









Introduction

The design and implementation of scalable ion trap fabrication methods is of key importance for ion trap quantum computing. A scalable ion trap quantum computer will require hundreds to thousands of control electrodes. Building such traps using conventional machining and assembly is a daunting if not impossible task and only realistic if microfabrication can be successfully harnessed to construct microfabricated ion trap arrays. This will allow large trap arrays to be produced without manual assembly and with higher levels of precision.

Currently several challenges face the implementation of microfabricated ion traps:

- Exposed dielectrics (uncontrollable static fields)
- Limited rf voltage (reduce trap depth and secular frequencies)
- Large ion heating rates.
- Geometries may not allow adiabatic transport
- Ability to scale

Here we show are work towards overcoming these challenges.



Microfabricated ion traps for quantum information and simulation

R. C. Sterling¹, P. Srinivasan², H. Rattanasonti², D. Brown³, A. H. Nizamani¹, K. Schwab³, M. Kraft² and W. K. Hensinger¹ ¹ Ion Quantum Technology Group, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex,

Brighton, East Sussex, BN1 9QH ² School of Electronics and Computer science, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, UK SO17 1BJ ³ Dept. of Applied Physics, 219 Steele, Caltech, 1200 E. California Blvd, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

Ion Trap Electrode Modelling

Electrode structures are drawn then using the Boundary Element Method (BEM) we can simulate electric fields between them. Using data taken from modelling the trap geometries it is possible to create the ponderomotive potential within the trap.



surface Simulations electrode geometry, these show potential contours corresponding to the trapping ponderomotive

Calculating the position of the rf nil towards the centre of the junction, *below left*, and evaluating the ponderomotive potential, the rf barrier and secular frequencies can be found, *below right*.



The solid line corresponds to the unoptimised rf geometry, a, the dashed line shows a reduction of approximately 6 with the modified geometry, b. The inset shows the rf nil position towards the junction.



 $x_{op} - y_{op} - x - y$

Above shows the radial secular frequencies from both **a** and **b** geometries. The secular frequency for the optimised geometry are shown in blue and red.





Above left shows original geometry, above right shows optimised geometry.

junction with 44 control electrodes. The dark grey represents the RF electrode.

SOI trap progress



to the feature size.



Chrome and gold deposition and etch have been achieved above with some small undercut of the gold beneath the photoresist, below. Undercutting of the gold is small compared

Cantilevered gold electrodes trap



Buried gold wires provide electrical contact with central control electrodes. This provides better ion control with lower DC voltages.



Dashed lines correspond to photoresist (green) layers, after each layer is deposited and developed gold is electroplated, by repeating this a cantilevered structure can be achieved.





electrodes trap progress



Deep reactive ion etch

RF breakdown testing

Test samples have been included for both methods of fabrication to measure the voltage at which RF breakdown occurs. Two possible means of breakdown are suspected: bulk breakdown through the main body of the insulator and surface flashover; breakdown over the surface of the material. Samples were produce to

