The Global Biodiversity Convention

Anthony Alexander, University of Sussex Business School









2020 CBD Aichi Targets

- A: mainstream biodiversity,
- B: reduce pressure and promote sustainable use
- C: safeguard ecosystems,
 - D: enhance benefits from biodiversity and ecosystem services,
- E: participatory planning, knowledge management and capacity building.
- **Target 5** By 2020, the rate of loss of all natural habitats, including forests, is at least halved and where feasible brought close to zero, and degradation and fragmentation is significantly reduced.
- SDG 15 includes: 'halt deforestation', 'promote sustainable forest management' etc.
- New targets: By 2030, [50%] of land...areas globally are under spatial planning
- Key questions: for the post-2020 CBD targets what was missing?
- Who are the stakeholders and how might their behaviour be influenced?



14 Sep 2020

Why global targets to save the natural world will be missed







Recent SSRP research (in development) on the link between food production and habitat loss.

- Delphi study from expert policy meetings on proposed actions
- Exploration of national policy implementations of these (in the UK and in Peru)
- Aim: To achieve 'net zero habitat loss' and 'sustainable yields based on species' biological limits'
- Increase in global food demand and a plateau in productivity gains since the 1990s fuels the conversion of wild areas to agriculture.



Grassini, P., Eskridge, K. M., & Cassman, K. G. (2013). Distinguishing between yield advances and yield plateaus in historical crop production trends.

Nature communications, 4(1), 1-11.





To achieve 'net zero habitat loss' and 'sustainable yields' address enabling conditions:

- 1: Reform subsidies promoting unsustainable food production
- 2: Better valuation (e.g. natural capital)
- 3: Reduce food waste and loss
- 4: Sustainability standards e.g. supply chain certificates)
- 5: Life cycle assessments: map supply chain impacts
- 6: Sustainable diets, e.g. reduce meat consumption
- 7: Mainstreaming biodiversity in public debate and policy
- 8: Transparent governance: incl. better reporting and enforcement

Actors include government, businesses, civil society...







Bridging macro and micro (Peru: impact of pandemic)

Protected Areas and Governance voids during lockdown:

- Some Park Guards stationed around formal protected area abandoned their posts and returned to nearby towns. Some contracted covid and died.
- After rainy season ended (May-June) and lockdown relaxed, people started coming to slash and burn nearer the border of the PNCAZ protected area, clearing forest to grow crops
- Around 100 new chacras areas around PNCAZ detected in late August (when cloud cover lifted).



Mapa: APERTURA DE CHACRAS EN LOS MESES JULIO Y AGOSTO 2020





Conclusion

- Policy at macro scale needs to connect to action at the micro scale (ground-truth) otherwise it is just hot air.
- Political will at national scale is essential, and has to link to local action.
- To make a difference action needs to happen across many different levels, including addressing rural poverty alongside responsible sourcing by international buyers.

References

- Sakiko Fukuda-Parr & Desmond McNeill (eds.) (2019) Knowledge and Politics in Setting and Measuring the SDGs, Special Issue of Global Policy.
- https://www.globalpolicyjournal.com/journal-issue/special-issue-knowledge-and-politicssetting-and-measuring-sdgs
- Butchart, Di Marco and Watson (2016) Formulating smart commitments on Biodiversity: Lessons from the Aichi Targets, *Conservation Letters* vol 9, Issue 6.



