Young adult APOE-e4 carriers show different patterns of neural activity during a subsequent memory task Evans SL¹, Dowell NG², Tabet N², King SL¹, Hutton S¹, Rusted JM¹



¹ School of Psychology, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QG, UK ² Brighton and Sussex Medical School, Brighton BN1 9RR, UK

Introduction

- The APOE e4 allele is a well-established genetic risk factor for sporadic Alzheimer's disease (AD).
- e4 carriers (e4+) have a 4-fold increased risk of developing AD relative to non-e4 carriers (e4-).
- e4+ also affects healthy ageing: e4+ show greater declines in cognitive performance with age (Caselli et al., 2009).
- Studies have also looked for brain activation differences in e4+, at young adulthood.
- Functional imaging (fMRI) studies have shown that young adult e4+ activate their medial temporal lobe (MTL) more strongly. The MTL supports memory formation. e4+ show greater MTL activity, during both memory tasks and other tasks which shouldn't activate the MTL at all (Trachtenberg et al., 2012; Rusted et al., 2013).
- Could young adult e4+ be 'working' their brains harder, which leads to problems later in life?
- Could this mean they are exerting greater cognitive 'effort' (although performance tends to be similar)?

Aims

- To show MTL overactivity in young adult e4+ during a memory task.
- To measure pupil diameter as an index of 'cognitive effort'.

Methods and task

- Task completed in brain scanner (fMRI, 1.5T) while eyetracker measured pupil diameter.
- 'Acquisition' phase: 100 words presented serially; (1 sec/word); make button press to profession words (8/100).
- Surprise 'recall' phase (35 min delay): 100 'old' words plus 80 'new' words; button press for old/new decision.
- Contrast brain activation patterns at acquisition for words subsequently remembered/forgotten.

Participants	Group	Age (years) Gender
• 26 e4- and 28 e4+ recruited (age 18-28)	e4- (n=26)	20.9 ± 1.90 14F/12M
= 0 or = 0 or = 0 or = 0 or = 0,	e4+ (n=28)	20.9 ± 2.59 19F/9M
 All participants performed with high accuracy at acquisition (95%). 	t-statistic	0.44, ns
 Exclude participants who scored <50% on 'old words' (7 e4+, 7 e4-) 	Table 1. Volunte	er characteristics
Results	Group	'Old' p.c. 'New' p.c.
 Recall performance: no genotype differences (see Table 2) 	e4-	61 ± 10 75 ± 12
• Recall period generative anterences (see Table 2): $(AD) \in (AD) = (A$	e4+	60 ± 11 76 ± 11
 FMRI: Compare brain activity to words later remembered (R) vs. forgotten (F). Activation in all participants in temporal lobe (adjacent to MTL, see Fig. 1a) 	Table 2. Perform	nance during recall phase (% correct)
• Only $o_{1\perp}$ showed areastor activity to remembered words within MTL (Fig. 1b)		
• Only e^{+} showed greater activity to remembered words within write (Fig. 1b) $=$	ERE	P
Fig. 1a. Greater activity in middle temporal lobe to remembered items	0.4 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	Fig. 1b. Greater hippocampal activity to remembered items in e4+

- Pupillometry: e4+ fail to show the normal increase in pupil diameter to remembered words.
- How does this relate to brain activity? Examine correlation patterns between pupillometry and fMRI data.
- Common patterns between e4+ and e4- in visual areas (Fig. 2a), differential patterns in parietal lobe (Fig. 2b)

Fig. 2a. Pupil diameter correlates with brain activity in visual cortex, in all participants





Fig. 2b. e4- show a negative correlation between brain activity and pupil diameter in parietal lobe (precuneus)

brighton and sussex

medical school

Discussion

- In line with previous findings, e4+ showed greater MTL activity to remembered items in a memory task.
- Pupillometry measures showed an unusual pattern in e4+ with no link between cognitive effort and recall.
- In e4+, enhanced MTL activity was observed, but e4+ did not show the normal pattern of downregulation in precuneus (usually indicating deactivation of the default mode network).
- This activation pattern is reminiscent of that seen in the early stages of MCI, thought to reflect inefficient cognitive processing, and predictive of subsequent cognitive decline.

Work funded by a BBSRC project grant to Prof J. Rusted (BB/L009242/1).