

Non-Continuation Following Year of Entry-Full Time First Degree Entrants 2003-2004: Comparison by Department

All subjects, new entrants 2003/4

Department	Total entrants	Total n-cs	% total n-cs	Young entrants	Young n-cs	% Young n-cs	Mature entrants	Mature n-cs	% Mature n-cs
AMERICAN STUDIES	58	2	3.4	54	1	1.9	4	1	25
ANTHROPOLOGY	71	7	9.9	53	7	13.2	18	0	0
ART HISTORY	29	4	13.8	19	4	21.1	10	0	0
BIOCHEMISTRY	28	1	3.6	21	0	0	7	1	14.3
BIOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT	74	5	6.8	54	1	1.9	20	4	20
CHEMISTRY	17	1	5.9	13	0	0	4	1	25
ECONOMICS	41	2	4.9	35	1	2.9	6	1	16.7
EDUCATION	17	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0
ENGINEERING	31	4	12.9	22	2	9.1	9	2	22.2
ENGLISH	215	10	4.7	185	7	3.8	30	3	10
EUROPEAN LANGUAGES	22	3	13.6	20	3	15	2	0	0
GEOGRAPHY	83	6	7.2	72	5	6.9	11	1	9.1
HISTORY	63	4	6.3	50	2	4	13	2	15.4
INFORMATICS	94	13	13.8	64	6	9.4	30	7	23.3
IRP	119	6	5	95	4	4.2	24	2	8.3
LINGUISTICS & ENGLISH LANGUAGE	51	4	7.8	37	2	5.4	14	2	14.3
MATHEMATICS	42	4	9.5	37	4	10.8	5	0	0
MEDIA STUDIES	119	9	7.6	108	9	8.3	11	0	0
MUSIC	17	0	0	14	0	0	3	0	0
PHILOSOPHY	64	5	7.8	56	4	7.1	8	1	12.5
PHYSICS & ASTRONOMY	27	1	3.7	24	0	0	3	1	33.3
PSYCHOLOGY	239	17	7.1	194	14	7.2	45	3	6.7
SLS	98	5	5.1	78	3	3.8	20	2	10
SOCIAL WORK AND CARE	34	3	8.8	6	0	0	28	3	10.7
SOCIOLOGY	78	8	10.3	63	5	9.5	15	2	13.3
	1731	124	7.2	1374	85	6.2	357	39	10.9

Foundation Year entrants 2003/4 (all students – home and overseas)

Department	Total entrants	Total n-cs	% total n-cs	Young entrants	Young n-cs	% Young n-cs	Mature entrants	Mature n-cs	% Mature n-cs
Arts/Hums IDC (Lewes)	27	7	25.9	21	6	28.6	6	1	16.7
Biological Sci (Chichester)	12	2	16.7	7	1	14.3	5	1	20
Com Sys Eng (on campus)	1	1	100	0	0	0	1	1	100
Computing Sci (Crawley)	11	2	18.2	5	2	40	6	0	0
Elec/Elec Eng (on campus)	4	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0
Info Tech Sys (on campus)	5	3	60	5	3	60	0	0	0
Mathematical Sci (Lewes)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Mech Eng (on campus)	4	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0
MultiMedia & Digital (on campus)	6	2	33.3	1	1	100	5	1	20
Physical Sci (on campus)	14	1	7.1	8	0	0	6	1	16.7
	85	18	21.2	53	13	24.5	32	5	15.6

This data mimics the HEFCE methodology used in the analogous 1994 Group comparison table elsewhere in this section of the Digest. Numbers are therefore not directly reconcilable with other tables in this section.