GII Survey Report

Selection: Norway; only surveys with inequality measures.

12 ho	useholds	Sample I	Duration: 1 Ye	ar(s)				
Notes:	12 household budgets for a year for working families in Kristiania. Includes the value of home produce. The survey-takers were conscious they were part of developing social statistics and saw this as a methodological intervention as much as anything. The survey-takers were particularly concerned with how tax impacted working families.							
	Ref ID: 719							
	Norges Statistisk	k Sentralbyrå, 'Skatteri	nes fordeling efter	indtægt og forsørg	gelsesbyrde Kristiar	nia' <i>, Socialstatistik</i>	k (1909)	
	U U	• •	•	0 0 0		-		
	Income broken do	wn bv income and familv	v size. in Krisitania.					
	Income broken do	wn by income and family	v size, in Krisitania.					
	Income broken do Table: [GII table		v size, in Krisitania. Non Group Data	Non Gr	oup Data Relevant]	
		1]		Non Gr	oup Data Relevant			
	Table: [GII table	1]		Non Gr <i>p50-10</i>	oup Data Relevant	p90-10		
	Table: [GII table	1] leasures	Non Group Data			<i>p90-10</i> 1.4801834821701]	
	Table: [GII table <u>Inequality M</u>	1] leasures gini (income)	Non Group Data	p50-10	p90-50]]	
	Table: [GII table <u>Inequality M</u> BL:	1] leasures gini (income) 0.087734960019589	Non Group Data	<i>p50-10</i> 1.24065399169922	<i>p90-50</i> 1.19306707382202	1.4801834821701]]]	
	Table: [GII table <u>Inequality M</u> BL: Naive:	1] leasures gini (income) 0.087734960019589 0.086701713502407	Non Group Data	<i>p50-10</i> 1.24065399169922 1.20190680027008	<i>p90-50</i> 1.19306707382202 1.13341307640076	1.4801834821701 1.3622567653656		

19 hou	useholds	Sample	Duration: 1	Year(s)						
Notes:	To get the data, the su	for a year for lower middle-cl rvey-takers wrote to 600 hou 9 were the households which	seholds, then liaised w	with those who expres	•			ected to tak	e pai	
	Ref ID: 721									
	Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå, 'Husholdningsregnskaper for handelsfunktionærer' (1913)									
	Norges Statistisk	Sentralbyrå, 'Hushold	dningsregnskape	er for handelsfur	nktionærer'	(1913)				
	Norges Statistisk Budgets for Famili	•	dningsregnskape	er for handelsfur	nktionærer'	(1913)				
	0	es in Commerce	dningsregnskape Non Group Dat		nktionærer' Non Group Data					
	Budgets for Famili	es in Commerce	Non Group Dat	ta						
	Budgets for Famili Table: [GII table <u>Inequality M</u>	es in Commerce 1] easures gini (income)		tap5	Non Group Data	a Relevant	p90-			
	Budgets for Famili	es in Commerce	Non Group Dat	ta	Non Group Data	a Relevant	<i>p90</i> - 3.0258326530456			
	Budgets for Famili Table: [GII table <u>Inequality M</u>	es in Commerce 1] easures gini (income)	Non Group Dat	tap5	Non Group Data 0-10 116 1.839	a Relevant	F = -	65		

3) Norway, 1912 - 1913 (Christiania, Municipal Bureau of Statistics)

Survey ID: 724

174 households Sample Duration: 1 Year(s)

Notes: The introduction to this survey explicitly refers to the household budget surveys which have been conducted in Britain, France, Belgium, Germany, Denmark, Sweden, Finland and the US, and says that the Norwegian Central Bureau of Statistics was now trying to produce similar research. Participants were paid 25 Kroner for their participation (20 Kroner in Hamar). The survey takers felt the sample was too small to be able to draw any definitive conclusions but the overall aim was to inform a government-led improvement in living conditions.

Included 171 fully completed annual accounts, of which 103 were from Oslo, 32 from Bergen, 11, from Trondheim, 12 from Drammen, Kristiansand 9 and 4 from Hamar. Almost two thirds of the accounts - a total of 109 - were kept by working families, while 59 were the families of 'officials' and 3 were 'self-employed'. Half (85 families) of accounts fell into expenditure groups from 1750 to 2000 and 2000-2500 respectively.

Ref ID: 722

Christiania Municipal Bureau of Statistics, Husholdningsregnakaper fort au endel mindre bemidlede familier I Kristiania, Bergen, Trondhjem, Drammen, Kristianssand, Og Hamar I Aaret 1912-13 (1915)

Household accounts of less well-to-do families in Kristiania...and Hamar, 1912-13

Table: [GII table	1]	Non Group Data		Non Gr	oup Data	Relevant		
Inequality N	leasures							
	gini (income)	gini (expenditure)		p50-10		p90-50		p90-10
BL:	0.152923867106438		1.285082340	024048	1.4524	3668556213	1.86	65007352829
Naive:	0.152845129370689		1.313488842	105682	1.4774	3844985962	1.94	059884548187
LN:	0.21590781211853		1.046641349	979248	1.0445	6281661987	1.09	328258037567
Table: [GII table	2]	GD_Norway_191	2-13.xls	Group I	Data	Entered		
Table: GII Group) Data	GDI_Norway_191	.5.xlsx	Group I	Data	Entered		

Terms Of Use: All material is made available free of charge for non-commercial use only. Please see the relevant copyright page of the Sussex Global Income Inequality Project website for further information on copyright and attribution requirements.

) Norwa	ay, 1916 - 1916 (N	orges Statistisk Sentralby	rå)	Survey ID:	725		
651 ho	useholds	Sample Duration:	1 Month(s)				
Notes:	were made towards gettin	g a representative sample. The focus is m	rural areas. Wanted to take a monthly snapshot of nutrition to compa nostly on nutrition and food prices, amid concerns over food shortage ever, this means it is possible to extract more detailed nutritional info	es during the war, hence			
	Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå, ' 'Dyrtidens Virkninger Paa Levevilkaarene'', <i>Norges officielle statistik,</i> Vol. 6, No. 105 (1917)						
	effects of the high cos	t of living on the standard of living	9				

Table: [GII table	1]	GD_Norway_191	GD_Norway_1916.xls		Entered	
Inequality M	easures					
	gini (income)	gini (expenditure)	p50	0-10	p90-50	p90-10
BL:	0.292738378047943		1.805912494659	1.8224	7126102448	3.29122352600098
Naive:	0.283861458301544		1.464743614196	2.1881	8378448486	3.20512819290161
LN:	0.257331132888794		1.145223975181	1.1268	0840492249	1.29044795036316

483 ho	ouseholds	Sample I	Duration:	1 Month(s)				
Notes:	A continuation of the 1916 survey with the same methodology and approach. Good regional distribution from 15 different towns and rural municipality different employment sectors as well including teachers, small business owners. The aim was to continue to compare nutrition and food prices to 191							
	Ref ID: 724							
	Norges Statistisk Ser (1918)	ntralbyrå, 'Dyrtide	ns Virkninger I	Paa Levevilkaa	arene' <i>, Norges</i>	officielle statis	<i>tik.</i> , Vol. 6, No.	124
	Norges Statistisk Ser		Ū	Paa Levevilkaa	arene' <i>, Norges</i>	officielle statis	<i>tik.,</i> Vol. 6, No.	124

		g (epeee.,	p===	p====	p====
BL:	0.246549978852272		1.48704254627228	1.87703669071198	2.79123330116272
Naive:	0.240254431962967		1.46325874328613	2.18340611457825	3.1948881149292
LN:	0.23418702185154		1.10359156131744	1.09386765956879	1.20718312263489

5

6) No	_{6)} Norway, 1918 - 1918 (Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå)					727
82	households	Sample Duration:	1 Month(s)			

Notes: Family budgets. Idea was to compare nutrition to the 1912/13 survey, amid concerns about food shortages caused by the war. Initially, the families approached to take part were those which had taken part in the 1916 and 1917 surveys, although the survey-takers had to broaden the remit and ask some different families. 51 of the families were from Kristiania and 31 from Bergen. All received 50 Kroner as a fee for taking part in the bookkeeping. This survey was split into three parts; one for working class families PART A; one for lower middle class PART B, and one for upper middle-class families PART C.

Ref ID: 725

·····

Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå, 'Husholdningsregnskap 1918', Norges officielle statistik, Vol. 7, No. 13 (1921)

Family Expense Accounts 1918

Table: [GII table	able: [GII table 1]		GD_Norway_1918-19.xls		Entered	
Inequality M	leasures					
	gini (income)	gini (expenditure)	p50	0-10	p90-50	p90-10
BL:	0.295306146144867		2.452566146850	1.8129	8446655273	4.44646453857422
Naive:	0.287690490484238		2.20000047683	1.5454	5450210571	3.4000009536743
LN:	0.262248039245605		1.091939330101	1.0841	9823646545	1.18387866020203

159 l	nouseholds	Sample Duration:	1 Year(s)	
Notes	The 24 managerial class wor The methodology of this sum During this conference, a res Extensive talks on methodol adopted by the Norwegian s 1. The use of Unions (in this of 2. The adoption of what they previously, simply providing 3. Households were told to for than the moment of paymer 4. Households were visited b the telephone number of a la	kers were nearly all from Oslo, which w yey was explicitly influenced by the pro olution was made to take a comprehe ogy for conducting household surveys urvey takers were: ase, the Worker's Academic Federation deem 'forced book-keeping', that is, to a notebook and categorising expenditu llow the model of the 1922 Danish and it.	beceedings of the Second Conference of Labour Statisti nsive household survey of working families in all the p also took place, leading to an improved code of practi- n) to recruit participants for the survey. provide tables and categories with which to record ex- ures when drawing up tables of results. I Swedish surveys by recording the price of items boug f the survey to give guidance, and then visited twice in hem with any queries.	cians which had been held in the Spring of 1925. participant countries no later than 1928. ice. The main methodological interventions spenditure rather than, as had been done sht in credit at the moment of acquisition rather
	Ref ID: 726			

1-174.

Family expense accounts, 1927-28

Table: [GII table	1]	GD_Norway_192	GD_Norway_1927-28.xls		Entered	
Inequality M	leasures					
_	gini (income)	gini (expenditure)	p50	0-10	p90-50	p90-10
BL:	0.062884338200092		1.085200667382	1.185	537282943726	1.28636741638184
Naive:	0.059889141470194		1.128515243530	1.205	584154129028	1.36081051826477
LN:	0.204689472913742		1.017618298530	1.01	731336116791	1.03523671627045

Terms Of Use: All material is made available free of charge for non-commercial use only. Please see the relevant copyright page of the Sussex Global Income Inequality Project website for further information on copyright and attribution requirements.

8) Norway, 1947 - 1948 (Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå) Survey ID: 732 496 households Sample Duration: 1 Year(s)

Notes: The government wished to get a representative peacetime sample of living conditions. Acknowledged in the methodology was that, by relying on families to keep income books, only results from stable and well-organised families was likely. Interviews were used alongside detailed accounting books to try to ameliorate this issue. The survey looks at the consumption patterns of families of workers in 18 towns (sourced through the Worker's Academic Federation) and 13 industrial areas PART A, and 52 families of forestry workers PART B. It attempts to draw comparisons with 1927-28 survey, particularly on nutrition. It includes home produce and also required families to note meals eaten outside the home, periods of sickness and absence from the home.

The report notes that during this period some goods (meat, butter, margarine, eggs, cheese, sugar, coffee, chocolate, clothing and footwear) were still rationed. The aim was to develop an understanding of the material conditions of working families to help fix prices when rationing ended.

Ref ID: 730

Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå, 'Husholdningsregnsaper mai 1947 - april 1948', Norges officielle statistik, Vol. 11, No. 23 (1950)

Table: [GII table	able: [GII table 1]		GD_Norway_1947-48.xls		Entered	
<u>Inequality M</u>	leasures					
	gini (income)	gini (expenditure)	p5	0-10	p90-50	p90-10
BL:	0.100092463195324		1.24047660827	637 1.2113	39240264893	1.50270390510559
Naive:	0.098749086260796		1.25997495651	245 1.2598	89234447479	1.58743274211884
LN:	0.210969433188438		1.03097784519	196 1.0300	04705905914	1.0619558095932

0.218643993139267

LN:

9) Norw	/ay, 1951 - 1952	(Norges Statistisk	Sentralbyrå)				Survey IE	: 733
100 h	ouseholds	Sample I	Duration: 1 Y	'ear(s)				
Notes:	 Proposed as a follow-up to the 1948 survey to help assess and improve cost of living calculations. As such, 100 of the 452 families from the previous su surveyed. Namely, husbands and wives in 17 cities and 10 industrial towns either without children or with children under 16. As the survey-takers wan they started with 112 as they factored in a drop-out rate. Furthermore, families were selected whose income rise from 1948 was considered 'normal' i statistics, to try to get a representative working class. Accounting books were kept of all purchases made throughout the year (including those made on credit, and payments made for those bought on credit years). The year was 1 October 1951-30 September 1952. At the end of each month, the section was submitted to the NOS for processing. Ref ID: 731 Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå, 'Husholdningsregnskap 1951', Norges officielle statistik, Vol. 11, No. 128 (1953) Family Expense Accounts 1951 							families, ith nationa
	Table: [GII table	1]	GD_Norway_19	51-52.xls G	roup Data	Entered		
	Inequality M	easures						
	_	gini (income)	gini (expenditure)	p50	-10	p90-50	p90-10	
	BL:	0.13626366853714		1.345825314521	79 1.28	947114944458	1.73540282249451	
	Naive:	0.131469309329987		1.200009942054	75 1.33	334720134735	1.60002994537354	

1.04127860069275

1.03964221477509

1.08255708217621

10) Norway, 1952 - 1952 (Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå) Survey ID: 735 197 households Sample Duration: 1 Year(s)

Notes: In this survey, the NOS were conscious that the previous survey had, by requiring accounting books to be kept for a year, created a bias towards particularly sober and industrious working class families. They were, rather endearingly, concerned that this, 'exaggerated natural virtues' (p. 8). This survey, therefore, was conducted by interview and took place for a month and results were extrapolated.

For this survey they decided to follow the methods which had been recently used by Danish and Swedish researchers to interview randomly selected working class families. Practical reasons meant this had to be restricted to Oslo and Bergen, and 200 families were selected from an initial list of 600 who were randomly approached. The 'normal' families selected for the sample were those with a healthy working husband and wife (they excluded those who were absent e.g. in hospital/prison/armed forces), either with no children or children under 15.

197 of the interviews were from working families in work, and 6 from insured families (i.e. pensioners). 158 in Oslo and 39 in Bergen. The interviews were carried out by 'socioeconomic' students who had been on a two day training course and given a manual in how to conduct interviews. The interviews (between 1-7 per family with an average of 1.9) had the purpose of explaining the detailed questionnaires which families had to fill out and corroborating details given.

Ref ID: 732

Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå, 'Forbruksundersøkelse etter intervjumetoden 1952', *Norges officielle statistik*, Vol. 11, No. 131 (1953)

Consumer survey by Interview Method 1952

Table: [GII table 1]		GD_Norway_195	2.xls G	ds Group Data		
<u>Inequality M</u>				10		- 22 42
_ .	gini (income)	gini (expenditure)	p50		p90-50	p90-10
BL:	0.171693220734596		1.409943580627	44 1.4009	1991424561	1.97521805763245
Naive:	0.167336940765381		1.444469094276	43 1.3077	0409107208	1.88893830776215
LN:	0.225067645311356		1.048757314682	01 1.0464	905500412	1.09751462936401

Morway, 1952 - 1953 (Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå) Survey ID: 736 74 households Sample Duration: 1 Year(s)

Recognised that until this point, NOS surveys had been mostly limited to working families in cities and industrial areas, with only the inclusion of some lower-level managerial employees. This is the first in a series of 4 surveys designed to rectify that by examining the budgets of 74 high-income households.

Each month for a year, families who took part were required to keep a detailed accounting book which also included such information as meals taken outside the home, guests being present for meals, and if any family member was away. As in other surveys, the selection was limited to husbands and wives without children or with children under 15. The survey was held in Oslo, Bergen, Trondheim and Stavanger.

Of the professions of the heads of household (note: these were all assumed to be the husband of the family, even if the wife also worked), 15 householders belonged to the police (two inspectors, one police adjutant and 12 senior police officers), 13 were engineers, 10 lecturers, nine doctors, agency managers or office managers, four consultants, three civil servants, five architects. Of the other nine, one was a director, one district attorney, an educational leader, laboratory chief, one assistant professor, a psychologist, an actuary, one department and one a chemist manager.

The survey-takers had hoped to get more than 74 results, and acknowledged that this did not provide a nationally representative sample, but hoped it would still provide some use.

Ref ID: 733

Notes:

Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå, 'Husholdningsregnskaper for høyere funksjonærer [1954] ', *Norges officielle statistik*, Vol. 11, No. 157 (1954)

Family Budget Studies for Salaried Employees in the Higher Income Groups (1954)

Table: [GII table 1]		GD_Norway_195	2-53.xls 0	53.xls Group Data				
Inequality Measures								
	gini (income)	gini (expenditure)	p50	0-10	p90-50	p90-10		
BL:	0.175370797514915		1.33094620704	551 1.469	10774707794	1.95530331134796		
Naive:	0.170983523130417		1.28572249412	537 1.222	22721576691	1.57144486904144		
LN:	0.225243136286736		1.046228408813	348 1.044	18575763702	1.09245693683624		

12)	Norway, 1954 - 1954 (Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå)					
	585 hc	ouseholds	Sample Duration:	1 Year(s)		
	Notes:	Interestingly, in the introdu granted. Contact was made with volu [Norwegian Smallholders W Despite the previous success methodology. The rationale Interviews – Shorter period Accounting – More accurate For this survey, it was decid agricultural seasonal variati	ction the NOS mention they had also sou unteer families through two groups, 'Nor 'omen's Group]. A random sample could stul experiment (1952) with taking interve was given thus: and supported means one can get a more e data, but the long commitment require ed that because a large sample was need ons and the value of produce into accourt	nation about groups which had not been represented in previ ght permission to carry out a fifth survey on single wage earn ges Bondekvinnelag' [Norwegian Farmer's Wives] and 'Norsk I not be selected for this survey for logistical reasons. iew data rather than relying on accounting books, the NOS de e representative sample of households. But the data tends to d means a bias towards more stable households. led, accounting books over a year would be the best way, esp it. The survey started with 728 families with 585 completing. I lso representative in terms of size of farm.	ers in cities, but this had not b Bonde-og Smaabrukarlags kvir ecided to return to the latter be less accurate. ecially as they needed to take	oeen
		Ref ID: 734				

Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå, 'Husholdningsregnskaper for jordbrukerfamilier, 1957', Norges officielle statistik, Vol. 11, No. 274 (1957)

Family Budgets for Farmers' Families, 1957

Table: [GII table	1]	GD_Norway_1954	orway_1954b.xls Group Data		Entered		
Inequality M	leasures						
	gini (income)	gini (expenditure)	p50-	10	p90-50	<i>p</i> :	90-10
BL:	0.247292190790176		1.6643924713134	8 1.742	14828014374	2.8996186256	4087
Naive:	0.246803715825081		1.4615739583969	1 1.947	41833209991	2.8462958335	8765
LN:	0.241093143820763		1.0663844347000	1 1.062	25192546844	1.1327689886	0931

13) Norway, 1954 - 1954 (Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå)

293 households Sample Duration: 1 Year(s) Notes: The third in the series of four surveys designed to get information about groups which had not been represented in previous working-class, industrial sample As such, the methodology of this survey was similar to the previous ones. This time, contact was made through 'Noregs Fiskarlag' [Norway Fishermen], fylke [county level fishing organisations] and 'Fiskarorganisasjonenes Kvinnelag' [Fishermen's Wives]. This covers 293 families for whom fishing was a main or primary occupation. 130 had fishing as the only profession and 163 as a supplementary profession. estimated costs of own produced products. It seems to be particularly concerned about nutrition in these families as most of the tables are about what they methodology reports that fishermen's families are generally on very low incomes relative to the national average and much of their consumption is through	-			······
As such, the methodology of this survey was similar to the previous ones. This time, contact was made through 'Noregs Fiskarlag' [Norway Fishermen], fylke [county level fishing organisations] and 'Fiskarorganisasjonenes Kvinnelag' [Fishermen's Wives]. This covers 293 families for whom fishing was a main or primary occupation. 130 had fishing as the only profession and 163 as a supplementary profession. estimated costs of own produced products. It seems to be particularly concerned about nutrition in these families as most of the tables are about what they	93 households	Sample Duration:	1 Year(s)	
channels.	As such, the methodology [county level fishing orgar This covers 293 families fo estimated costs of own pr methodology reports that	of this survey was similar to the previous isations] and 'Fiskarorganisasjonenes Kvi r whom fishing was a main or primary oc oduced products. It seems to be particula	s ones. This time, contact was made through 'Ne innelag' [Fishermen's Wives]. ccupation. 130 had fishing as the only professior arly concerned about nutrition in these families	loregs Fiskarlag' [Norway Fishermen] , fylkesfiskan n and 163 as a supplementary profession. Includes as most of the tables are about what they eat. T

Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå, 'Husholdningsregnskaper for fiskerfamilier (1957) ', *Norges officielle statistik*, Vol. 11, No. 250 (1957)

Family Budgets for Fishermen's Families

Table: [GII table 1]		GD_Norway_1954	GD_Norway_1954a.xls Group D		Entered	
Inequality M	easures					
	gini (income)	gini (expenditure)	p50-10	2	p90-50	p90-10
BL:	0.238493502140045		1.67518293857574	1.6735	50375652313	2.80342483520508
Naive:	0.235585451126099		1.66674077510834	1.8000)53358078	3.00022220611572
LN:	0.236718967556953		1.0644154548645	1.0605	5171918869	1.12883079051971

Survey ID: 738

Norway, 1955 - 1956 (Norges officielle statistik)

140 householdsSample Duration:1 Year(s)Notes:It was argued by the NOS that there was a great public interest in knowing what pensioners got out of their pensions in terms of food, clothing, fuel etc. and whether this
was sufficient. The government agreed, and this investigation began on 1 May 1955. Aware of high drop-out rates caused by the detailed accounting required, the NOS
started with a sample of 240 households.
Pensions in Norway at this time were allocated municipally, and part of the rationale of the survey was to differentiate between different pension rates in Oslo, Bergen,
Narvik, Sarpsborg, Kristiansand, Stavanger, Hamar, Bodø and Harstad.
Only pensioners on a full retirement pension living in cities in their own households were included. They could have a small amount of their own income as long as this fell
within municipal limited amounts. Those living with families, in care homes, or who were not in receipt of a full pension were not included.Ref ID:736

Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå, 'Husholdningsregnskaper for alderstrygdede (1958) ', *Norges officielle statistik*, Vol. 11, No. 274 (1958)

Family Budgets for Old Age Pensioners

Table: [GII table	1]	GD_Norway_1955	55-56.xls Group Data		Entered	
Inequality M		sini (suu su dituus)		0.10	-00 50	-00.10
BL:	<i>gini (income)</i> 0.183450430631638	gini (expenditure)	1.50437998771	0-10 667 1.5049	p90-50 2024421692	p90-10 2.26397180557251
Naive:	0.170836180448532		1.40007996559		551574707	1.80016005039215
LN:	0.221345722675323		1.05211055278	778 1.0495	2955245972	1.10422098636627

14)

Norway, 1958 - 1958 (Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå) 15)

Survey ID: 740

3,301 households

Sample Duration: 1 Year(s)

The introduction reflects on the fact that it was only in the 1950s it could begin to be said that the consumer surveys taken by the NOS were in any way representative, Notes: with the earlier surveys nearly all being focused on the living conditions of the urban poor. This Survey of Consumer Expenditure, undertaken as part of the effort to monitor national economic growth and affordability, was the first example of a modern, representative sample survey in Norway. It relied on accounting books being kept by householders and was overseen by local district authorities to get a comprehensive regional spread then fed back to central admin. One in twelve households in selected districts (which included the fifty richest districts then a random sample of the rest) were required by the local authority to keep detailed accounts. The survey differentiates between groups of employees and the regions.

Ref ID: 737

Norges Statistisk Sentralbyrå, 'Forbruksundersøkelsen 1958', Norges officielle statistik, Vol. A7 (1960)

Survey of Consumer Expenditure 1958

Table: [GII table 1]		GD_Norway_1958	58.xls Group Data Entered		Entered		
Inequality M	easures						
	gini (income)	gini (expenditure)	p50	-10	p90-50	p90-10	
BL:	0.290459513664246		2.431555271148	1.7294	13139076233	4.20520782470703	
Naive:	0.280622154474258		2.284300565719	1.9886	6726140976	4.54272603988647	
LN:	0.297684371471405		1.145871758461	1.1273	30193138123	1.291743516922	

Selection: Norway; only surveys with inequality measures.

Report Stats:

Selection: Norway; only surveys with inequality measures.

Surveys:15Reference instances:15

Total Households: 6,766