Politics and International Relations Course Handbook 2022-23



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Key Contacts
- 3. Course Overview
 - i. Outline
 - ii. Course aims and learning outcomes
 - iii. Course structure
 - iv. Choosing Modules
 - v. Study Abroad
 - vi. Placement
 - vii. Transferring Course
- 4. Teaching and Learning
 - i. Seminars
 - ii. Lectures
 - iii. Self-Directed Learning
 - iv. Academic Advisor
 - v. Lecture Capture
 - vi. Canvas
 - vii. Sussex Direct
- 5. Assessment
 - i. Modes of assessment
 - ii. How your work is marked
 - iii. Marking criteria
- 6. Feedback
 - i. What is Feedback?
 - ii. What feedback isn't.
 - iii. What does feedback look like?
 - iv. Study Skills- links to S3
- 7. Academic Integrity
 - i. Academic Misconduct
 - ii. Referencing
- 8. Student Feedback
 - i. Student Representatives
 - ii. Feedback to Staff
 - iii. Module Evaluation Questionnaires
 - iv. National Student Survey

Introduction

This handbook summarises the most important aspects of practice and procedure in the management of the Politics Single Honours Undergraduate taught course, it includes:

- A clear outline of what you should expect in the management of your degree course
- A clear indication of what is expected of you during your time in Politics
- Information about the administrative procedures from admission to examination.

Message from Head of Department of Politics

Welcome to the Politics Department at the University of Sussex which will be your home department for the next three years.

Studying Politics at Sussex brings you into one of the most vibrant and successful departments in the country. We are known for our expertise in European politics, international comparative politics, and the study of corruption.

You will be taught by talented and prizewinning lecturers who are passionate about politics and who are looking forward to meeting you. Make sure you talk to us after lectures, join in as much as possible in seminars, knock on our doors during our Office Hours and take part in the many opportunities that there are to analyse and discuss politics.

And always let us know if you need help.

Remember, too, that your degree offers many avenues to develop your political knowledge and skills beyond the classroom, preparing you for the career path you choose. You can blog for us, or get involved in our very active Politics Society or other Sussex political societies. You can work with an MP on our Westminster internship scheme, or spend time studying abroad or join us on our Berlin or Paris trips.

Most importantly, make the most of every moment you spend here with us. Trust me when I say your time at Sussex will be over before you know it.

Aleks Szczerbiak

Professor of Politics and Head of Department

Message from Head of Department of International Relations

Welcome to the Department of International Relations at the University of Sussex. International Relations (IR for short) is one of the fastest growing academic subjects. Its subject matter – from war, famine, and environmental catastrophe to inequality, terrorism, and financial crises – fill our daily newsfeeds. Yet, while these events are familiar to all of us, making sense of what they mean is often a different matter.

Here at Sussex we offer an approach to International Relations that places these and many other events in frameworks designed to advance our understanding. We combine nuanced interpretations of world political history with approaches to international political economy, international security, international theory, globalisation, development, the environment, global governance, gender and social movements, among many others, that make sense of the world around us.

We ourselves are a global department, with faculty members and students from all over the world. Whether you are coming from Britain, Europe, Asia, Africa, the Americas or elsewhere, whether you have just left school or are returning to education after years spent in the world outside, we hope you'll find our department and the school of which we are a part a lively, interesting, inspiring and friendly place.

Professor Beate Jahn Head of Department

Key Contacts

Name	Contact Details	What you can contact them about.
Politics Department Course Co- ordinator	j.dowling@sussex.ac.uk 01273 678578, Freeman G41	Course administration, timetables, seminar groups, assessment feedback.
International Relations Course Co- ordinator	c.eves@sussex.ac.uk 01273 678892, Arts C C168	Course administration, timetables, seminar groups, assessment feedback.
Aleks Szczerbiak Course Convenor (Politics)	a.a.szczerbiak@sussex. ac.uk 01273678443, Freeman F56	Queries about the course, feedback on lectures, seminars.
Matthew Ford Course Convenor (International Relations)	m.c.ford@sussex.ac.uk 01273 678443, Arts C B378	Queries about the course, feedback on lectures, seminars.
Academic Advisor	You can find details of your Academic Advisor on Sussex Direct.	Feedback, module choices, study skills, references, personal development.
Aleks Szczerbiak Head of Department Politics	a.a.szczerbiak@sussex. ac.uk 01273678443, Freeman F56	To provide your feedback about the Department or your course and student experience.
Beate Jahn Head of Department International Relations	b.jahn@sussex.ac.uk 01273 678132, Arts C C328	To provide your feedback about the Department or your course and student experience.
Student Representative	You can find details of your Student Representative in Sussex Direct.	Concerns about your module or course, student experience.
Student Mentor	You can find details of student mentors at http://www.sussex.ac.uk/lps/internal/students/studentmentors	Academic skills, getting to grips with your modules. S3 Study Skills.
Student Life Centre	http://www.sussex.ac.uk /studentlifecentre/ 01273 87 6767, studentlifecentre@suss	Personal issues, money, counselling, progression and withdrawal information, drop

	ex.ac.uk , Bramber	ins for sexual health, drug and
	House	alcohol counselling.
Student Support	http://www.sussex.ac.uk	Support with long term
Unit	/studentsupport/ 01273	condition such as specific
	877466,	learning difficulties, disability
	studentsupport@sussex	support, mental health, autistic
	<u>.ac.uk</u> , Bramber House	spectrum.

Course Overview

The Politics and International Relations course illustrates both the diverse range of the two disciplines and their complementary characters. The interrelated interests of the two subjects allow you to examine aspects of each discipline from the perspective of the other.

In the first two years of your course, you combine the core politics and international relations modules. In your final year, you pursue in-depth study options drawn from both areas of study.

Course Aims

The Politics and International Relations joint programme aims to:

Enable students to understand the importance of International Relations and Politics in the contemporary world.

Ensure that students acquire knowledge and understanding in appropriate areas of theory and analysis? enable students to understand and use the concepts, approaches and methods of the disciplines and develop an understanding of the contested nature and problematic character of inquiry in the disciplines.

Provide students with the opportunity to combine the insights and methods of the two disciplines.

Develop students' capacities to critically analyse events, ideas, institutions and practices.

Provide students with opportunities to develop their intellectual, personal and interpersonal skills so as to enable them to participate meaningfully in their societies.

Provide a curriculum supported by scholarship, staff development and a research culture that promotes breadth and depth of intellectual enquiry and debate.

Provide students with a supportive and receptive learning environment.

Learning outcomes

Identify and describe and illustrate key approaches to the study of politics and understand the contested nature of knowledge and understanding

Identify and understand the key normative ideas and concepts which serve as the foundations of politics

Describe and illustrate the structure and operation of different political systems

Describe and illustrate the key explanatory concepts and theories used in the study of politics

Develop a familiarity with major methods of data collection in politics, and their appropriate uses

Develop a knowledge of British politics and the key concepts and approaches used to explain British politics

Critically evaluate the nature of political change in a political system or with regard to a political issue

Ability to understand and critique political philosophical arguments made by political theorists

An ability to compare different political systems in order to develop a general understanding of the functioning of politics

Plan and carry out a research project relating to a political topic which sustains a line of argument and draws on a body of academic literature

An understanding of the core concepts and questions that define the discipline of IR.

An awareness of the major practical, political and moral challenges facing contemporary global society.

A familiarity with the key theoretical traditions of IR as an academic discipline.

A basic knowledge of the history of modern international relations.

An understanding of the significance of the world economy for the nature of the international system.

Flexibility in utilising a variety of intellectual approaches as required by the multifaceted character of the subject.

An in-depth knowledge and understanding of a specialist area within the discipline.

Ability to communicate effectively with others and to present material both orally and in writing.

Ability to deploy a range of communication and information technology skills.

Ability to apply a range of skills in the retrieval and use of primary and secondary sources.

Ability to work together with others as well as independently, including to manage time effectively.

Ability to present concise and cogently structured arguments, both orally and in writing.

Ability to carry out critical analysis on complex issues related to the discipline.

Course Structure

As a joint honours degree student you take 120 credits per year, which is made up of a combination of core and optional modules across both of your major subjects.

	Politics Major		Politics Major International Re Major		International Relatio Major	ns
	Term	Module	Credit	Module	Credit	
o)	Autumn	British Political History (Core)	15	Rise of the International Order	15	
Year One		Explanatory Concepts in Political Science (Core)	15	Introduction to International Relations	15	
	Spring/ Summer	Foundations of Politics (Core)	15	Short Twentieth Century and Beyond	15	

		Research Skills and Methods (Political Science) (Core)	15	Classical Political Theory and International Relations	15
	Autumn	European Politics	15	Contemporary International Theory	15
Year Two		Modern Political Thought	15	Intro to the International Political Economy	15
Ϋ́	Spring/ Summer	Politics module (option)	15	IR module (option)	15
		Politics module (option)	15	IR module (option)	15
	Autumn	Politics module (option)	30	IR module (option)	30
Final Year	Spring/ Summer	Politics module (option)	30	IR module (option)	30

Modules

Note: Not all options are available each year.

The main factors determining availability of options are student demand and Faculty research leave. Additionally, our module structure is subject to development and change over time. At the appropriate time, you will be invited to select the option(s) you wish to take from the range of modules on offer. Please see the link below for modules currently available:

http://www.sussex.ac.uk/lps/internal/departments/politics/ugcourses/2022/LL221U

Choosing options and electives

Options and electives are chosen online at Sussex Direct, usually at the beginning of the Spring Term. More information will be given to you in advance of you making your choices.

Study Abroad

As part of your degree you have the opportunity to apply to study for an additional year spent studying abroad. Some students taking a three year undergraduate degree at Sussex can apply to take a voluntary term abroad. Please speak to colleagues in the Sussex Abroad office to check if this is an option for your subject. Students are not allowed to go away in the first year of their degree or the third/final year of their degree. All students studying abroad will be required to pass the modules taken abroad. Marks may count towards their overall degree

classification. For more information contact the International Study Abroad Office; http://www.sussex.ac.uk/students/support/studyabroad/

Placements

All undergraduate students are able to choose a placement option (for one full academic year) via Sussex Choice. You can apply for placements once you have started your course here. Normally, full-year placements occur between the second and final year of a course. It is University policy that students on a year-long placement (usually 40 weeks) should normally receive payment for their work. For professional placements, the University organises and manages the process through which students apply for and undertake placements. For more information contact the Placements Office in Careers and Employability Centre http://www.sussex.ac.uk/careers/gettingexperience/placements

Transferring course

Transferring course may be possible, it will depend on whether your preferred course is full or whether you satisfy the normal entry conditions for it. You may also have to transfer course; some courses such as those with a placement, integrated study abroad or have an integrated masters course have higher progression thresholds. If you do not meet these requirements you will be transferred to an associated bachelors course. For more information speak to the Curriculum and Assessment Office in the Law, Politics and Sociology School Office (Freeman G41).

Teaching and Learning

There are many different types of teaching and learning at Sussex at you will encounter some or all of these throughout different stages of your study.

Seminars

The seminar compromises a tutor and a group of students. The group discusses a topic drawn from the readings for the module or the topic of a lecture. You are expected to prepare for each seminar and the tutor will try to ensure that everyone has a full part to play in discussions.

Lectures

The lecture is led by an academic member of staff and should provide you with an overview of the different perspectives for the topic under consideration.

Self -Directed Learning

The seminars and lectures will provide you with the resources to develop your skills as self-directed, independent learner. Your engagement with your subject will develop and you will take more responsibility for choosing what you read, the topics that interest you and the development of your own academic skills.

Academic Advisor

Your Advisor can provide you with advice and assistance on academic matters, discuss feedback and will supervise your general progress. You may also need someone to provide you with a reference, your Advisor will be able to do thismake sure they get to know you! You should ensure you make arrangements to see your Advisor during the last week of the Spring Term to discuss your work to date. Details of your Advisor are found on Sussex Direct along with office hours and contact information, if you wish to see them outside of these times, email them for an appointment.

Lecture Capture

The recording of lectures is a valuable resource and can be used:

- to aid students who have particular accessibility requirements or educational needs.
- as an aid for revision or post lecture review;
- to enable complex ideas/concepts to be revisited and reflected upon;
- to support students for whom English is not a first language.

The University strongly encourages all staff to record lectures and appropriate learning and teaching activities where recording facilities are available.

Information as to whether lecture capture is available for your modules will be provided by module convenors.

Lecture recording is provided to supplement and enhance the student learning experience and **not** as a replacement for student contact hours. You are still expected to attend all timetabled teaching sessions.

Canvas

The virtual learning environment used for all your modules, you will be able to find module information and resources such as module handbooks, lecture notes, readings etc. Some staff may also use this for forums or online quizzes. http://www.sussex.ac.uk/tel/learningtechnologies/canvas

Sussex Direct

The administrative hub for all students and staff, you will be able to find information on timetables, exams and assessments, module marks etc. https://direct.sussex.ac.uk/login.php

The Library

In addition to the books, documents, official publications and audio-visual items held in the Library building, you can access Library information and collections, including eBooks, online journals via the internet. You will need your University ID card, which doubles as your library card for your time at University. Find out more information at www.sussex.ac.uk/library

Skills Hub

The Skills Hub Website (www.sussex.ac.uk/skillshub) brings together all the resources available to you at Sussex to help you develop your skills in these key areas:

- Writing and referencing
- Library and research
- IT Skills
- Exams and assessments
- Employability
- Personal Development

S3: Study Success at Sussex

The S3 (www.sussex.ac.uk/s3) website designed to bring together a range of key things you need to know to make a success of studying at university. It includes:

- Advice on preparing for study
- How to get the most from teaching and learning at University
- Tips from Sussex Staff and students
- Online study skills tutorials
- Dates for workshops, tutorials and other student support available at Sussex.

Assessment

Types of Assessment

There are a number of different types of assessment used at Sussex, and you will encounter them at all stages of your course. You can find a full list of all the assessment modes used at Sussex online at http://www.sussex.ac.uk/adqe/standards/examsandassessment Note that not all of these will be appropriate to your course.

How your work is marked

Any work you submit for formal assessment is marked by a team of internal academic staff, who have all received training on the marking scheme and providing feedback. In addition, following marking a process of moderation takes place, this checks that the marking has been carried out consistently and according to approved marking criteria. Moderation is carried out internally by someone independent of the module and a sample of assessments are seen by an external examiner.

Marking Criteria Politics

Assessed work in the Politics Major will be marked according to the criteria indicated in the marking scheme below.

<u>Mark (%)</u>	<u>Degree</u> <u>class</u>	Comment
85–100	First	Work of exceptional quality that shows an excellent command of the subject in question and originality in thought and extent of knowledge acquired.
70–84	First	Work that shows an excellent, though not necessarily faultless, command of the subject in question, together with elements of originality in thought and in the extent of the knowledge acquired.
60–69	Upper second (2i)	Work that shows an above average command of the subject in question, possessing qualities of thoroughness, conscientiousness, and insight.

50–59	Lower second (2ii)	Work that reveals that the student has acquired a basic command of the material covered in the module.
40–49	Third	Work that shows some understanding of the material covered in the module, but of a poor quality and with elements of misunderstanding and lack of thoroughness.
0–39	Fail	Work that fails to come up to the standard expected of university students admitted to an honours degree.

Below are the guidelines which are distributed to your module tutors to assist them in marking your written work.

Guidelines for Marking Essays and Dissertations*

Note that there will be supervisory sessions which may consist of either workshops or individual supervision, or a combination of both in support of the writing of final year dissertations.

Marks between 85% and 100%

A mark in this range is indicative of outstanding work. Marks in this range will be awarded for work that exhibits all the attributes of excellent work but has very substantial elements of originality and flair. Marks at the upper end of the range will indicate that the work is of publishable, or near publishable academic standard.

Marks between 70% and 84%

A mark in this range is indicative that the work is of an excellent standard for the current level of your degree course. The work will exhibit excellent levels of knowledge and understanding comprising all the qualities of good work stated above, with additional elements of originality and flair. The work will demonstrate a range of critical reading that goes well beyond that provided on reading lists. Answers or essays will be fluently written and include independent argument that demonstrate an awareness of the nuances and assumptions of the question or title. Essays will make excellent use of appropriate, fully referenced, detailed examples.

Marks between 60% and 69%

A mark in this range is indicative of good to very good work that holds great promise for future standards. Work of this quality shows a good level of knowledge and understanding of relevant module material. It will show evidence of reading a wide diversity of material and of being able to use ideas gleaned from this reading to support and develop arguments. Essay work will exhibit good writing skills with well organised, accurate footnotes and/or a bibliography that follows the accepted 'style' of the subject. Arguments and issues will be illustrated by reference to well documented, detailed and relevant examples. There should be clear evidence of critical engagement with the objects, issues or topics being analyzed. Any quantitative work will be clearly presented, the results should be correct and any conclusions clearly and accurately expressed.

Marks between 50% and 59%

A mark in this range is indicative that the work is satisfactory to very satisfactory. Work of this quality will show clear knowledge and understanding of relevant module material. It will focus on the essay title or question posed and show evidence that relevant basic works of reference have been read and understood. The work will exhibit sound essay writing and/or analytical skills. It will be reasonably well

structured and coherently presented. Essay work should exhibit satisfactory use of footnotes and/or a bibliography and in more quantitative work it should be possible to follow the logical steps leading to the answer obtained and the conclusions reached. Arguments and issues should be discussed and illustrated by reference to examples, but these may not be fully documented or detailed.

Marks between 40% and 49%

A mark in this range is indicative that the work is of an acceptable standard. Work of this type will show limited knowledge and understanding of relevant module material. It will show evidence of some reading and comprehension, but the essay or answer may be weakly structured, cover only a limited range of the relevant material or have a weakly developed or incomplete argument. The work will exhibit weak essay writing or analytical skills. It may be poorly presented without properly laid out footnotes and/or a bibliography, or in the case of quantitative work, it may not be possible to follow the several steps in the logic and reasoning leading to the results obtained and the conclusions reached.

Marks below 40%

20-39 A mark in this range is indicative that the work is below, but at the upper end is approaching, the standard required to pass. It indicates weak work that is below the standard required. This will be because either the work is too short, is very poorly organized, or is poorly directed at the essay title or question asked. It will show very limited knowledge or understanding of the relevant module material and display weak writing and/or analytical skills. Essay work will exhibit no clear argument, may have very weak spelling and grammar, very inadequate or absent references and/or bibliography and may contain major factual errors. Quantitative work will contain significant errors and incorrect conclusions.

0-19 A mark in this range is indicative that the work is far below the standard required. It indicates that the work is extremely weak and well below degree standard. This will be because either the work is far too short, is badly jumbled and incoherent in content, or fails to address the essay title or question asked. It will show very little evidence of knowledge or understanding of the relevant module material and may exhibit very weak writing and/or analytical skills.

Marking Criteria International Relations

Assessment Criteria: Year 1

Basis on which marks are awarded:

0-19 A mark in this range is indicative that the work is far below the standard required to progress to year 2. It indicates that the work is extremely weak and well

below Level 1 degree standard. This will be because either the work is far too short, is badly jumbled and incoherent in content, or fails to address the essay title or question asked. It will show very little evidence of knowledge or understanding of the relevant course material and may exhibit very weak writing and/or analytical skills.

20-39 A mark in this range is indicative that the work is below, but at the upper end is approaching, the standard required for progression to Year 2. It indicates weak work that is below the standard required at degree Level 1. This will be because either the work is too short, is very poorly organized, or is poorly directed at the essay title or question asked. It will show very limited knowledge or understanding of the relevant course material and display weak writing and/or analytical skills. Essay work will exhibit no clear argument, may have very weak spelling and grammar, very inadequate or absent references and/or bibliography and may contain major factual errors. Quantitative work will contain significant errors and incorrect conclusions.

40-49 A mark in this range is indicative that the work is of an acceptable standard for progression into Year 2. Work of this type will show limited knowledge and understanding of relevant course material. It will show evidence of some reading and comprehension, but the essay or answer may be weakly structured, cover only a limited range of the relevant material or have a weakly developed or incomplete argument. The work will exhibit weak essay writing or analytical skills. It may be poorly presented without properly laid out footnotes and/or a bibliography, or in the case of quantitative work, it may not be possible to follow the several steps in the logic and reasoning leading to the results obtained and the conclusions reached.

50-59 A mark in this range is indicative that the work is satisfactory to very satisfactory for progression to Year 2. Work of this quality will show clear knowledge and understanding of relevant course material. It will focus on the essay title or question posed and show evidence that relevant basic works of reference have been read and understood. The work will exhibit sound essay writing and/or analytical skills. It will be reasonably well structured and coherently presented. Essay work should exhibit satisfactory use of footnotes and/or a bibliography and in more quantitative work it should be possible to follow the logical steps leading to the answer obtained and the conclusions reached. Arguments and issues should be discussed and illustrated by reference to examples, but these may not be fully documented or detailed.

60-69 A mark in this range is indicative of good to very good work that holds great promise for future standards. Work of this quality shows a good level of knowledge and understanding of relevant course material. It will show evidence of reading a wide diversity of material and of being able to use ideas gleaned from this

reading to support and develop arguments. Essay work will exhibit good writing skills with well organised, accurate footnotes and/or a bibliography that follows the accepted 'style' of the subject. Arguments and issues will be illustrated by reference to well documented, detailed and relevant examples. There should be clear evidence of critical engagement with the objects, issues or topics being analyzed. Any quantitative work will be clearly presented, the results should be correct and any conclusions clearly and accurately expressed.

70+ Such marks are given for excellent to outstanding work. The work will exhibit excellent levels of knowledge and understanding comprising all the qualities stated above, with additional elements of originality and flair. The work will demonstrate a range of critical reading that goes beyond that provided on reading lists. Answers or essays will be fluently written and include independent argument that demonstrate an awareness of the nuances and assumptions of the question or title. Essays will make excellent use of appropriate, fully referenced, detailed examples.

OVERALL MARK FOR THE SCRIPT

Normally the overall mark for the script will be the mean of marks from individual answers. In some circumstances the mark for the script may be higher or lower than the mean - e.g. if the breadth of ideas and material covered overall is particularly good or restricted.

Assessment Criteria: Years 2 & 3
Basis on which marks are awarded:

0-19 A mark in this range is indicative that the work is far below the standard required at the current level of your degree programme. It indicates that the work is extremely weak and seriously inadequate. This will be because either the work is far too short, is badly jumbled and incoherent in content, or fails to address the essay title or question asked. It will show very little evidence of knowledge or understanding of the relevant course material and may exhibit very weak writing and/or analytical skills.

20-39 A mark in this range is indicative that the work is below, but at the upper end is approaching, the standard required at the current level of your degree programme. It indicates weak work of an inadequate standard. This will be because either the work is too short, is very poorly organized, or is poorly directed at the essay title or question asked. It will show very limited knowledge or understanding of the relevant course material and display weak writing and/or analytical skills. Essay work will exhibit no clear argument, may have very weak spelling and grammar, very inadequate or absent references and/or bibliography and may contain major factual errors. Quantitative work will contain significant errors and incorrect conclusions.

40-49 A mark in this range is indicative that the work is of an acceptable standard at the current the level of your degree programme. Work of this type will show limited knowledge and understanding of relevant course material. It will show evidence of some reading and comprehension, but the essay or answer may be weakly structured, cover only a limited range of the relevant material or have a weakly developed or incomplete argument. The work will exhibit weak essay writing or analytical skills. It may be poorly presented without properly laid out footnotes

and/or a bibliography, or in the case of quantitative work, it may not be possible to follow the several steps in the logic and reasoning leading to the results obtained and

the conclusions reached.

50-59 A mark in this range is indicative that the work is of a satisfactory to very satisfactory standard at the current level of your degree programme. Work of this quality will show clear knowledge and understanding of relevant course material. It will focus on the essay title or question posed and show evidence that relevant basic works of reference have been read and understood. The work will exhibit sound essay writing and/or analytical skills. It will be reasonably well structured and coherently presented. Essay work should exhibit satisfactory use of footnotes and/or a bibliography and in more quantitative work it should be possible to follow the logical

steps leading to the answer obtained and the conclusions reached. Arguments and issues should be discussed and illustrated by reference to examples, but these may not be fully documented or detailed.

60-69 A mark in this range is indicative of that the work is of a good to very good standard for the current level of your degree programme. Work of this quality shows a good level of knowledge and understanding of relevant course material. It will show evidence of reading a wide diversity of material and of being able to use ideas gleaned from this reading to support and develop arguments. Essay work will exhibit good writing skills with well-organized, accurate footnotes and/or a bibliography that follows the accepted 'style' of the subject. Arguments and issues will be illustrated by reference to well documented, detailed and relevant examples. There should be clear evidence of critical engagement with the objects, issues or topics being analyzed. Any quantitative work will be clearly presented, the results should be correct and any conclusions clearly and accurately expressed.

70 – 84 A mark in this range is indicative that the work is of an excellent standard for the current level of your degree programme. The work will exhibit excellent levels of knowledge and understanding comprising all the qualities of good work stated above, with additional elements of originality and flair. The work will demonstrate a range of critical reading that goes well beyond that provided on reading lists. Answers or essays will be fluently written and include independent argument that demonstrate an awareness of the nuances and assumptions of the question or title. Essays will make excellent use of appropriate, fully referenced, detailed examples.

85 - 100 A mark in this range is indicative of outstanding work. Marks in this range will be awarded for work that exhibits all the attributes of excellent work but has very substantial elements of originality and flair. Marks at the upper end of the range will indicate that the work is of publishable, or near publishable academic standard.

Please note that separate Guidelines may have been drawn up by each Department.

External Examiners

External examiners provide an important and independent input into the assessment process helping to ensure that quality and standards are maintained are in line with practice across the sector.

Politics Department

Name	Institution
Dr Pontus Odmalm	Politics and International Studies, University of Edinburgh
Dr Mark Shephard	School of Government and Public Policy, University
-	of Strathclyde

International Relations

Name	Institution
Dr Alex Prichard	University of Exeter

Feedback

What is Feedback?

Feedback is an essential part of your learning at university and should help you to understand the areas in which you are doing well and what you can do to improve and progress. Feedback:

- Helps you to assess your own learning and reflect on your development
- Allows you to discuss your learning with your tutors
- Helps you to understand what is expected of you and what good performance is
- Gives you the opportunity to progress
- Provides you with information about your learning
- Motivates you and encourages you to think positively about your learning

What feedback is not...

- A justification for your mark. For some pieces of work you will receive a
 grade and feedback comments. The comments are not a justification for your
 mark. Your mark will reflect your achievement for that particular piece of work,
 whilst the feedback can provide you with much more, including suggestions to
 consider for your next piece of work.
- Every aspect of your assessment. In providing feedback your tutors will
 select and comment on the most important areas that you've done well at and
 where you can improve (e.g. if it doesn't mention spelling mistakes that's not
 to say that you didn't make any or if it doesn't mention that you showed a
 good understanding of a primary text that is not to say that you didn't
 demonstrate this). Tutor's carefully select their feedback in order to best help
 your learning.
- The end of a process. Often you will receive feedback some time after completing a piece of work, when you may be more focussed on your next assignment. However, good feedback at university is designed to contribute to your ongoing development and you should think about how you can make best use of feedback on previous work to help you improve the next piece.

What does feedback look like?

There are lots of different types of feedback, and you should expect to receive different types during your time at Sussex. It is not always linked to assessment so

- Written Feedback Perhaps the most obvious type of feedback you will receive will be the marks and comments which your tutors will give for your submitted work. You may get this feedback online, via Sussex Direct, or as comments written on your work.
- Verbal Feedback You may receive verbal feedback during seminars or other teaching sessions. You may also receive recorded verbal assessment feedback where assignments have been submitted electronically. Be proactive, ask for a meeting with staff to discuss your feedback. Feedback

- may also be given directly after a presentation or discussion, it's important to capture this feedback, take notes or ask if it can be recorded.
- **Peer Feedback** Discussing ideas with other people on your course can be a good way of developing your ideas. If you are struggling with concepts, other students may be able to explain them to you in a way that you understand. They may also be able to suggest readings that they found useful or relevant.

You can find out lots more about the types of feedback and to use it effectively at the Study Success at Sussex Website http://www.sussex.ac.uk/s3/?id=58

Academic Integrity

Academic Misconduct

The University takes academic misconduct very seriously, full details of the regulations along with the penalties for any misconduct can be found in the University Examination and Assessment Regulations, available online at: http://www.sussex.ac.uk/adqe/standards/examsandassessment

Examples of Academic Misconduct include:

- Collusion- working with others on tasks that should be carried out individually.
- Plagiarism- taking and using the intellectual work of other people without acknowledgement.
- Personation- getting another person to prepare your assessments or sit an exam for you.
- Misconduct in unseen exams accessing or attempting to gain access to unauthorised material, or communicating with others in the exam room.
- Fabrication of results- making up the results of experiments and other research.

All students should refer to the Study Success at Sussex web pages http://www.sussex.ac.uk/s3/) for more information and guidance on good academic referencing skills or speak to their Academic Advisors when in any doubt.

Plagiarism

All the work you produce must be entirely your own work, though of course this will be informed by what you have read, heard and discussed. It is very important that you avoid plagiarism: the presentation of another person's thoughts or words as if they were your own.

Plagiarism is defined in the Examination and Assessment Regulations as:

'Plagiarism is the use, without acknowledgement, of the intellectual work of other people, and the act of representing the ideas or discoveries of another as one's own in written work submitted for assessment. To copy sentences, phrases or even striking expressions without acknowledgement of the source (either by inadequate citation or failure to indicate verbatim quotations), is plagiarism; to paraphrase without acknowledgement is likewise plagiarism. Where such copying or paraphrasing has occurred the mere mention of the source in the bibliography shall not be deemed sufficient acknowledgement; each such instance must be referred specifically to its source. Verbatim quotations must be either in inverted commas, or indented, and directly acknowledged.'

Plagiarism is a serious examination offence, your mark may be reduced (possibly to zero) if you are found to have plagiarised. To avoid plagiarism, intentional or unintentional be careful to record all sources in your notes and make sure you provide accurate references in all your written assignments.

Referencing in Politics Department

Documentation and Referencing

All ideas that are not your own must be properly acknowledged. Readers must be able to identify the source of an idea you have written down, and they must be able to locate the idea in its original source (including page numbers).

References should be given for:

- direct quotations
- figures/statistics
- showing where your argument comes from.

In references: titles of books are always given in italics, 'titles of articles are always given in inverted commas', and titles of academic journals, official reports and newspapers are always given in italics. See the following examples:

Patrick Dunleavy and Brendan O'Leary, *Theories of the State* (London: Macmillan, 1987).

Ivor Crewe, 'Voting and the Electorate,' in Patrick Dunleavy, Andrew Gamble, Ian Holliday and Gillian Peele (eds.) *Developments in British Politics 4* (London: Macmillan, 1993).

G. Debraum, 'Adversary Politics Revisited,' *Parliamentary Affairs*, Vol. 47,1994, pp. 420–33.

Apart from these basic rules, there are different conventions that may be used. While there are several styles of references, we outline two below. For Politics modules, you may use whatever style you are most comfortable with, but it is crucial that you **use a consistent style throughout each piece of work**.

The Harvard System

This relies on a short citation included in brackets in the actual text and a full bibliography at the end. When you refer to a work in the body of your text, you should include only the surname of the author, the year of publication, and the page number(s) – e.g. (Debraum 1994, pp. 421–2) or, perhaps more fashionable, (Debraum 1994:

421–2). Don't use *ibid.* (see below); instead repeat the date – e.g. (1994: 425) – and, if necessary, the author's name – e.g. (Debraum 1994: 425).

The bibliography should be listed in alphabetical order and consist of the author's surname, first name, date of publication, title of work, place of publication and publisher's name.

Example of the Harvard system

Sample text

There was substantial change under Thatcher in the NHS, including an increase in spending on health from £20 billion in 1979 to £28 billion in 1990, and with an even greater increase in demand (Hills 1990: 130). Despite this increase in resources, the Conservative government actually decreased resources relative to demand (1990: 132). In addition, in 1982 the structure of the NHS was reorganized (Lowe 1993; Ham 1992). In 1983 management reforms were implemented and in 1988 the Department of Health and Social Services was split in two (Hills 1990).

Bibliography

Ham, Christopher. 1992. *Health Policy in Britain: The Politics and Organization of the National Health Service*, third edition (Basingstoke: Macmillan).

Hills, John (ed.). 1990. The State of Welfare: The Welfare State in Britain since 1974 (Oxford: Clarendon Press).

Lowe, Rodney. 1993. *The Welfare State in Britain since 1945* (Basingstoke: Macmillan).

The Cambridge (or London) System

This is a system of footnotes or endnotes. When you want to refer to a work, you type a number in the text (using your computer's footnote function) and give full details of the reference in numerical order at the bottom of the page (in the case of footnotes) or the end of the essay (in the case of endnotes). On the first occasion you refer to a book or article, you must record the full publication details. Subsequent references to the same work can then be restricted to *ibid*. (if the reference is the same as the one before it) or the author's name followed by a short title, together with any page references. This system does not require a separate bibliography, although for longer essays and dissertations a bibliography would be necessary.

Example of the Cambridge system

Sample text

There was substantial change under Thatcher in the NHS, including an increase in spending on health from £20 billion in 1979 to £28 billion in 1990, and with an even greater increase in demand.¹ Despite this increase in resources, the Conservative government actually decreased resources relative to demand.² In addition, in 1982 the structure of the NHS was reorganized.³ In 1983 management reforms were implemented and in 1988 the Department of Health and Social Services was split in two.⁴

Footnotes

1. John Hills (ed.), *The State of Welfare: The Welfare State in Britain since 1974* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1990), p. 130.

2. Ibid., p. 132.

3. Rodney Lowe, *The Welfare State in Britain since 1945* (Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1993); and Christopher Ham, *Health Policy in Britain: The Politics and Organization of the National Health Service*, third edition (Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1992).

4. Hills, The State of Welfare.

Bibliography

Christopher Ham, *Health Policy in Britain: The Politics and Organization of the National Health Service*, third edition (Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1992).

John Hills (ed.), *The State of Welfare: The Welfare State in Britain since 1974* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1990).

Rodney Lowe, *The Welfare State in Britain since 1945* (Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1993).

Note that the way references are presented in the **bibliography** are slightly different for each method:

The Harvard system

Surname, First Name(s) or Initials. Date. *Title of Book* (Place of Publication: Publisher's Name).

Surname, First Name(s) or Initials. Date. 'Title of Article' in Name of Editor (ed.), *Title of Book* (Place of Publication: Publisher's Name).

Surname, First Name(s) or Initials. Date. 'Title of Article', *Title of Journal*, Volume number of journal.

The Cambridge system

First Name(s) or Initials followed by Surname, *Title of Book* (Place of Publication: Publisher's Name, date).

First Name(s) or Initials followed by Surname, 'Title of Article' in Name of Editor (ed.), Title of Book (Place of Publication: Publisher's Name, date).

First Name(s) or Initials followed by Surname, 'Title of Article', *Title of Journal*, Volume number of journal, date.

Other conventions are used – for example, putting the date outside the brackets, or not using brackets.

The only hard and fast rules are:

- Titles of books are always given in italics.
- 'Titles of articles are always given in inverted commas'.
- Titles of academic journals, official reports and newspapers are always given in italics.

Whatever convention you use, be consistent.

Referencing websites

There various ways of doing this, but it is important to give the full address and the date when you accessed the site. For example:

A selection from Norman Angell, *The Great Illusion* (from the synopsis and concluding chapter) on the website: *World War I Document Archive*, Brigham Young University Library, http://www.lib.byu.edu/~rdh/wwi/1914m/illusion.html (accessed 31 August 2005).

English Abbreviations Used in References

- p. 'page', as in p. 27 for page 27.
- pp. 'pages', as in pp. 27–9 for pages 27 to 29.
- ed. 'editor', as in John Hills (ed.),
- eds. 'editors', as in Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels (eds.),

Latin Words and Abbreviations Used in References

- ff Despite being derived from Latin, this should not be written in italics. It is not followed by a full-stop (unless it comes at the end of a sentence). It stands for 'and following pages' as in: (1993: 33 ff).
- *ibid.* Short for *ibidem* (hence full-stop after *ibid.*): 'in the same place'. Use only if the reference is the same as the one before.
- *loc. cit.* Short for *loco citato*: 'in the passage or place just cited'. <u>Very</u> unfashionable nowadays; avoid; use short titles instead.

- op. cit. Short for opere citato: 'in the work cited'. Unfashionable nowadays; avoid; use short titles instead.
- passim Not an abbreviation, so no full-stop. It means 'everywhere', i.e. throughout a cited article or book.

Referencing in International Relations Department

Please check the following website for this information: http://www.sussex.ac.uk/skillshub/

Student Feedback

The Department really values your input on what is working well and your suggestions as to how things could be improved. There are a number of opportunities for you to provide feedback throughout your time at Sussex so do make the most of them!

Student Representatives

Student Representatives serve a vital connection and communication channel between staff and students. Anyone can stand for the position so it may be something you would want to consider. It's important to know who your representatives are in case you have any difficulties or suggestions which need to be passed on to staff. Undergraduate Representatives are elected for one year terms, and there are two positions per year.

Student Representatives attend the Board of Study which is where the formal running of the Departments takes place. These meetings are Chaired by the Head of Department and attended by teaching staff and the course co-ordinator and take place once in each term.

All Student Representatives also attend the School Student Experience Group meetings, which take place once every term. These meetings provide an opportunity for representatives from across the school to come together to discuss issues affecting teaching and learning and other aspects of the student experience which need to be addressed at School level. The meeting also provides the opportunity for both staff and students to share good practice.

Feedback to Staff

Do talk to members of staff about your modules, seminars and lectures throughout the year. Provide feedback on things that have been successful but also suggest were you think improvements can be made.

Module Evaluation Questionnaires

Regular feedback from students helps to improve both our modules and our teaching. A 'Module Evaluation Questionnaire' is filled in by you anonymously. Do take these seriously as they can be of great assistance in identifying strengths and weaknesses. A report on all questionnaires from modules is discussed regularly by the Department. Members of staff look at their own results to see where improvements can be made.

National Student Survey

The National Student Survey (NSS) is a valuable source of feedback for the University, but more specifically the NSS is externally published. You will be invited to complete the survey at the end of your final year, where you can reflect on your

experience overall at Sussex. The results of the survey are used by the Department to make improvements to the course and overall student experience.