = d[[\omega,\eta]] + [[d\omega,\eta]] + (-1)^{|\omega|}[[\omega,d\eta]]$
 $[\Delta,d] = 0$

call $(\Delta, [[,]])$ a `2-cocycle' (cf group central extensions). Here $L_B(\omega, \eta) := B(\omega\eta) - (B\omega)\eta - (-1)^{b|\omega|}\omega B\eta$ `leibnizator' • origin of metric, connection and weak metric compatibility.

<u>Thm 2</u>: The cleft extension is flat if $\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$ for some degree - I map δ , which holds iff T=0.

- origin of torsion-freeness and form of the Hodge laplacian
- If δ `symmetric' get a new formula for Levi-Civita and metric:

$$\nabla_{\omega}\eta = \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta(\omega\eta) - (\delta\omega)\eta + \omega\delta\eta + \mathfrak{i}_{\omega}d\eta + \mathfrak{i}_{\eta}d\omega + d(\omega,\eta) \right)$$
$$(\omega, da) = \delta(a\omega) - a\delta(\omega)$$

• If (,) also nondegenerate, get BV identity $\delta(\omega\eta\zeta) = (\delta(\omega\eta))\zeta + (-1)^{|\omega|}\omega\delta(\eta\zeta) + (-1)^{(|\omega|-1)|\eta|}\eta\delta(\omega\zeta)$ $-(\delta\omega)\eta\zeta - (-1)^{|\omega|}\omega(\delta\eta)\zeta - (-1)^{|\omega|+|\eta|}\omega\eta\delta\zeta$

If $\delta^2 = 0$ get usual codifferential/divergence and Riemannian structure becomes equiv to a type of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra



Einstein's eqn becomes something like a wave equation for g and $\Lambda\,$ a `mass' $10^{-33}\,{\rm ev}$

Classical Riemannian geometry starts to make sense!

Thank You