

# **Standard Operating Procedures for Risk Assessment – CTIMPs**

# This is a controlled document Any printed versions of this document will be classed as uncontrolled

SOP Reference:	SOP/RG04
Version Number:	1.0
Date:	26 May 2021
Effective Date:	1 June 2021

Author: Antony Walsh	Signature	Date
<b>Designation:</b> RES Research Governance Officer	A. Walal	26 May 2021
Authorised by: Dr Daniel Michelson Designation: SSC Chair	David	11 June 2021

Version	Effective Date	Reason for Change
1.0	1 June 2021	-

#### Disclaimer

- When using this document ensure that the version you are using is the most up-to-date either by checking on the Research Governance webpages<sup>1</sup> for any new versions or by contacting the author to confirm the current version
- Staff and students may print off this document for training and reference purposes but are responsible for regularly checking for the current version. Any print-off of this document will be classed as uncontrolled
- Out of date documents must not be relied upon

Acknowledgement, University of Keele

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup><u>http://www.sussex.ac.uk/staff/research/governance</u>

## 1. Purpose & Scope

- 1.1 The EU Good Clinical Practice (GCP) Directive 2001/20/EC was introduced to establish standardisation of research activity in Clinical Trials throughout the European Union. It was transposed into UK law as the Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations 2004 (SI 2004/1031) which came into force on 1<sup>st</sup> May 2004. The Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations together with subsequent amendments will be referred to as the Regulations in the rest of this document<sup>2</sup>.
- 1.2 This SOP describes the processes that are involved for risk assessment of a Clinical Trial of an Investigational or Medicinal Product (CTIMP) at an individual study or trial level.
- 1.3 The scope of the document is for all schools at the University of Sussex, all members of staff with substantive employment and students registered at the University (including Brighton and Sussex Medical School).

## 2. Introduction

- 2.1 Risk assessment is a systematic process of evaluating the potential hazards associated with a study / trial and assessing the likelihood of those hazards occurring and resulting in harm. All studies contain a level of risk inherent to the protocol that relate to safety and rights of the participants and the credibility of results.
- 2.2 Risk assessment is an ongoing process that must be continually assessed and managed at each stage of a study to ensure the safety, rights and wellbeing of participants and research staff and the integrity of data is considered for the successful completion of the study.
- 2.3 It is recommended that a full study-specific risk assessment is conducted for every study and that this is clearly documented, minimally evidenced through the study protocol, although ideally as a separate document in its own right (*RGRA1*: is a suggested template for this) and stored in the Trial Study Master File.
- 2.4 During the Sponsorship review process, risk assessment is conducted and recorded in accordance with the processes outlined in *SOPRG01a Sponsorship Approval CTIMPs*.
- 2.5 The Chief Investigator (CI) is responsible for ensuring an in-depth assessment of the risks involved is completed prior to commencing recruitment in a study, and ensuring any measures put in place to mitigate identified risks are also documented.
- 2.6 The duty of documenting risk assessment is usually delegated to the Trial Manager; however, the CI is responsible for approving this document.

## 3. Responsibilities

## **Chief Investigator**

- 3.1 The Chief Investigator has responsibility for:
  - Content and approval of the completed risk assessment document.
  - Review of the risk assessment document.
  - The CI may delegate the development and review of the risk assessment document to appropriate members of their study team.

## The Sponsor

3.2 The Sponsor has responsibility for undertaking a risk assessment to inform the decision to provide sponsorship to research and developing risk mitigation actions as appropriate.

## 4. Procedure

- 4.1 The regulatory framework in the UK provides a range of risk adapted approaches that simplify the processes involved in initiating and managing CTIMPs that meet certain risk criteria. The guidance from the MHRA (Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency) must be followed alongside any other relevant guidance or regulations.
- 4.2 The MHRA document *Risk-adapted Approaches to the Management of Clinical Trials of Investigational Medicinal Products* must be followed to categorise a trial based on the risk to participant safety in relation to the IMP (Investigational Medicinal Product), as follows:
- Type A = No higher than the risk of standard medical care
- Type B = Somewhat higher than the risk of standard medical care
- Type C = Markedly higher than the risk of standard medical care
- 4.3 Examples of types of clinical trials that are covered under these categories are described in more detail within the guidance document (*Risk-adapted Approaches to the Management of Clinical Trials of Investigational Medicinal Products*).

#### **Development of Risk Assessment Document**

- 4.4 Assessment of risk in CTIMPs should commence during grant development.
- 4.5 Following confirmation of award (where applicable), the study-specific risk assessment documentation) should be developed by the CI (or delegate) alongside the protocol. Identifying risks associated with a study at an early stage in study development will allow for any necessary modifications to be made to the study design in order to minimise associated risk.
- 4.6 Risk based decisions must also be made when developing study documents, procedures and working instructions. These decisions should also be captured within the risk assessment.

- 4.7 When mitigations are complete, this should be documented. In many cases, the risk identified can be managed via the design of the trial as captured in the protocol, training made available to the study/site teams, use of external service providers (e.g. randomisation) or via internal and on-site monitoring.
- 4.8 The CI must approve the final version of the initial risk assessment.
- 4.9 The risk assessment should guide the monitoring of the whole study process, with the oversight of the trial/study management group, trial steering committee and data monitoring committee. Based on the risk assessment, a trial specific monitoring plan should be developed.

## Ongoing Risk Assessment Review

- 4.10 The study/trial risk assessment should be reviewed regularly by the CI / delegate to ensure the risk assessment document is still current and the implementation of mitigations have been conducted as necessary. This should include, but not be exclusive to, review as part of study management group meetings.
- 4.11 The risk assessment must be reviewed when any significant changes are made within the study/trial, for example:
  - Substantial Amendment to the protocol or Patient Information Sheet/Informed Consent Form
  - Change to organisation of the trial (e.g. governance, funding, personnel)
  - An event which may impact participant safety or scientific integrity (e.g. a serious breach)
  - Significant change to Summary of Product Characteristics/Investigator Brochure
  - Change in risk identified during interim analysis by Trial oversight committees
- 4.12 The outcome of the review should be documented as evidence that it was assessed, even when no changes result.

## 5. Training

5.1 This is a 'read and understand' SOP. Please note that the Research Ethics and Integrity Team discourages the retention of hard copies of SOPs and can only guarantee that the most up-to-date version is on the University website.

## 6. Abbreviations

AE Adverse Event
CI Chief Investigator
CRF Case Report Form

CTIMP Clinical Trial of an Investigational or Medicinal Product

CTU Clinical Trials Unit
CV Curriculum Vitae

DSC Data Steering Committee

EU European Union

EUDRACT European Union Drug Regulating Authorities Clinical Trials Database

GCP Good Clinical Practice

GMP Good Manufacturing Practice
HRA Health Research Authority

ICH International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for

Pharmaceuticals for Human Use

IMP Investigational or Medicinal Product

ISF Investigative Site File

ISO International Standards Organisation

IVD In Vitro Diagnostic

MHRA Medicine and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency

PI Principal Investigator
PIS Patient Information Sheet
PPI Patient and Public Involvement
REC Research Ethics Committee

REIGO Research Ethics and Integrity Office SOP Standard Operating Procedure

SUSAR Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reactions)

TSC Trial Steering Committee

TMF Trial Master File

TMG Trial Management Group US University of Sussex

#### 6. Cross Referenced SOPs

SOPRG01a Sponsorship approval – CTIMPs

SOPRG03 Notifications of serious breach of GCP or trial protocol

SOPRG17 CTIMP Data Management SOPRG21 Adverse Events in CTIMPs

#### 7. References

Clinical Trials Regulations 2004 (SI 2004/1031)

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2004/1031/pdfs/uksi 20041031 en.pdf

MHRA (2011) <u>Risk-adapted Approaches to the Management of Clinical Trials of Investigational</u> Medicinal Products

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment data/file/343677/Riskadapted approaches to the management of clinical trials of investigational medicin al products.pdf

International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH)

<u>ICH E3 – structure and content of clinical study reports</u> (1993) https://database.ich.org/sites/default/files/E3 Guideline.pdf <u>ICH E6 – Guideline for Good Clinical Practice</u> (1996) https://database.ich.org/sites/default/files/E6\_R2\_Addendum.pdf

Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) (2014, updated 2019 <a href="https://www.gov.uk/guidance/good-clinical-practice-for-clinical-trials">https://www.gov.uk/guidance/good-clinical-practice-for-clinical-trials</a>

MHRA Good Clinical Practice Guide, The Stationery Office: London, 2012

Risk proportionate approaches in clinical trials, Recommendations of the expert group on clinical trials for the implementation of Regulation (EU) No. 536/2014 on clinical trials on medicinal products for human use, 25 April 2017

https://ec.europa.eu/health/sites/default/files/files/clinicaltrials/2016 06 pc guidelines/gl 4 resp contributor 6.pdf

## **Risk Assessment Tool**

Study Title:					
Sponsor (if not US):					
Sponsor Reference Number:					
IRAS Reference:					
EudraCT Number:	☐ Not Available				
REC Number:	☐ Not Available				
Is the study commercially	□Yes				
funded?	□No				
Research Category:	Check box if unknown □				
☐ Clinical trial of an investigational n	nedicinal product (CTIMP)				
☐ Clinical investigation or other study of a medical device (CMD)					
☐ Clinical trial involving both an inve	stigational medicinal product (IMP) and a medical device				
Other clinical trial to study a novel intervention or randomised clinical trial to compare interventions in clinical practice					
☐ Basic science study involving procedures with human participants					
Study administering questionnaires/interviews for quantitative analysis, or using mixed quantitative/qualitative methodology					
☐ Study involving qualitative method	☐ Study involving qualitative methods only				
☐ Study limited to working with human tissue samples (or other human biological samples) and / or data					

☐ Study limited to working with data (specific project only)
☐ Research tissue bank
☐ Research database
☐ Other research <i>Provide details:</i>
Staff Present:
List the names of staff present during the risk assessment and feasibility discussion/meeting. If staff have been involved in earlier/separate discussions/meetings document this below
Study Summary:
Include a summary of relevance and importance of this research and how this research will benefit NUH and/or it's staff and patients

## Section 1 - Risk Assessment of the Investigational Medical Product or Intervention

## If this study does not involve an IMP check box $\square$ and proceed to section 2

Where risks associated with the IMP/intervention are somewhat or markedly higher than those of standard medical care (i.e. Type B or Type C trials) details regarding specific risks to body systems and proposed methods for clinical monitoring of such risks should be described. The drug risk assessment should be based on available information (e.g. SmPC, Investigator Brochure, British National Formulary other publications)

National Formulary other p	ublications)				
Risks associated with IMP / intervention:   Type A: risk comparable to that of standard medical care		Justification Please give reasons why Type A/B/C was applied for this study			
☐ Type B: risk somew	<i>hat higher</i> than that of s	tandard medical care			
☐ Type C: risk marked	<i>Ily higher</i> than that of sta	andard medical care			
IMP/Intervention	Body System (i.e. System Organ Class)	Hazard	Risk Likelihood L = Low M = Medium H = High	Mitigation	Comments
e.g. ABC123	Metabolic	Hyperglycaemia	L	Blood glucose monitoring	X hourly

Section 1 - Risk Assessment of the Investigational Medical Product or Intervention (continued)

Potential source of harm	Risk Factor Identified Provide details of study-specific considerations/risk concerns	Risk Likelihood L = Low M = Medium H = High	<b>Mitigation</b> Address all concerns identified	FOR RGO USE ONLY Monitoring/audit methods
Manufacture and distribution - IMP sourcing/manufacture/supply - Licence status, QP release - IMP ordering/delivery - Temp control for delivery				
Drug labelling - IMP packaging - IMP labelling - blinding				
Storage - pharmacy/ward - temperature controlled				
Drug accountability				
Application method - dose calculation/strength - duration/regimen of administration - dosing procedure - drug interactions - dosing follow up				
Pharmacovigilance -Dose limiting toxicity - AE/SUSAR reporting - urgent safety measures - out of hours cover - stopping criteria - data monitoring committee				
Other				

## Section 2 - Risk Assessment of the Medical Device or In vitro Diagnostic (IVD)

## If this study does not involve a medical device check box $\square$ and proceed to section 3

Where risks associated with device are higher than normal (i.e. device used outside of CE marking, or device without CE marking) details regarding specific risks to body systems and proposed methods for clinical

monitor	monitoring of such risks should be described. The device risk assessment should be based on available information (e.g. Investigator Brochure, Device Technical Specification)						
Use of the medical device:		Class of Device		Justification for classific	cation		
L CE marked device used within its intended nurnese(s)		☐ Class I or A (☐ Class IIa or	IVD) B VD)				
☐ CE marked device which has been modified or will be used outside its intended purpose(s)		☐ Class IIb or ☐ Class III or D	(IVD) (IVD)				
☐ Non-CE marked devi	ice						
☐ In vitro Diagnostic (I	VD)						
Device	Body System	Hazard	Risk	N	litigation	Comments	
	(i.e. System Organ Class)		Likelihood				
			L = Low				
			M= Medium H = High				
			Підії				

## Section 3 – Research Risk Assessment

Mark risk as "N/A" if not relevant for this study. List any other risks identified for this study in "Other" A. Participants'

**Rights and Safety** 

Potential source of harm	Risk Factor Identified Provide details of study-specific considerations/risk concerns	Risk Likelihood L = Low M = Medium H = High	<b>Mitigation</b> Address all concerns identified	FOR RGO USE ONLY Monitoring/audit methods
Participant population -healthy volunteer/patient -age/vulnerable group -rare disease/illness - non-adherence to study intervention				
Enrolment - eligibility criteria (restrictive inclusion/exclusion) - withdrawal - recruitment period - competitive recruitment - enrolment target justified				
Consent - verbal/written - emergency situation - proxy/legal representative/ professional legal representative				
Participant confidentiality - data access - collect personal identifiable data - collect sensitive information - data sharing/transfer outside UK/EU - information governance				
Study assessment methods - samples/tests/biopsies/procedures and sample storage - visit schedule vs. standard care				

Other		
- Phase I considerations		
- Emergency procedures		

# B. Facilities, Equipment and Resources

Potential source of harm	Risk Factor Identified Provide details of study-specific considerations/risk concerns	Risk Likelihood L = Low M = Medium H = High	<b>Mitigation</b> Address all concerns identified	FOR RGO USE ONLY Monitoring/audit methods
Study staff experience - appropriate qualifications - research experience - ICH-GCP, ISO14155 trained - protocol training - sponsor SOP awareness - time allocation - back-up co-Investigator				
Partner organisations - additional sites, external service provider/third party - geography - language - international regulations				
Study management - CTU managed - Research team size and setup				
Resource availability - support departments/clinics/wards - (special) equipment - equipment servicing/maintenance				
Other				

# C. Study Design and Reliability of Results

Potential source of harm	Risk Factor Identified Provide details of study-specific considerations/risk concerns	Risk Likelihood L = Low M = Medium H = High	<b>Mitigation</b> Address all concerns identified	FOR RGO USE ONLY Monitoring/audit methods
Data collection/management - source data - (e)CRF design and completion - database design and entry - quality control/verification checks - objective vs. subjective				
Study recruitment power - number feasible - participant withdrawal - loss to follow up				
Blinding and/or randomisation - blinded allocation - single/double blind - unblinding procedures				
Complexity of study design - intervention - treatment arms/groups - visit schedule and follow up - crossover, dose escalation/adjustment, MTD				
Other - PPI/E				

# D. Documentation, Governance and Compliance

Potential source of harm	Risk Factor Identified	Risk	Mitigation	FOR RGO USE ONLY
	Provide details of study-specific	Likelihood	Address all concerns identified	Monitoring/audit methods
	considerations/risk concerns	L = Low M		_
		= Medium H		
		= High		

Trial master file maintenance								
Is a Vendor(s) licence/certification required? - GMP licence - ISO 9001/13485 - HTA licence				Is a vendor questionnaire or audit is required? Confirm with the RGO HRC/QA manager.				
Insurance/indemnity arrangements								
Funding - Funding arrangments - Milestones								
Suitability of proposed trial steering committees (TSC, DMC, TMG)								
Other								
Reference Material:								
List any documentation used as a reference to complete this risk assessment								
	[Chief Investigator]	[Signature]		 Date]				
Risk Assessment Tool								
Completed by:								
<del>-</del>	[Sponsor Representative]	[Signature]	[Da	ate]				